It's only a matter of time

You know that good turf deserves the best forms of nitrogen. That's why you need slow-release NITROFORM[®] and controlled-release NUTRALENE[™], two superior formulations that cater to your specific nitrogen needs.



With NITROFORM, nitrogen is released slowly throughout the growing season and remains available to roots for one year or longer-when other nitrogen sources

have been used up. Ideal for sandy soils where low micro-organism levels and leaching are often a problem. It also works well in clay soils since it stimulates micro-organisms that decompose thatch. Available in both dry and liquid formulations and applied at recommended rates with conventional equipment, NITROFORM won't burn top-growth or roots. So treated turf is subjected to less stress. As a result, it grows stronger and needs fewer pesticide applications.



With dual-action NUTRALENE, you can count on quick grass greenup in spring plus sustained release

throughout one growing season. Unlike other controlled-release nitrogens, NUTRALENE is not solely dependent upon soil temperatures, moisture, coating or particle size for its optimum release pattern. Applied in chip or granular form, the dual release of NUTRALENE encourages outstanding growth response-even in early spring or late fall. In hot weather, its reduced leaching characteristics enable uniform, sustained feeding to continue.

NITROFORM°

- Consistent 38% nitrogen
- Sustained, predictable nitrogen release by soil bacteria when most needed by roots and vegetation
- Keeps providing nitrogen for a year or longer (12 to 16 months)
- Some nitrogen remains in the soil for the next growing season
- Non-burning, low-salt index
- Low leaching and volatilization
- Reduced thatch build-up

IMPORTANT: Please remember always to read and follow carefully all label directions when applying any chemical. Copyright® 1990 NOR-AM Chemical Company. All rights reserved.



- 40-0-0 guaranteed analysis
- Controlled release dual action to provide quick initial greenup
- Complete availability of nitrogen within one season (12 to 16 weeks)
- No nitrogen carryover
- Non-burning, low-salt index
- Reduced leaching and volatilization
- Low thatch build-up

NOR-AM CHEMICAL COMPANY A Schering Berlin Company 3509 Silverside Road, P.O. Box 7495 Wilmington, DE 19803

Now's the time to rely on NITROFORM" and NUTRALENE " for high quality Nitrogen.





The effectiveness of soon-to-be-released post-emergence control products depends largely on an understanding of the plant's physiology.

by Bruce Branham, Ph.D., Michigan State University

www.eed control is the cornerstone of most landscape management. In golf course operations, knowledge of weed control is important; however, disease management often requires more time and money for the average golf course superintendent than does weed control.

Regardless of the type of turf you manage, it is important to understand weed control principles, so that the decisions you make are economical, environmentally sound and produce good results.

No new products for post-emergence weed control in cool-season grasses have been introduced in the past year, although we are still waiting on the EPA to approve three turf herbicides. Two of those products are pre-emergence herbicides (dithiopyr/Dimension and prodiamine/ Blockade) while the other is a postemergence grass and broadleaf herbicide (quinclorac/Impact) from BASF Ag products.

Impact of Impact

Impact is an excellent post-emergence grass herbicide with good activity on a number of broadleaf weeds as well. Data in Table 1 shows the effectiveness of this product when compared to other commonly used post-emergence grass herbicides. Impact controls crabgrass effectively at all growth stages and quickly by providing rapid initial burndown of the crabgrass. Impact also has some rapid initial burndown of the crabgrass. Impact also has some preemergence activity as demonstrated by the date from the two- to three-leaf application.

The Impact treatments provided excellent control (99 to 100 percent) at eight weeks after treatment (WAT), while the Acclaim treatment provided good initial control—87 percent at four weeks after treatment, which fell to only 51 percent by eight WAT.

This loss of control with Acclaim indicates that new germination of crabgrass had occurred to reinfest the treated area which occurs because Acclaim does not have pre-emergence activity.

Evidently, Impact had enough preemergence activity to provide control for the rest of the growing season. However, applications of Impact applied at the normal time for a pre-

TABLE 1. Effect of Impact on post-emergence crabgrass control in Kentucky bluegrass turf.

Treatment	Rate (1bs Al/A)	% Co	ntrol
Growth Stage: 2-3 leaf Appl. date: 6-14-90		4 WAT	<u>8 WAT</u>
Impact + BAS 090 Impact + BAS 090 Dimension Acclaim Control	0.75 + 1qt/A 1.0 + 1qt/A 0.38 0.18	100 a 100 a 90 a-d 87 a-e 0	99 ab 100 a 94 a-c 51 d-h 0
Growth Stage: 2-3 tillers Application Date: 7-10-90		<u>4 WAT</u>	<u>9 WAT</u>
Impact + BAS 090 Impact + BAS 090 Acclaim Daconate 6 Dimension Control	0.75 + 1qt/A 1.0 + 1qt/A 0.18 2 + 2 0.38	100 a 100 a 96 a-d 93 b-d 72 ef 0	97 ab 100 a 77 b-d 88 a-d 82 a-d 0

emergence herbicide have not given season-long crabgrass control. Impact has also been shown to provide effective control of broadleaf weed species such as white clover, black medic, field bindweed, spurge, and some veronica (speedwell) species.

