# HOW DO YOUR PRODUCTION COSTS MEASURE UP? 



Michigan sod producers discovered recently that they were dangerously close to not making any real profit.
While they were getting paid for their labor, they found they would have been financially ahead had they sold their farms and placed the money in a bank to draw interest.

Considering that Michigan is the leading sod-producing state in the nation, the news is a bit startling. Donald D. Juchartz, director of the Michigan State University Cooperative Extension Service in Wayne County (Detroit), gave the full story at the recent annual meeting of the American Sod Producers Association.

Leading growers who had watched the field price of sod shrink from $\$ 1.00$ per yard (Merion in 1948) to less than 30 cents in 1969 decided something had to be done to reverse the trend, he said.
Having a more sophisticated accounting system than most producers, they were getting red flags from their accountants who were saying "your profit margins are too small and are shrinking each year."
They were particularly alarmed and puzzled because the drop in price came in the face of rapidly increasing costs and during a constant period in which the demand for sod exceeded supply.
They noted, Juchartz reported, the repeated occurrence of new growers needlessly cutting prices to get into the market. They were aware also that producers generally had succumbed to "winter jitters" and had reduced prices at the beginning of a new season.

Why had growers collectively allowed such a trend? Juchartz suggested that lack of communication was a big reason. "Over the years, the sod business had been highly profitable, and growers were say-
ing 'We're going to run our own business'," he said. There was very little comparing by one grower with another."

As competition stiffened and costs went up while prices went down, they attempted to keep income at the same level by increasing sod acreage and sod sales.

What was missing, Juchartz continued, was the awareness that they needed to think like businessmen. "They were enjoying a good life, but they had forgotten that the name of the game is profit," he said.

The handful of sod producers who recognized the gravity of the situation determined that growers generally were operating in the dark concerning sod production costs. What was needed was a financial management education program to help the grower think like a businessman.

The leading sod growers worked with Juchartz to arrange the seminar. They were Bob Daymon, Emerald Valley Turf Nurseries, Gregory; Bob Hozak, Tech Center Sod Farm, Fowlerville; and Ted Bosgraaf, Blue Grass Sod Farm, Hudsonville.

Juchartz called in three Michigan State agricultural economists, Drs. Ralph Hepp, Myron Kelsey and Warren Vincent.

Together, the growers, agricultural economists and Juchartz developed then conducted a three-day intensive short course in February of 1969 .

Here is how Juchartz described the meeting in a report to Michigan sod producers.
"There was considerable skepticism and little enthusiasm by growers during the first session. As the sessions went on and the facts were brought out, with most being supplied by the skeptics, a reverse in thinking was noticeable.
"An almost unbelievable change took place in the attitudes of the growers as one fact shed light on
another. They realized that this was being done, not for the benefit of one or two, but for the benefits it would bring to the overall industry.
"It was decided through the course of the meetings to develop a hypothetical, 200-acre 'Case Sod Farm.' While the Case Sod Farm was to be hypothetical, it would be based on facts supplied by the growers attending the meetings.
"This was done, with each item and every factor analyzed, discussed and dissected until there were no objections from any person there that the fact or figure arrived at was correct. As these facts and figures were accumulated, they were organized into the form of a financial and profit and loss statement for the Case Sod Farm.
"The results were startling to the group, even though they had supplied the facts.
"The Case Sod Farm Report showed that the cost of growing sod on the average farm in Michigan in 1969 was 29 cents per yard."

Among developments after the seminar, Juchartz continued, were the organization of a state association and the circulation of a market report on a weekly basis. Members reported such statistics as acreage planted and yardage marketed, price charged, etc. The figures were compiled and a report returned to participating growers.
The significance of the service, Juchartz reported, is that for the first time in history, sod prices held through the spring and summer of 1970. Growers estimated that the program brought them an additional half-million dollars in income.
The Case Sod Farm Report is reproduced in this issue as a guide for producers elsewhere to determine what their production costs might be. For, Juchartz concluded, "What has happened to Michigan may happen in other parts of the country."

