

To the homeowner, overall appearance and performance of a turfgrass are more important than the term "dwarfness."

DWARF TALL FESCUE: WHAT TO EXPECT

The only people who may easily see dwarf characteristics of the new dwarf tall fescues are seed farmers. But the other advantages of these fescues are worth checking out.

by Richard Hurley, Ph.D., Lofts Seed, Inc.

uring the past 10 years, there has been tremendous breeding activity of turf-type tall fescue. Recent selection of breeding material has been toward plants that are finer in leaf texture, darker color, producing denser stands of mown turf, with a slower growth rate. The results are quite dramatic when comparing the best of the new releases to KY-31

tall fescue.

At this time, the top performing commercially-available varieties include Rebel Jr., Avanti, Hubbard 87, Shortstop, Guardian, Monarch, Amigo, Thoroughbred, Normarc 99, Shenandoah, Crossfire, Chieftain and Aztec. These varieties are sometimes referred to as "lower-growing types." By people in the seed industry they

are also commonly called "dwarfs" to describe the lower growth habit, slower growth rate and lower overall height of the plants when left unmown and allowed to produce seed.

The term "dwarf" applied to a turftype tall fescue may not relate to the homeowner, sod grower, golf course superintendent or lawn care specialist. They may not see the dwarf characteristics. The only one who definitely witnesses the lower growth habit is the seed farmer, as the dwarf varieties may attain a lower full mature plant height in comparison with KY-31 or other turf-type varieties.

Scientifically, dwarfness in tall fescues is for real. The factors causing dwarfness or low growth in tall fescues may be a result of one or more of the following:

(1) genetic dwarfness,

(2) increased stand density as a result of increased tillering,

(3) day-length effect with short days of fall and winter causing a change in growth pattern to a lower, slower-growing profile,

(4) plants selected for breeding have a lower leaf canopy and lower

crowns (growing point).

To the consumer, the term "dwarf"

may be misleading. Even though these newer types grow slower and produce fewer clippings per mowing, they still have to be mowed.

Drawbacks

The extreme in dwarfness can have drawbacks. To tolerate wear and withstand foot traffic and other abuses, lawns and turf must keep growing to replace damaged, old or worn-out leaves. Even on the healthiest plant, each leaf lives only 3 to 4 weeks during the growing season.

So a lawn must be actively growing to stay healthy and provide wear toler-

Some of the more extreme lowergrowing types may not tolerate traffic well as a result of a slow rate of leaf replacement. The lower growth habit is especially apparent during the short days of fall, winter and early spring. When cooler temperatures have slowed the growth rate, the older leaves have been attacked by net blotch (leaf spot). Another concern with the extreme dwarf types is with a

slower rate of establishment and less seedling vigor compared to the original turf-type varieties.

Things to love

The best of the newer commerciallyavailable varieties have characteristics that the consumer can appreciate-like dark green leaf color, fine leaf texture and a dense turf stand.

We should be focusing on overall attractiveness and long-term performance rather than emphasizing the catchword "dwarfness."

continued on page 60

a symbou	1 Extreme Dwarf: Turf Types	2 Moderately Low-Growing Turf Types	3 Improved Second Genera- tion Turf Types	4 Original Turf Types	5 Tall Fescues for Low-Maintenance Turfs
Plant height at maturity*	under 35"	Approx. 35-45"	Approx. 45-55"	50" and taller	50" and taller
Leaf texture	Fine	Fine	Finer than 4 & 5	Finer than KY-31	All similar to KY-31
Stand density	Dense	Dense	Moderately dense	More dense than KY-31	More open than turf types
Growth rate	Slow	Moderately slow	Slower than 4 & 5	Slower than KY-31	Moderately fast
Appearance	As attractive as bluegrass	As attractive as bluegrass	More attractive than 4 & 5	More attractive than KY-31	Less attractive as a fine turf compared to a turf types
Color	Dark green	Dark green	Darker than 4 & 5	Darker than Category 5	Light green
Establish- ment rate from seed	Slower than 2, 3, 4 & 5	Slower than 3, 4 & 5	Moderately fast	Moderately fast	Moderately fast
Comments	May provide less traffic tolerance due to slower rate of growth (leaf replace- ment). May be more prone to pythium and brown patch in hot, humid areas. Good long, term persistence, especially when main- tained at 2-3" or higher.	Good traffic tolerance on established turfs. May show increased disease activity from pythium and brown patch in hot, humid areas. Good long-term persistence, especially when maintained at 2-3" or higher.	Good traffic tolerance on established turfs. Good fall rerecovery after summer attacks from pythium and brown patch. Good long-term persistence, especially when maintained at 2-3" or higher.	Good traffic tolerance on established turfs. Good fall recovery after summer attacks of pythium and brown patch. Good long-term persistence, especially when maintained at 2-3" or higher. Good shade tolerance.	Pair traffic tolerance on established turfs. Fewer summer problems from brown patch and pythium compared to groups 1, 2, 3 or 4. Will not persist as well under low heght of cut (less than 2-3"). Does not perform as well in shade compared to turf types.
Sample varieties	Bonsai, Shortstop & Mini Mustang	Rebel Jr. & Hubbard 87	Rebel II, Tribute, Apache, Jaguar II & Bonanza	Rebel, Falcon, Houndog, Olympic, Mustang & Jaguar	KY-31, Fawn, Alta, Kenhy, Tip, Willamette, Mojave, Chesapeake, Clemfine & Richmond

Performance of commercially available tall fescue cultivars and selections in a turf trial seeded September 1987 at Martinsville, N.J.