Currently available herbicides for post-emergence control are shown in Table 2. Impact is not expected to be available until at least 1992.

Dimension's residual

Dimension is an excellent pre-emergence herbicide. But as the data in Table 1 shows, it also has excellent early post-emergence crabgrass activity. However, Dimension's ability to control crabgrass falls off rapidly after crabgrass starts producing tillers.

Formulations of MSMA provide effective weed control if two applications spaced 10 to 14 days apart are made. This product has fallen out of favor with lawn care operators because of the necessity to make repeat applications and because the potential for turf injury is high.

Acclaim: the standard

Acclaim is still the standard to which other post-emergence crabgrass herbicides are compared.

This product will provide very effective crabgrass control when applied on crabgrass with four tillers or less. As crabgrass grows beyond four tillers, control declines. In addition, crabgrass that is drought stressed is also more difficult to control with Acclaim, although this is generally true of all herbicides.

Few nonselectives

The list of herbicides available for non-selective weed control is a short one. Nonselective herbicides are used to control all vegetation and therefore are not normally used in a turf weed control program. These are, however, useful in a variety of situations.

Non-selective herbicides such as Roundup are used to renovate

poor quality turf areas. In this situation, Roundup would be applied to the entire area; a seven-day waiting period should be sufficient to kill all vegetation. The area can then be reestablished to a more desireable turf species. Non-selective herbicides are useful for edging around trees to prevent mower damage to the trees and for controlling weeds in the cracks of sidewalks, where it is often combined with a pre-emergence herbicide such as Surflan to provide long-term residual weed control. Non-selective herbicides can also be used to control weeds in mulched planting beds or gardens by directing the spray only on the weeds present.

Paraquat, a non-selective contact post-emergence herbicide, does not translocate. It kills only vegetation that it comes in contact with. Thorough spray coverage is required to achieve good control, but because the herbicide does not translocate, it will only kill the green vegetation of the plant. Some plant species can regenerate from the surviving roots and meristems. In addition, paraquat is moderately toxic, with an LD_{50} of 120 mg/kg.

Roundup is translocated throughout the plant. The LD_{50} of Roundup is 7200 mg/kg and is classified as almost non-toxic. Both Roundup and paraquat are inactivated once they contact the soil surface, so reseeding operations can begin very shortly after application.

TABLE 2. Post-emergence broadleaf weed control herbicides used in turf.

2.4-D	 - 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid
2.4-DP	- 2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) propionic acid
MCPA	- 2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxy propionic acid
MCPP	- 2-(2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxy) peopionic acid
dicamba	- 3.6-dichloro-o-anisic acid
triclopyr	- 3,5,6-trichlow-2-pyridinyloxy acetic acid
clopyralid	- 3,6-dichlow-2-pyridine carboxylic acid

Some commonly used broadleaf herbicide mixtures and the ratio of each product in the mix:

2.4-D + MCPP

2 plus 2 (1/1) Fermenta Lescopar (1/2) Lesco 2,4-D-MCPP (2/1) Cleary's

2,4-D + dicamba

Phenaban 801 (8/1) Gordons Eight-one selective herbicide (8/1) Lesco Riverdale 81 selective weed killer (8/1) Riverdale Riverdale 101 weed killer (10/1) Riverdale

2,4-D + MCPP + dicamba

Three way selective herbicide (1/0.5/0.009) Lesco Trimec (1/0.5/0.1) Gordons Trimec Bentgrass Formula (0.3/1/0.13) Gordons Trexsan (1.0/0.53/0.13) (Sierra)

Trexsam Bent (0.3/1.0/0.13) (Sierra)

2, 4-D + 2,4-DP

Chipco Weedone DPC ester (1/1) Rhone-Poulenc Chipco Weedone DPC Amine (1/1) Rhone-Poulenc Turf D + DP (1/1) [ester] Riverdale

2,4-D + 2,4-DP + MCPP

Weedestroy Triamine (1/1/1) Riverdale Weedestroy Triester (80.7/1.0/0.7) Riverdale

MCPA + MCPP + 2,4-DP Weedestroy Triamine II (1/1/1) Riverdale

MCPA + MCPP + dicamba Trimec Encore (1.0/0.46/0.1) [amine] Gordons

2,4-D = 2,4-DP = dicamba Super Trimec (1.0/1.0/0.25) [ester] Gordons

2,4-D = tricopyr Turflon D (2/1) [ester] Dow Turflon II (2.6/1) [amine] Dow

tricopyr + clopyralid Confront (3/1) [amine] Dow

Broadleaf options

The list of currently available postemergence broadleaf herbicide combinations has not changed appreciably from last year. In post-emergence broadleaf weed control, manufacturers tend to sell mixtures of two to three herbicides. Thus, when you are applying an herbicide for post-emergence broadleaf weed control, you are usually using at least two different herbicide products.

