# COSTS AND RETURNS <br> OF MARYLAND SOD PRODUCTION 

By J. Thomas Gilbert, Jr. and Billy V. Lessley ${ }^{2}$

This is the final in a series of three articles dealing with the structure and costs and returns for sod production and marketing in Maryland. The first article introduced the Maryland sod industry's characteristics for the 1976 crop year and the second provided costs and returns per acre for sod produced and marketed on an unharvested basis.
The purpose of this article is to describe, develop and present costs and returns for the various vertically integrated options observed for the Maryland turfgrass industry in 1976. These options include different harvest techniques employed to lift the sod and different transportation methods used

[^0]to deliver the harvested product. Production costs for this analysis were reported in the second article and are shown in Table 1. All data are based on a research project conducted through the Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station. ${ }^{3}$

Thirty-four of the 56 producers who cooperated in the study performed integrated services such as cutting, cutting and loading, delivery, and/or installing Maryland turfgrass. Of these 34, 23 reported delivering and/or installing turfgrass. In general, those individuals who harvested also delivered and installed the turfgrass. These individuals were producers or were a part of a landscape company who had contracted the acreage. A few producers cut only, or cut and loaded sod for other contractors. Generally, landscapers and sod installation companies possessed their own equipment and manpower to harvest the turfgrass and did not desire to pay a premium price for the sod if the producer wished to harvest it himself.

Totally vertical integrated operations were the exception rather than the general rule for several reasons. First, since sod is a highly perishable product once it is lifted (cut) and loaded, har-

Table 1. Average Total Costs of Production for Various Sizes of Turfgrass Farms, Maryland, 1976

|  |  | Farm Size |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less |  | Greater |  |  |
|  | Than | $100-150$ | $151-300$ | Than | All |
| Item | 100 Acres | Acres | Acres | 300 Acres | Growers |


| Fixed Costs - Dollars Per Acre, Two-Year Production Period - |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 68.12 | 48.10 | 37.64 | 35.55 | 41.29 |
| Repairs | 34.06 | 24.05 | 18.82 | 17.78 | 20.65 |
| Insurance | 4.08 | 2.89 | 2.26 | 2.13 | 2.48 |
| Permanent Structures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 19.26 | 14.56 | 10.78 | 10.18 | 14.70 |
| Repairs | 3.86 | 2.92 | 2.16 | 2.04 | 2.94 |
| Insurance | 3.86 | 2.92 | 2.16 | 2.04 | 2.94 |
| Supervisory Services | 7.21 | 6.70 | 15.14 | 26.05 | 13.65 |
| Real Estate Tax | 9.00 | 9.28 | 9.24 | 9.38 | 9.28 |
| Interest on Fixed Capital | 52.50 | 38.26 | 30.44 | 29.78 | 35.52 |
| Land Rental Rate | 70.00 | 70.00 | 70.00 | 70.00 | 70.00 |
| Average Fixed Cost | 271.95 | 219.68 | 198.64 | 204.93 | 213.45 |
| Variable Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | 78.40 | 60.80 | 69.00 | 84.32 | 76.13 |
| Fertilizer | 32.96 | 33.40 | 29.12 | 37.06 | 33.54 |
| Top-dressing | 84.12 | 79.26 | 72.52 | 77.64 | 80.80 |
| Herbicides | 11.07 | 11.91 | 15.25 | 20.85 | 14.31 |
| Lime | 17.59 | 13.25 | 19.25 | 14.83 | 16.59 |
| Fuel and Oil | 32.27 | 30.36 | 26.77 | 31.55 | 31.11 |
| Production Labor | 63.65 | 60.39 | 45.44 | 59.58 | 59.61 |
| Interest on Variable Capital | 28.11 | 25.47 | 24.40 | 28.65 | 27.43 |
| Average Variable Cost | 348.17 | 314.84 | 301.75 | 354.48 | 339.52 |
| Average Total Cost | 620.12 | 534.52 | 500.39 | 559.41 | 552.97 |
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vesters must be guaranteed a final market prior to harvest. This is especially difficult for producers who do not possess the resources or desire to search out and transact key sales or who do not choose to be involved with managing a harvest-delivery-installation operation.

