

# What They Say About FQPA

"Factors used to calculate daily exposures to handlers are based on best professional judgment due to a lack of pertinent data."

— from EPA's preliminary risk assessment  
on residential use of Di-Syston

"The EPA's restrictions are driven by factors other than science. EPA administrator Carol Browner herself stated that 'the benefits of a diet that includes fruits and vegetables far outweigh risks of pesticides.' This is the message that should be coming through loud and clear, but is unfortunately muddled by unscientific cries of environmental hysterics."

— Dr. Elizabeth Whelan, President of the  
American Council on Science and Health

"The major contributor to the risk of cancer is not so much any specific chemical in food. Far more significant are the amount and variety of food consumed — and specifically the excess calories a person eats."

— Dr. Ronald Estabrook, member,  
National Academy of Sciences

"EPA claims to know what is the best way to protect crops and reduce risks from pesticide residues. With its regulatory blinders firmly in place, the agency is focusing on eliminating pesticides with a long history of effective and safe use, expecting them to be replaced by lower-risk alternatives. EPA's version of the 'Field of Dreams' theme is, 'If you ban it, better replacements will come.'

— Kenneth W. Chilton, Ph.D, senior fellow/manager of environmental research, Washington University, in article distributed by  
Knight Ridder/Tribune