The only single herbicide product currently sold is MCPP, which has excellent safety on bentgrass and for that reason is used by many golf courses

TABLE 3. Post-emergence grass and sedge control herbicides.

Common Name	Trade Name	Manufacturer
MSMA	Daconate 6	Fermenta
	Drexar 530	Drexel
	MSMA 6.6	Drexel
DSMA	DSMA Liquid	Riverdale
	DSMA Liquid	Drexel
	Methar 30	W. A. Cleary
	Broadside, DSMA 81%	Vertac
AMA	Super Methar	W. A. Cleary
fenoxaprop	Acclaim	Hoechst-Roussel
bentazon (sedges only)	Basagran	BASF

Esters and amines

Ester and amine control products have different herbicidal properties which are important to know. Amines are soluble in water; esters are oilsoluble. Esters are generally better herbicides than the corresponding amine product. Esters tend to penetrate into the leaf more effectively than do amines.

The reason that esters are not used exclusively is that they are slightly volatile. This volatility can result in non-target injury to susceptible plants in the landscape. Amines, on the other hand, are non-volatile but not as good as herbicides as the esters.

Thus, you use an amine to avoid the risk of injury that comes when you use an ester. Amines should always be used in the spring when plant material is breaking dormancy, actively growing, and very susceptible to these broadleaf herbicides. Esters can and should be used in the summer when weeds are starting to harden off and are less susceptible to the herbicide, and in the fall when non-target plants are hardening off for the winter and are much less susceptible to injury from volatile broadleaf herbicides.

Effectiveness principles

The factors affecting post-emergence weed control are:

- spray deposition;
- absorption;
- translocation.

Spray deposition and retention are very important factors in getting good post-emergence weed control. Several factors are important in deter mining spray intention, including spray volume, surface tension of the spray solution, the angle of the leaf and the composition of the cuticle.

Higher sprayer volumes tend to generate larger spray droplets

which often may not be retained on leaves. Low spray volumes produce smaller droplets which are more readily retained by plant leaves. However, production of smaller droplets increases the likelihood of the spray drifting onto non-target plants.

Spray solutions with high surface tensions, such as water, may bounce off the leaf surface at impact. Spray solutions that have very low surface tensions may run off the leaf surface and result in little spray retention. Thus, an intermediate surface tension is desirable.

Leaf movement

Nyctinasty is the folding movement of leaves with decreasing light intensity and unfolding with increasing light intensity. Nyctinasty could result in decreased weed control from early morning or late evening applications due to a decrease in spray retention by weed species showing this kind of leaf movement.

Another factor which affects spray retention is the composition of the cuticle. The cuticle refers to a layer of wax, cutin and pectin deposited on the leaf surface. The more lipophilic

Difficult to Control Broadleaf Weeds				
Weed Problem	Herbicide	Comments		
Wild violets (viola spp.)	Turflon Turflon D Turflon II Weedone DPC Super Trimec	Very difficult to control; usually requires follow-up application 1 to 4 weeks after first application.		
Creeping speedwell (veronica filliformis)	Dacthal 75 WP Dacthal 6F Turflon D Super Trimec Weedone DPC	Dacthal is an effective control, as are other products listed. There are 12 other speedwell species and difficulty of control varies. These are beginning to become serious turf weed pests.		
Ground ivy (Glechoma hederacea)	Turflon D Super Trimec Weedone DPC	Very difficult to control in summer.		
Spurge (supina)	Same as above plus Dacthal, PreM, Team	Can control with spring Euphorbia applications of preemergence herbicides.		
Oxalis (stricta)	Same as above except Dacthal	Can control with spring Oxalis applications of preemergence herbicides.		
Prostrate knotweed (Polygonum aviculare)	Same as ground ivy	Difficult to control in summer.		

(i.e. waxier) the leaf surface, the more difficult it is to retain water droplets.

Caution with surfactants

Some applicators always add a wetting agent to a herbicide to improve performance. However, this practice is not advised since unexpected results often occur. For starters, most herbicide manufacturers have some kind of wetting agent in their formulation and you don't need to add one.