A second factor contributing to limited vertical integration in the industry is the constraint imposed by the capital outlay for equipment necessary to harvest, deliver and install turfgrass. The high capital costs of this specialized equipment, coupled with the high annual costs of operation,

Table 2. Average Labor Requirements, Wage Rate and Labor Cost for Harvesting Turfgrass by Various Methods, Maryland, 1976

|  | Method of Harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hand-Directed Hand Rolled | TractorPowered Hand Rolled | Palletizer Palletized Handling |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Labor | $\$ 288.11$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 247.32 \\ \text { /acre } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 154.47$ /acre |
| Total Labor | $\begin{aligned} & 6.26 \\ & \text { cents/yd }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.37 \\ \text { cents/yd }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.36 \\ \text { cents/yd } 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Labor Required To Harvest One Acre (Hours) | 95.4 | 84.7 | 45.3 |
| Average Hourly Wage | \$3.02 | \$2.92 | \$3.41 |

are too expensive to be considered economically feasible by many Maryland turfgrass producers.

There were three methods of harvest observed on Maryland turfgrass farms. These varied widely in the degree of mechanization and, subsequently, labor use. The first method, used mostly by smallscale harvesters, involved using a hand-directed machine which cut the sod in segments 15 inches wide and three to four feet long. The sod was then
rolled into balls and hand loaded onto trucks. The second method involved using a tractor-powered sod cutter which lifted the sod. The sod was then rolled and hand loaded onto trucks. The final method, observed on turfgrass farms where large acreages were harvested, was characterized by use of a palletizer mounted and secured on a tractor. The palletizer lifted the sod and transferred it up a conveyor belt while rolling it into a ball. At the end of the conveyor, and stationed on the back of the tractor, one or two men received the rolled ball and loaded it on a pallet. The pallet was dropped at the rear of the tractor when it became full. Extra pallets were carried on the side of the palletizer so very little time was spent waiting for extra pallets. Full pallets were then loaded on waiting trucks by a forklift.

Costs and returns for harvested turfgrass are presented on both an acre and a square yard basis. Cost and return figures developed on a per acre basis were converted to a square yard figure by using a harvest rate of 95 percent, or 4,600 square yards per acre.

Twenty-three harvesters supplied detailed information concerning the varied methods of harvesting turfgrass. Labor costs for the three methods are reported in Table 2. These costs include labor for lifting, rolling and loading turfgrass. As shown in Table 2, total labor hours and total labor cost decreased as the degree of mechanization increased.

Total labor cost for the hand-directed, hand rolled method was $\$ 288.11$ per acre, 16 percent greater than the labor cost of $\$ 247.32$ for the trac-tor-powered, hand-rolled method. Use of the palletized system cut labor cost by 38 and 46 percent, respectively, when compared to the tractorpowered and the hand-directed, hand-rolled systems of harvesting turfgrass (Table 2). However, the advantages of labor savings and decreased harvest time associated with the palletizer method were partially offset by increased equipment investment (palletizer, replacement pallets, tractor, forklift) and associated annual fixed and variable costs for the more sophisticated system of harvesting and loading turfgrass.

Table 3. Average Cost of Harvest Machinery and Equipment by Various Methods of Harvest, Maryland, 1976

| Item | Method of Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hand Directed Hand Rolled |  | Tractor Powered, Hand Rolled |  | Palletizer, Palletized Handling |  |
|  | \$/acre | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ | \$/acre | cents/yd ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | \$/acre | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ |
| Depreciation | 49.41 | 1.074 | 48.69 | 1.058 | 60.19 | 1.308 |
| Repairs | 15.44 | 0.336 | 15.21 | 0.331 | 18.81 | 0.409 |
| Insurance | 1.85 | 0.040 | 1.83 | 0.040 | 2.26 | 0.049 |
| Interest | 15.75 | 0.342 | 15.52 | 0.337 | 19.18 | 0.417 |
| Average Fixed Cost | 82.45 | 1.792 | 81.25 | 1.766 | 100.44 | 2.183 |
| Gas and Oil | 6.20 | 0.135 | 25.54 | 0.555 | 40.74 | 0.886 |
| Blades | 27.50 | 0.598 | 27.50 | 0.598 | 27.50 | 0.598 |
| Replacement Pallets | -- |  | -- |  | 42.27 | 0.919 |
| Average Variable Cost | 33.70 | 0.733 | 53.04 | 1.153 | 110.51 | 2.403 |
| Average Total Cost | 116.15 | 2.525 | 134.29 | 2.919 | 210.95 | 4.586 |