# CASE SOD FARM FINANCIAL STATEMENT 

| CURRENT ASSETS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cash | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Accounts Receivable | 2,000 |
| Supplies | 2,000 |
| Growing Sod (175 A's | 70,000 |
| @ $\$ 400 / \mathrm{A}$ ) | $\$ 79,000$ |
| Total |  |
| INTERMEDIATE ASSETS | 105,100 |
| Machinery \& Equipment | $(37,100)$ |
| Accumulated Depreciation | $\$ 68,000$ |
| Total |  |
|  |  |
| LONG TERM ASSETS | 45,000 |
| Buildings \& Improvements | $(9,000)$ |
| Accumulated Depreciation | 130,000 |
| Land | $\$ 166,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 313,000$ |

## OPERATING RECEIPTS

|  | Total Farm | Per Yard |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Sod Sales $(400,000$ yds.) | $\$ 120,000$ | .3000 |

## OPERATING EXPENSES

| Labor |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| 1-Owner-Operator | $\$ 15,000$ |
| 1-Full Time Man | 10,000 |
| 6-Apr. 15 to Nov. $15(110 / \mathrm{wk})$ | 19,800 |
| 1-Part Time Office | 3,000 |
| Above Includes Workmen's |  |
| Compensation, S.S. Etc. |  |

Power \& Machinery
\$47,800
.1195

| Depreciation | 13,100 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Repairs | 6,000 |
| Gas \& Oil | 3,000 |
| Machine Hire | 2,000 |
| License | 150 |

Buildings

| Depreciation | $\mathbf{4 , 5 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Insurance incl. Liability | 1,600 |


| Crop |  | 17,300 | . 0432 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed | 5,000 |  |  |
| Fertilizer | 10,000 |  |  |
| Chemical Spray Material- | 2,300 |  |  |
| Overhead |  | 20,550 | . 0514 |
| Utilities | 3,000 |  |  |
| Office | 1,000 |  |  |
| Legal \& Accounting | 1,000 |  |  |
| Property Taxes | 2,100 |  |  |
| Travel \& Entertainment | 500 |  |  |
| Interest | 11,000 |  |  |
| Supplies | 1,000 |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | 950 |  |  |
|  | Debts |  |  |
| Total | Expenses | \$116,000 | . 29 |
| Profit | taxes | \$ 4,000 | . 01 |

CURRENT LIABIUITIES
Total
\$20,000

INTERMEDIATE LIABILITIES
Total
\$30,000

LONG TERM LIABILITIES
Total
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Total } & \$ 83,000 \\ \text { Total Liabilities } & \$ 133,000\end{array}$
Owner Equity
\$180,000
\$313,000

Total Acres
350
Tillable Acres
Harvested Acre 100

## FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1. Total current assets
\$ 79,000
2. Total current assets

68,000

4. Total Assets ......................................................................... 313,000
5. Total current liabilities ........................................................ 20,000
6. Total intermediate liabilities .................................................... 30,000
7. Total long term liabilities ….................................................................................
8. Total Liabilities ..................................................................... 133,000
9. Owner Equity

180,000

INCOME STATEMENT


## FINANCIAL STATEMENT RATIOS

| 13. Current ratio (line $1 \div$ line 5) | 3.95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14. Intermediate ratio (line $2 \div$ line 6) | 2.26 |
| 15. Long term ratio (line $3 \div$ line 7) | 2.00 |
| 16. \% current debt (line $5 \div$ line 8 ) | 15\% |
| 17. \% intermediate debt (line $6 \div$ line 8) | 23 |
| 18. \% long term debt (line $7 \div$ line 8) | 62 |
| 19. Debt to total assets (line $8 \div$ line 4) | 42 |
| 20. \% depreciation of machinery | 35 |
| 21. \% depreciation of improvements | 20 |

INCOME STATEMENT RATIOS
22. Return on owner equity (line $12 \div$ line 9) ........................ 2.2\%
23. Return on assets ................................................................... 4.8\%
24. Gross operating margin (line $11 \div$ line 10) ................................15.8\%
25. Net operating margin (line $12 \div$ line 10) .......................... 3.3\%

## MANAGEMENT FACTORS

26. Crop factors Costs Per Yard Sod Sold
27. Management Labor ................................................................ . 0375
28. Cash Labor …............................................................................ . 0820
29. Power \& Machinery …..................................................................................................
30. Buildings and Improvements ................................................... . 0152
31. Crop ….................................................................................... . 0432
32. Overhead .................................................................................... 0514
33. Total ........................................................................................... . 2900
34. Harvest Acres as

Percent of Tillable
50