The label will tell you under what conditions to add a surfactant. For instance, the Acclaim label suggests adding a wetting agent when the crabgrass is under drought stress. Based on the above discussion, one can see that always adding a wetting agent to Acclaim could result in unacceptable injury to the turf by increasing the absorption of the herbicide to phytotoxic levels under non-drought conditions. Thus, always follow label recommendations. LM

Dr. Branham is an associate professor in the Crop and Soil Sciences Department at Michigan State University.

IF THIS YEAR'S PROBLEMS LOOK LIKE THIS:

YOUR SCU FERTILIZATION PROGRAM SHOULD LOOK LIKE THIS:



Keep all your turf problems in line with one of the most diverse SCU fertilizer lines available—Lebanon Pro.

From straight granular fertilizers to combination products that contain today's leading control chemicals, Lebanon's high quality blends deliver the performance you need—predictable growth, even green-up and consistent color.

Our SCU products are carefully selected to be uniform in size, to flow freely and evenly, and provide the best possible nutrient distribution. Plus they're supported by a full line of granular control products for straight application.

Every standard formulation in the Lebanon Pro SCU line is stocked and ready, assuring you of product availability—whatever the season. And with our nationwide distribution network you can get the products you need, when you need them most.

For more information on Lebanon Pro SCU fertilizers, contact your local Lebanon sales representative, Lebanon distributor or call 1-800-233-0628.





The Season-To-Season SCU

Lebanon

Circle No. 113 on Reader Inquiry Card

© 1990 Lebanon Turf Products



PRICING FOR PROFIT II

Break out your calculators! In Part II, the author explains different methods of pricing based on targeted return on investment.

by Travis Phillips, Ph.D., Mississippi State University

The "targeted return on investment" approach to pricing provides a means for allocating overhead. It is based on beginning with pricing to meet a targeted return on investment (ROI).

The procedure provides an estimate that covers all costs including overhead plus the pre-selected return on equity. The procedure has been widely supported by the Association of Landscape Contractors of America (ALCA). Broader and more varied applications are presented by Tucker in his book "Pricing for Higher Profits." Although the procedure is no cure-all, it does provide a framework for using already available accounting data.

Projecting income

In order to get a specific price based on ROI, the income statement for the year ahead must first be projected. This is necessary in order to arrive at the price based on conditions when the service will be performed.

For a business engaged only in landscape construction, landscape maintenance, or retail nursery sales, departmental accounting is probably not worthwhile. However, since many horticulturally-related businesses offer all these products and services, I shall assume a three-activity firm.

The basis for projecting the income statement is the previous year's income statement, being sure to consider coming trends. If the previous year was abnormal, adjustments will be necessary.

Table 1 reflects the income statements for contracting and merchandising. The contracting department (landscape construction) was chosen for detailed illustration purposes. However, the same analysis was applied to the service department (main-TABLE 1

Past year's income statement, by departments

	Department			
Item	Contract	Service	Merchandise	Total
Sales	\$292,011	\$90,849	\$175,702\$	558,562
Beginning invent.	32.273	5.691	32.608	64.881
Purchases	95,841	-12-0	91,142	192.674
Ending inventory	36.250	5.691	31,034	67.284
Cost of goods	91,861		92,716	190,271
Gross Profit	200,147	85,158	82,986	82,986
Expenses				
Contracting Supp	5,757			5,575
Vehicles	14,227	14,227	1,000	29,454
Equipment rental	2,303			2,303
Salaries	109,605	51,054	46,610	202,269
Advertising	1,964		4,583	6,547
Repairs	1,341	1,340	1	2,681
Rent	7,174	1,000	4,026	12,200
Taxes-payroll	9,126	4,250	3,464	16,840
Taxes-property	1,332	184	743	2,259
Depreciation	10,355	7,141	357	17,853
Utilities	4,384	877	12,274	17,535
Dues & subscript	474		475	949
Buying expenses	85		85	170
Credit card disc.	262		786	1,048
Pro. fees	5,444	158	286	5,888
Insurance	8,264	3,849	3,138	15,251
Office supplies	2,587	892	1,706	5,185
Net interest	3,051	1,052	2,012	6,115
Miscellaneous	554	191	364	1,109
Total expenses	188,289	86,215	76,909	351,413
Profit	11 280	(1.057	6.077	16.878

tenance) and merchandising (garden center).

Begin the process of projecting the next year's income statement based on a targeted ROI by re-classifying items on the income statement for the previous year. The data in Table 2 are the classified cost items for the contracting department as shown in Table 1.