Fixed, variable and total costs for harvest machinery and equipment are reported in Table 3. Average fixed costs for hand-directed and tractorpowered methods of harvest are approximately equal. This was true even though the tractorpowered method was more capital intensive. This resulted from producers using the tractor-powered method to harvest about three times as many acres of turfgrass as those producers who used the handdirected method. Average fixed cost for the palletizer was not offset by the increased acreage harvested and averaged $\$ 100.44$ per acre, or approximately 24 percent more than the average fixed costs per acre for the tractor-powered hand rolled method of harvest.

Average variable costs for the palletized method of harvest accounted for much of the difference in average total cost for the three methods. The cost of additional gasoline, oil and replacement pallets accounted for the difference in average variable cost between the palletizer and the other two methods. Blade expense was constant for each method of harvest since deterioration of the blade was affected by the soil condition and not so much by the method of harvest. An average of one blade per acre harvested was used as the basis for this cost. Average variable cost for machinery and equipment (forklift, palletizer, tractor, pallets, fuel and oil) for the palletizer method was $\$ 110.51$ per acre or 228 percent more than the $\$ 33.70$ per acre cost for the hand-directed, hand rolled system and 108 percent more than the $\$ 53.04$ per acre cost for the tractor-powered, hand rolled system of harvest.

Average total cost for machinery and equipment for the palletized method was $\$ 210.95$ per acre or 82 percent more than the $\$ 116.15$ total per acre cost for the hand-directed, hand rolled method and 57 percent more than the $\$ 134.29$ cost for the tractor powered, hand rolled system of harvest (Table 3).

Individuals who perform harvest and delivery operations of turfgrass are continually charged with the responsibility of securing an adequate market for their product and services. Sales and administrative costs of performing this responsibility in the form of advertising, secretarial and bookkeeping services, office and utility expenses were $\$ 207.04$ per acre harvested, or 4.501 cents per square yard of harvested turfgrass.

Total harvest cost (including sales and administrative costs, labor and machinery costs) was $\$ 572.46$ per acre ( 12.445 cents per square yard) for the palletizer method. Individuals who used the hand-directed, hand-rolled system had the highest total harvest cost of $\$ 611.30$ per acre, or 13.289 cents per square yard, while the tractor-powered, handrolled method had total harvest costs of $\$ 588.65$ per acre, or 12.797 cents per square yard. ${ }^{4}$

The average cost for two methods of delivery of turfgrass is shown in Table 4. Costs for each method were based on the assumption that each delivery was made at maximum truck capacity to a single destination. Although most individuals reported this to be the usual case, some sent trucks that made more than one delivery stop and/or trucks that were partially loaded. Both of these
conditions would increase the calculated average cost per yard for delivery of turfgrass for any single trip.

Table 4. Dellivery Expense: Average Cost of Transportation by Alternative Methods, Maryland, 1976 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Item | Method I | Method II |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ |
| Depreciation | 2.195 | 2.443 |
| Repairs | 1.164 | 1.571 |
| Taxes (Tags) | 0.421 | 0.393 |
| Interest | 0.866 | 0.964 |
| Insurance | 0.817 | 0.595 |
| $\quad$ Average Fixed Cost | 5.463 | 5.966 |
| Labor | 3.129 | 2.100 |
| Gas and Oil | 2.177 | 1.232 |
| $\quad$ Average Variable Cost | 5.306 | 3.332 |
| Average Total Cost | 10.769 | 9.298 |

${ }^{\text {an }}$ The trucks used for delivery were valued at $\$ 10,975$ and $\$ 24,425$ for Methods I and II, respectively. Depreciation was based on an expected useful life of five years, with 30 percent salvage value. Interest was charged at 8.5 percent of average investment while repairs, tags and insurance were computed from grower responses. Method I transported 350-400 yards of sod and Method II transported 650-700 yards of sod. Most palletized sod was transported under Method II, but each method could transport either rolled or palletized sod. Method II was equipped with a stationary boom to facilitate unloading.

