## **LETTERS**

## Tree injection rebuttal

Dr. Funk's reply to "Pennsylvania" (WTT, June, 1981) reflected a narrow, misleading, uninformed view of Mauget injections. None of the "data" Davey refers to has been published, has it?

1. Mauget has advocated for the past two years in the N.E. the use of a predrilled injection site (11/64" diameter 3/8" deep into the xylem) as preferred to the insertion tool method of injection as demonstrated by published data (J. of Arbor. 6 (8) 1980).

2. Mauget for the past three (3) years had NOT advocated "trunk injection" but rather Rootflare Micro-injection sites. There is a vast difference. These data have been published (J. of Arbor. 6 (8) 1980).

3. The "distribution and uptake" of chemical by 40 psi method is not advocated by many scientists. We do not require more than 6-8 psi in the Mauget system to achieve very satisfactory "distribution and uptake."

4. Mauget has had EPA label expansion for the use of Inject-A-Cide B to effectively manage the Bronze Birch Borer in Birch in the N.E. since 1978. Its continuing studies with dogwood borer are most encouraging as are studies with scales. They anticipate label clearance for both of these pests.

5. Dr. Funk failed to respond to the reader's question concerning "convenience" and "expense." There is no more "convenient," safe, labor efficient technology than the Mauget method. Relative to costs — my results with the Mauget Stemix-Hi Volume fertilizer via rootflare micro-injection average one third (1/3) LESS than conventional "soil fertilizing." Biological efficacy obtained is superior to conventional fertilizing especially with urban trees.

In Davey's "continuing research" on "trunk injections" I would strongly urge them to evaluate the Mauget technology modified to use a drill and making injection sites in the root-flare of the tree. Davey has the opportunity to

refute the above statements or at the very least to move into a fuller understanding of the changing world of "tree injection" to allow better balanced answers to your readers.

Sincerely yours, Arthur C. Costonis, Ph.D. Systemics, Inc. Westwood, Mass.





Now Has Emergence of the highly successful, exceptionally handsome turf-type ryegrasses lends further cre-An Added dence to the historic claim that "ryegrass is the world's most widely used grass."

**Dimension** For years annual and perennial ryegrass have found a home where there is need for a fast-germinating grass which quickly forms a strong root system and forms a durable, inexpensive, easy-to-maintain turf.

Now added to the product line are the finer-bladed turf-type ryegrasses which rival the best of the fescues, bentgrasses and bluegrasses for turf quality.

They not only mix well with these varieties, the ryegrasses germinate in a matter of 7-10 days, mow beautifully and never need pampering.

That's why ryegrass — now more than ever before — is called "the versatile grass."

## Ryegrass is truly the all-round performer.

Oregon Ryegrass Commission, Salem, Oregon