Direct and overhead costs

Two major classifications are direct costs (those costs which are a direct function of the product or service) and overhead costs (those which do not vary with the volume of sales).

The overhead category is further divided into variable and fixed costs. Variable overhead costs fall between direct and overhead fixed. These costs vary somewhat in direct relationship to the volume of sales. If possible, this group of costs should perhaps be charged directly to the product or ac-

tivity. The data in Table 3 represent regrouped data from Table 2. Costs of goods have been shifted from the accounting format to an item of direct costs.

Consider net worth

The next item of information needed is an estimate of the owner's equity or net worth—for the next year.

Suppose balance sheet values have been assigned to the three departments the same way as the departmental income statements. Then, each department gets its pro rata share of equity based on book value of equity.

TABLE 2

CONTRACTING DEPT. : income statement for past year by classified costs

line	Direct	Overhea	d Costs	Total
nem	UUSI	variable	FIXED	TDtal
Sales				\$292,011
Beginning invent.				32,273
Purchases				95,841
Ending inventory				36,250
Cost of goods				91,864
Gross Profit				200,147
Expenses				
Contracting Supp.	-	\$5,757		\$5,757
Vehicles	\$11.327		\$2,900	14,227
Equipment rental	2,303			2,303
Salaries	79,605		30,000	109,605
Advertising		1,964		1,964
Repairs		1,341		1,341
Rent			7,174	7,174
Taxes-payroll	6,426		2,700	9,126
Taxes-property			1,332	1,332
Depreciation			10,355	10,355
Utilities			4,384	4,384
Dues & subscript.			474	474
Buying expenses		85		85
Credit card disc.		262		262
Professional fees		0	5,444	5,444
Insurance		4,524	3,740	8,264
Office supplies		2,587		2,587
Net interest			3,051	3,051
Miscellaneous		554		554
Total expenses	99,661	17,074	71,554	188,289

Equity then needs to be adjusted to current market value so that the selected return is comparable to the best possible earnings on this sum of money if it were invested elsewhere.

Suppose that the book value of the equity in the contracting department is \$95,000. However, some assets appreciated, some fully depreciated. A conservative estimate of market value of equity is assumed to be \$120,000.

Add previous year data

After estimating equity for the next year, data for the previous year are used along with budgeted fixed costs and targeted profit to find the sales to substain fixed cost and profits. The historical relationship for direct costs and variable overhead, along with the projected fixed overhead and profit, generate the projected income statement.

Suppose that we select a 15 percent ROI as a goal. Profit then would be estimated at:

 $120,000 \times .15 = 18,000$ Fixed costs last year were \$71,554 and are expected to increase by 12 percent next year:

The total percent of sales figure is called the marginal ratio, or the

TABLE 3

CONTRACTING DEPARTMENT: Income statement for past year

tem	Dollars	Percent of Sales
Sales	292,001	100.00
Direct costs		
Cost of goods (materials)	91,864	
Vehicles	11,327	
Equipment rental	2,303	
Labor	79,605	
Labor burden	6,426	
Total direct	191,525	65.59
Overhead costs		
Variable		
Contracting supplies	5,757	
Advertisement	1,964	
Repairs	1,341	
Buying expense	85	
Credit card discounts	262	
Insurance	4,524	
Office supplies	2,587	
Miscellaneous	554	
Total variable	17,074	5.85
Fixed		
Vehicle insurance	2,900	
Administrative salaries	30,000	
Salary burden	2,700	
Rent	7,174	
Property tax	1,332	
Depreciation	10,355	
Utilities	4,384	
Dues and insurance	474	
Professional fees	5,444	
Insurance	3,740	
Interest	3,051	
Total fixed	71,554	24.50
Total overhead	88,628	30.35
Vet profit	11,858	4.06

\$71,554 x 1.12 = \$80,140 Profit plus fixed costs to be covered are: \$18,000 ROI 80,140 Fixed cost \$98,140 Total From the previous year's records, we find the following: DOLLARS % of sales **Fixed cost** 71,554 24.50 profit 11,861 4.06 28.56

amount of each dollar needed to cover fixed costs and profit. If these percentages of sales reflect trend, we use the marginal ratio in the next step. If not, we use a trend line to arrive at a representative value.