Costs for each segment of the integrated turfgrass industry including production through transportation were developed for various sizes of farms and methods employed in producing, harvesting and marketing turfgrass. Average total cost for each combination of production, harvest and transportation including the options to purchase by the acre, sell by the acre, or sell harvested f.o.b. at the farm is reported in Table 5.

Although all possible combinations are reported in Table 5, several represent unlikely combinations of farm size and harvest technique. For example, costs reported for the smaller farms employing the highly mechanized harvest techniques may be understated and may lead to inflated estimates of the return to management. As described in footnote 4, costs for the various harvest practices were based on stated acreages that may not be attained each year by the smaller producers. However, some could reach the required size by increasing harvested acres through custom work for other farmers. Also, to produce turfgrass of comparable quality as that found on farms with greater than 300 acres, producers with farms of 100-150 acres and 151-300 acres would have to increase many of their variable production inputs. Table 1 shows that variable inputs for seed, fertilizer and herbicide were applied on the largest turfgrass farms at a greater expense per acre than on farms with $100-150$ or 151-300 acres. Producers did this to insure adequate growth as well as improve the appearance of their product in order to command a premium price. Increasing the variable inputs used on the smaller farms to levels used on the largest farms would increase total costs

Table 5. Average Total Cost by Size of Farm and Level of Integration, Maryland, 1976

| ProductionOption | No Harvest | Harvest Option (Including Sales and Administrative Costs)a |  |  | Transportation Option |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hand-Directed Hand-Rolled | Tractor-Powered Hand Rolled | Palletizer, Palletized Handling |  |
|  | cents/yd ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ | cents/yd ${ }^{\text {z }}$ |  |
| Purchase bythe Acre ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 二- | $\begin{aligned} & 27.574 \\ & 38.343 \\ & 36.872 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.082 \\ & 37.851 \\ & 36.380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.730 \\ & 37.499 \\ & 36.028 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. farm Method I Method II |
| Produce Less Than 100 Acres | $\begin{gathered} 13.481 \\ -- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.770 \\ & 37.539 \\ & 36.068 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.278 \\ & 37.047 \\ & 35.576 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.926 \\ & 36.695 \\ & 35.224 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. farm Method I Method II |
| Produce 100-150 Acres | $\begin{gathered} 11.620 \\ -- \\ \hline- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.909 \\ & 35.678 \\ & 34,207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.417 \\ & 35.186 \\ & 33.715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.065 \\ & 34.834 \\ & 33.363 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. farm Method I Method II |
| Produce 151-300 Acres | $10.878$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.167 \\ & 34.936 \\ & 33.465 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.675 \\ & 34.444 \\ & 32.973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.323 \\ & 34.092 \\ & 32.621 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. farm Method I Method II |
| Produce Greater Than 300 Acres | $\frac{12.161}{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.450 \\ & 36.219 \\ & 34.748 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.958 \\ & 35.727 \\ & 34.256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.606 \\ & 35.375 \\ & 33.904 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. farm Method I Method II |

"Sales and administrative costs were 4.501 cents per square yard of harvested turfgrass.
IIn lieu of production costs for those not producing turfgrass, the average price of $\$ 657.09$ per acre for unharvested turfgrass was used in the cost calculation.
of production, thereby decreasing returns to management to less than that earned on the larger farms if all farms received the same price.

Return to management for various farm sizes, methods of harvest, methods of transportation, as well as the option to purchase turfgrass by the acre for later harvest and delivery is presented in Table 6 . In determining the return to management, gross receipts for f.o.b. at the farm were based on a harvest of 4,600 square yards per acre and a harvest price of 55.3 cents per square yard. The price for delivered turfgrass was 70.8 cents per square yard. Purchase by the acre costs were based on the reported average price of $\$ 657.09$ per acre for unharvested turfgrass. The other costs, other than management, were based on information in Tables $1-4$ plus sales and administrative costs of 4.501 cents per square yard of harvested turfgrass. These costs are summarized in Table 5.