		Turf	Turf Quality ¹			
		BILL WALL	1988-	Tex-	Den-	
Cultivar or			1989	ture ²	sity ³	Color
Selection	1988	1989	AVG	1989	1989	1989
Rebel Jr.	6.4	5.5	6.0	5.5	5.5	6.7
Aztec	6.2	5.7	6.0	6.0	5.2	6.4
Avanti	5.9	5.6	5.8	6.0	5.2	6.4
Hubbard 87	5.6	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.7	5.7
Shortstop	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.3	6.5
Guardian	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.6	4.7	6.2
Monarch	5.5	5.8	5.7	5.5	6.0	6.6
Normarc 99	5.4	5.8	5.6	5.7	5.4	6.6
Shenandoah	5.9	5.3	5.6	5.8	5.0	6.0
Crossfire	5.5	5.7	5.6	6.1	5.7	6.4
Chieftain	5.8	5.0	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.1
Amigo	5.7	5.1	5.4	5.5	5.9	5.3
Thoroughbred	5.3	5.4	5.4	6.0	5.2	5.2
Trailblazer	5.8	4.8	5.3	5.4	4.7	6.0
Rebel II	5.1	5.4	5.3	5.5	5.4	5.3
Wrangler	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.6	5.5	5.3
Eldorado	5.4	4.9	5.2	4.8	4.9	5.8
Taurus	5.3	4.9	5.1	4.9	4.7	5.7
Sundance	5.3	4.8	5.1	4.8	4.5	5.5
Barnone	5.1	5.0	5.1	5.1	4.5	5.7
Apache	5.2	4.9	5.1	4.7	4.8	5.5
Tribute	5.0	5.1	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.5
Bonanza	5.1	4.8	5.0	6.0	4.5	5.8
Phoenix	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.5
Titan	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.2	4.9
Twilight	5.7	4.1	4.9	5.4	4.4	5.9
Normarc 25	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.8
Olympic	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.4	5.4
Silverado	4.7	4.9	4.8	5.7	4.7	6.4
Winchester	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.9	5.6
Jaguar II	4.6	4.9	4.8	5.2	4.7	5.3
	5.1	4.3	4.7	5.8	5.3	5.4
Murietta	4.5	4.9	4.7	5.0	4.4	5.5
Legend		4.9	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.8
Carefree	4.5		4.7		4.7	4.0
Adventure	4.7	4.6		4.7		
Cimmaron	4.9	4.3	4.6	5.2	4.7	5.2
Emperor	4.6	4.6	4.6	5.1	5.0	5.6
Finelawn 5GL	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5	5.2
Arid	4.7	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.5
Jaguar	4.3	4.5	4.4	5.4	4.5	4.7
Mesa	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.7	4.2	5.4
Pacer	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.8	4.2	4.9
Rebel	3.9	4.4	4.2	4.3	3.7	4.1
Richmond	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.7	4.4
Falcon	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1
Finelawn I	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.5	4.2
Fatima	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9
Trident	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.2	5.0
Tip	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.7
KY-31	3.7	3.1	3.4	3.0	2.5	3.3
Willamette	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.6	3.7	3.9
LSD at 5% =	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.6

^{19 =} best turf quality

Source: The author

The variety Rebel Jr. is an example of a lower-growing fescue, but not to the extreme as provided in the variety Bonsai. The more moderate growth pattern of Rebel Jr. and similar types may have a distinct advantage over the more extreme lower-growing types, especially when used in the humid disease-prone areas of the southeast U.S. and middle Atlantic states.

Recent data from variety evaluation trials conducted at Rutgers, University and university-sponsored test sites show a distinct summer decline in some of the newer so-called dwarf-

Many of the newer varieties can produce a denser turf compared to the original turf types.

types (see tables). This may be due to increased disease activity from brown patch and pythium, and possibly less heat and drought tolerance caused by excessive plant density. More research is needed to determine the exact cause of this summer decline as observed on some dwarfs.

Many of the newer varieties can produce a denser turf compared to the original turf types. But turf density can be increased to a degree by other factors, including frequent closer mowing, irrigation and adequate fertility. Although increased turf density provides a more attractive, wear-resistant turf, it may also subject the turf to more drought stress and certain density-associated diseases such as pythium blight and rhizoctonia brown patch.

Everyone should be aware of some claims made within the seed industry when erroneously categorizing some tall fescue varieties as dwarf types. Varieties such as Richmond, Mojave and Willamette should not be confused with dwarfs. In actuality these varieties, along with Clemfine, Tip and Chesapeake, are not much different in overall turf qualities compared to KY-31.

To make matters easier, the varieties of tall fescues presently being marketed can be grouped into five main categories. These catagories are summarized in the table on page 58. However, there are additional varieties (many of which are listed in the performance trials that follow) that are intermediate between these five categories.

²⁹ = finest leaf texture

^{39 =} densest turf

^{49 =} darkest green color