We now have the basis for completing the next year's income statement (Table 5). Direct cost items are increased by the same percentage amount that sales for the next year are projected to increase above sales for the previous year. Variable overhead

TABLE 4

CONTRACTING DEPT. : Projected income statement for next year by classified costs

Item	Dollars	Per Sales	cent of Exposure
Sales	343,627	100.00	
Direct costs			
Cost of goods (mat)	108 105		
Vehicles	13 329		
Equipment rental	2710		
Labor	93.679		
Labor burden	7.562		
Total direct	225,385	65.59	100.00
Overhead costs			
Variable			
Contracting supp.	6,778		
Advertisement	2,312		
Repairs	1,579		
Buying expense	100		
Credit card disc.	309		
Insurance	5,326		
Office supplies	3,046		
Miscellaneous	652		
Total variable	20,102	5.85	8.92
Fixed			
Vehicle insurance	3,248		
Admin. salaries	33,600		
Salary burden	3,024		
Rent	8,035		
Property tax	1,492		
Depreciation	11,597		
Utilities	4,410		
Dues and subsc.	531		
Professional fees	6,097		
Insurance	4,189		
Interest	3,417		
Total fixed	80,140	23.32	35.56
Tot. overhead	100,242	29.17	44.48
Net profit	18.000	5.24	-

Sales required to meet the targeted ROI Budgeted Fixed Cost + Profit Marginal Ratio \$80,140 + \$18,000 = \$343,627 .2856

costs are expected to maintain the same proportional relationship as for the previous year. Since fixed overhead costs were projected to increase by 12 percent over the previous period, each cost item in this group is multiplied by 1.12. Profit is the goal of \$18,000.

Subtotals

Next, the subtotals of costs are first calculated as a percent of sales. Of course, direct and overhead variable costs maintain the same percentage relationship to sales as for the previous year unless adjustments were made in the marginal ratio. Overhead fixed and total overhead costs and profits as a percent of sales change

TABLE 5

SERVICE DEPT. : Income statement for past year by classified costs

	Direct	Overhea	d Costs	
Item	Cost	Variable	Fixed	Tota
Sales				\$90,849
Purchases				5,691
Cost of gds.				5,691
Gross Profit				85,158
Expenses				
Vehicles	\$11,327		\$2,900	\$14,227
Salaries	41,054		10,000	51,054
Repairs		\$1,340		1,340
Rent			1,000	1.000
Taxes-payroll	3,400		850	4,250
Taxes-prop.			184	184
Depreciation			7,141	7,141
Utilities			877	877
Pro. fees			158	158
Insurance		1,508	2,341	3,849
Office supp.		892		892
Net interest			1,052	1,052
Misc.		191		191
	EE 701	3013	26 503	86 215

from the values of a year earlier.

A new column called percent of exposure is added. These are calculated as a percentage of total direct costs. This value means that total direct costs must be marked up nearly 44.5 percent in order to break even if about \$343,600 in sales are achieved.

An example

Let's examine the procedure used to reach a bid price which meets the goal of 15 percent ROI.

Suppose the proposed job contains \$10,000 of direct costs (materials, labor, etc.).

Overhead mark-up to direct job cost:

 $10,000 \times 1.4448 = breakeven$ price

Target price = profit + breakeven Target price - profit = breakeven Profit may be expressed as target

price \times profit as percent of sales which in this case is 5.24 or .0524. Substituting, we get:

Target price - .0524 target price = breakeven

.9476 target price = breakeven Target price = breakeven/.9476 \$14,448/.9476 = 15,247

Check:

- 15,247 14,448 = 799
- \$799/15,247 = 5.24%

Alternative: (Adjust exposure factor for profit)

1.4448/.9476 = 1.5247

Illustrating with the job containing \$10,000 direct costs:

 $10,000 \times 1.5247 = 15,247$ target price

TABLE 6

SERVICE DEPARTMENT: Income statement for past year

tem	Dollars	Percent of Sales
ales	90,849	100.00
Direct costs	0.004	
Cost of goods	5,691	
Vehicles	11,327	
Labor	41,054	
Labor burden	3,400	
lotal direct	61,472	67.66
Overhead costs		
Variable		
Insurance	1,508	
Office supplies	892	
Repairs	1,340	
Miscellaneous	191	
Total variable	3,931	4.33
Fixed		
Vehicle insurance	2,900	
Admin. salaries	10,000	
Salary burden	850	
Rent	1,000	
Property tax	184	
Depreciation	7,141	
Utilities	877	
Professional fees	158	
Insurance	2,341	
Interest	1,052	
Total fixed	26,503	29.17
Total overhead	30,434	33.55
· · ·	-	11 10

Material Labor & other direct costs	\$5,000
	10,000
\$10,000 x 1.5247 = \$15,247	



Material markup fixed

Often it is not possible to markup some of the materials to achieve the firm's goal. When this is the case, other direct costs must be marked up more to compensate.

Suppose we have the following situation:

However, materials can only be marked up 20 percent instead of the 52.47 percent needed for targeted profit.

Therefore, labor and other direct costs must be marked up by 1.8494 instead of 1.5247 when they carry equal weights in total direct costs.