Table 6 shows that return to management ranged from a low of 28.530 cents per square yard on farms with less than 100 acres selling turfgrass f.o.b. at the farm (hand-directed harvest) to a high of 38.179 cents per square yard on farms with 151 300 acres where the palletizer was used to harvest and Method II was used to deliver turfgrass. WTT


Table 6. Return to Management from the Sale and Transportation of Harvested Turfgrass by Alternative Methods of Production, Harvest and Transportation, Maryland, 1976 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Production Option and/or Size | Method of Harvest |  |  | TransportationOption |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hand Directed, Hand Rolled | Tractor Powered Hand Rolled | Palletizer, Palletized Handling |  |
|  | cents/yd ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ | cents/yd ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Purchase by the Acre | $\begin{aligned} & 32.457 \\ & 33.928 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.949 \\ & 34.420 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.301 \\ & 34.772 \end{aligned}$ | Method I Method II |
| Produce Less Than 100 Acres | $\begin{aligned} & 28.530 \\ & 33.261 \\ & 34.732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.022 \\ & 33.753 \\ & 35.224 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.374 \\ & 34.105 \\ & 35.576 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. at farm Method I Method II |
| Produce 100-150 Acres | 30.391 <br> 35.122 <br> 36.593 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.883 \\ & 35.614 \\ & 37.085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.235 \\ & 35.966 \\ & 37.437 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. at farm Method I Method II |
| Produce 151-300 Acres | $\begin{aligned} & 31.133 \\ & 35.864 \\ & 37.335 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.625 \\ & 36.356 \\ & 37.827 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.977 \\ & 36.708 \\ & 38.179 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. at farm Method I Method II |
| Produce Greater Than 300 Acres | $\begin{aligned} & 29.850 \\ & 34.581 \\ & 36.052 \end{aligned}$ | 30.342 35.073 36.544 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.694 \\ & 35.425 \\ & 36.896 \end{aligned}$ | f.o.b. at farm Method I Method II |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Method I transports 350-400 square yards of sod and Method II transports 650-700 square yards of sod. Most palletized sod is transported under Method II, but each method can transport either rolled or palletized sod. Returns on farms with 150 acres or less of turfgrass which harvested using the tractor-powered, hand rolled or the palletizer method are believed to be in excess of what could have been earned. In 1976, these farms did not harvest a sufficient volume of turf (at least 42.5 acres and 70.6 acres per machine per year for the two mechanized methods, respectively) to justify the harvesting costs which are implicit in the return to management. Returns to farms in the 151-300 acre range are also believed to be in excess of what could have been earned in 1976. Farms in this group generally produced turfgrass using a less intensive production schedule which would have been sold at a lesser price if it was sold on a harvested basis. Returns to management would thereby be decreased below those reported.


> | The TUFLEX manu- |
| :--- |
| facturing process al- |
| lows a five year war- |
| ranty on all tanks. |

For economy prices and more information on our complete line of tanks, write or call now:

Tuflex is the only manufacturer to specialize in seamless fiberglass spray tanks specifically for the pest control and lawn care industry. Remember when craftmanship was an art . . . at Tuflex it still is! The exclusive Tuflex process carries a full five year warranty on all handcrafted seamless fiberglass tanks.

Tuflex Manufacturing Company
P.O. Box 13143, Port Everglades Station Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316 Phone 305/525-8815
Plant Location: 800 Eller Drive, Port Everglades in Fort Lauderdale


Custom-made binder easily holds entire year's copies of WTT magazine. Green binder with gold embossed logo protects your magazines and gives your library a neat appearance. Magazines can be inserted as they are received. Annual index in December issue makes it easy to find information you need quickly . . . Send check or money order to:


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Scientific Article Number A2508, Contribution Number 5539 of the Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics.
    ${ }^{2}$ Research Assistant and Professor, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Maryland.
    ${ }^{3}$ An Experiment Station publication giving more detailed information will be available for distribution in late fall or early winter.
    ${ }^{4}$ Harvest equipment cost based on an average harvest of $70.6,15.8$ and 42.5 acres for the palletizer, hand-directed and tractor-powered methods of harvest, respectively.