TABLE 7

SERVICE DEPT. : Projected income statement for next year by classified costs

		Percent of	
Item	Dollars	Sales	Exposure
Sales	132,750	100.00	
Direct costs			
Cost of goods	8,316		
Vehicles	16,551		
Salaries	50,988		
Labor burden	4,968		
Total direct	98,823	66.67	100.00
Overhead costs			
Variable			
Insurance	2,204		
Office supplies	1,303		
Repairs	1.958		
Miscellaneous	279		
Total variable	5,744	4.33	6.39
Fixed			
Vehicle insurance	3,248		
Admin, salaries	11,200		
Salary burden	952		
Rent	1,120		
Property taxes	206		
Depreciation	7,998		
Utilities	982		
Pro. fees	177		
Insurance	2.622		
Interest	1,178		
Total fixed	29,683	22.36	33.05
Tot. overhead	35,427	26.69	39.44
Net profit	7,500	5.65	

		% of sales
Fixed cost	\$26,503	29.17
Profit	(1,507)	(1.16)
		28.01

The service department

The past year's income data are contained in Tables 5 and 6.

Next year's income statement was projected on basis of a 15 percent return on equity with a market value of \$50,000. Fixed costs in the department were also expected to increase by 12 percent.

Since profits in the previous year were negative, the negative value is used in calculating the marginal ratio:

Sales for the next year were projected as \$132,750 = (\$29,683 + 7,500)/.2801. The next year's income statement is contained in Table 7.

Another strategy often used in pricing results when one item of direct costs greatly dominates, or when a major cost item such as labor maintains a fixed relationship to the other direct costs. When this is the case, this key factor may be used for bidding or pricing rather than using all direct costs.

The 1.4779 is multiplied by the ap-

With All The Abuse Your Turf Takes, Who Needs Root Pruning?

You know the story. The guys who swing an iron the way a lumberjack wields an ax are

the same guys who yell the loudest when weeds give them a bad lie. So, with all the abuse your turf takes, the last thing you need is root-pruning from your herbicide. That's why you need CHIPCO* RONSTAR* brand G herbicide. University root pull studies show that CHIPCO* RONSTAR* G works without pruning turf roots. That means healthier roots and stronger, more durable turf. Best of all, just one pre-emergence application provides season-long control of 25 tough broadleaf and grassy weeds —including goosegrass, crabgrass and Poa Annua

Ronstar G

 -including goosegrass, crabgrass and Poa Annua. You'll also appreciate the fact that CHIPCO* RONSTAR* G won't leach out or move laterally through the soil and is labeled for use on a wide variety of ornamentals, so you can keep more of your course weed-free with each application. CHIPCO* RONSTAR* brand G herbicide. It can't improve the quality of play on your course, just the quality of weed control.



Rhone-Poulenc Ag Company, 2 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709. For additional information, please call: 1-800-334-9745. As with any crop protection chemical, always read and follow instructions on the label. CHIPCO and RONSTAR are registered trademarks of Rhone-Poulenc. © 1990 Rhone-Poulenc Ag Company. Circle No. 134 on Reader Inquiry Card

RHÔNE-POULENC

TABLE 8

MERCHANDISING DEPT. : Income statement for past year by classified costs

Variable 0 0 \$4,583	Fixed \$200 10,000	Total \$175,702 32,608 91,142 31,034 92,716 82,986 \$1,000 41,610 4,522
0 0 \$4.583	\$200 10,000	\$175,702 32,608 91,142 31,034 92,716 82,986 \$1,000 41,610 4,522
0 0 \$4.583	\$200 10,000	32,608 91,142 31,034 92,716 82,986 \$1,000 41,610
0 0 \$4,583	\$200 10,000	32,608 91,142 31,034 92,716 82,986 \$1,000 41,610
0 0 \$4,583	\$200 10,000	91,142 31,034 92,716 82,986 \$1,000 41,610 4,583
0 0 \$4,583	\$200 10,000	31,034 92,716 82,986 \$1,000 41,610 4,583
0 0 \$4,583	\$200 10,000	92,716 82,986 \$1,000 41,610 4 582
0 0 \$4,583	\$200 10,000	\$1,000 41,610
0 0 \$4.583	\$200 10,000	\$1,000 41,610 4 583
0 0 \$4,583	\$200 10,000	\$1,000 41,610 4 583
0 \$4,583	10,000	41,610
\$4,583		4 583
		4,000
	4,026	4,026
4	850	3,464
	743	743
	357	357
	12,274	12,274
	475	475
85		85
786		786
	286	286
1,509	1,629	3,138
1,706		1,706
	2,012	2,012
364		364
4 9,033	32,852	76,909
	85 786 1,509 1,706 <u>364</u> 9,033	85 786 1,509 1,706 2,012 2,012 4 9,033 32,852

For example, we sha	all use la	bor:
Sales		\$132,750
Direct costs	\$8,316	
Cost of goods	16,551	
Vehicles	59,988	
Labor	4,968	
Labor burden	89,823	
Total direct	5,744	
Overhead-variable	29,683	
Overhead-fixed		\$125,250
Total costs		\$7,500
For example, we sha	all use la	bor:
Total Direct + Overhe	ad + Pro	fit_ 1 4770
Total Direc	t	-= 1.4//9

propriate total direct cost for the price of the job. However, only labor (labor cost) may be used:

Total direct + overhead + profit ÷ = 2.2129

Thus, the markup is 2.2129 times direct labor instead of using total and direct cost.

Merchandising department

Tables 8 and 9 contain the data for the previous year for the merchandising department. Profit for the year ahead was projected as 15 percent on an equity with a current market vlue of \$80,000. Fixed costs were projected to increase by 12 percent.

In order to achieve the \$12,000 desired ROI, sales were projected at

TABLE 9

MERCHANDISING DEPARTMENT: Income statement for past year

tem	Dollars	Percent of Sales
ales	175,702	100.00
Direct costs		
Cost of goods (purchases)	92,716	
Vehicles	800	
Labor	31,610	
Labor burden	2,614	
Total direct	127,740	72.70
Overhead costs		
Variable		
Advertisement	4,583	
Buying expense	85	
Credit card discounts	786	
Insurance	1,509	
Office supplies	1,706	
Miscellaneous	364	
Total variable	9,033	5.14
Fixed		
Vehicle insurance	200	
Administrative salaries	10,000	
Salary burden	850	
Rent	4,026	
Property tax	743	
Depreciation	357	
Utilities	12,274	
Dues and insurance	475	
Professional fees	286	
Insurance	1,629	
Interest	2,012	
Total fixed	32,852	18.70
Total overhead	41,885	23.84
let profit	6.077	3.46

For example:		
Sales		\$220,189
Direct costs		
Purchase	\$116,187	
Vehicles	1,002	
Labor	39,612	
Labor burden	3,276	
Total direct cost	ts 160,077	
Overhead-variable	11,318	
Overhead-fixed	36,794	
Total costs		\$208,189
Profit		\$12,000
Using the total of dir	rect cost:	
fotal Direct + Overhe	ad + Profi	t_ + 2755
Total Direc	t	= 1.3755

\$220, 189 (Table 10).

This required a factor of 1.3006 markup on direct costs to breakeven or 1.3755 to cover all costs and profit. Rather than pricing merchandising at retail as a function of direct cost, a more common policy is to mark it up as a function of merchandise (purchase) cost.

For example:

The 1.3755 is multiplied times the appropriate total direct cost in order

TABLE 10

MERCHANDISING DEPT. : Projected income statement for next year by classified costs				
		Percent of		
Item	Dollars	Sales	Exposure	
Sales	220,189	100.00		
Direct costs				
Cost of goods (purch.)	116,187			
Vehicles	1,002			
Labor	39,612			
Labor burden	3,276			
Total direct	160,077	72.70	100.00	
Overhead costs				
Variable				
Advertisement	5,742			
Buying expense	106			
Credit card disc.	985			
Insurance	1,891			
Office supplies	2,138			
Miscellaneous	456			
Total variable	11,318	7.07	7.07	
Fixed				
Vehicle insurance	224			
Admin. salaries	11,200			
Salary burden	952			
Rent	4,509			
Property tax	832			
Depreciation	400			
Utilities	13,747			
Dues and insur.	532			
Pro. fees	320			
Insurance	1,825			
Interest	2,253			
Total fixed	36,794	22.99	22.99	
Tot. overhead	48,112	30.06	30.06	
Net profit	12.000			

to ascertain the selling price. However, if only the merchandise (purchase price—cost of goods) cost is used:

Total direct + overhead + profit \div merchandise (purchases) = 1.8951

Thus, the markup is 1.8951 times merchandise cost instead of total direct cost. However, usually at retail, the selling price is expressed in terms of markup from the selling price instead of the purchase price. The factor of 1.8951 to be multiplied by the purchase price may be converted to selling price basis (Table 11).

For example, an item which has a purchase price of \$1, with the above targeted markup would be priced by $$1.0000 \times 1.8951 = 1.90 or \$1.0000/.5277 = \$1.90.

The asking price

Now that we have looked at alternative applications of arriving at the price, what price do we actually ask? Let's look at merchandise first because it is less complicated.

The targeted price is designed as the average realized by the department. Since some merchandise will