A PRACTICAL RESEARCH DIGEST FOR TURF MANAGERS

GREEN SECTION LIBRARY TRENDS

TurfGrass

The Basics of Turfgrass Fungicides Part 5: Record Keeping

by Eric B. Nelson Cornell University

One of the more important aspects of maintaining highly effective fungicide programs is proper record keeping. This is also among the more critical aspects of developing successful IPM programs on golf course turf. Golf course superintendents, and other turfgrass managers for that matter, often take record keeping for granted. Even though the maintenance of fungicide and other pesticide records is required by state and federal laws, many superintendents fail to recognize the tremendous wealth of historical information and management insight that can be gained from properly prepared and maintained fungicide records. Often superintendents rely on memory for a large amount of this significant information. Unfortunately though, useful specific management knowledge is often lost as superintendents retire or move from course to course. In this article, we will explore the reasons for maintaining detailed records and show how these records can help not only in fungicide management, but in pest management in general.



Advances in spraying equipment have enabled superintendents to reduce the amount of fungicide applied while maintaining effective disease control. Photo courtesy: Smithco.

Volume 5, Issue 12

December 1996

IN THIS ISSUE

	The Basics of Turfgrass Fungicides - Part 5: Record Keeping	1
	Reasons for Record Keeping	
	What Should Be Recorded?	
	Legal Aspects of Record Keepir	ng
	Computerization	
	Sample Forms	
-	What to Do When a Spill Occurs	6
1	1996 Article Index	7
1	1996 Abstracts	8
	The Basics of Turfgrass Fungicides - Part 6: Human Health and Environmental Quality Considerations	15
	Fungicide Exposures	
	Fungicide Toxicities	
	Are Turfgrass Fungicides	

Fungicide Safety

Hazardous?

Predictions for the Future 19

TurfGrass TRENDS •1775 T Street NW • Washington, DC 20009–7124 Phone: 202-483-TURF • Fax: 202-483-5797 • Internet: 76517.2451@CompuServe.com

TurfGrass TRENDS

Maria L. Haber Editor and Publisher Dr. Richard J. Hull Science Advisor James C. Husting, CGCS Scott R. Gallup Field Editors Anna Schotanus Circulation Manager Bruce Shank Layout & Production

TurfGrass TRENDS

1775 T Street NW Washington, DC 20009-7124 Phone: 202-483-TURF Fax: 202-483-5797 76517.2451 @ CompuServe.com

Abstracts: 800-466-8443 TGIF

Reprint Permission: 202-483-TURF

TurfGrass TRENDS is published monthly. ISSN 1076-7207. The annual subscription price is \$180.00.

Copyright 1996 *TurfGrass TRENDS*. All Rights Reserved. Copy permission will be granted to turf management schools.

Information herein has been obtained by *TurfGrass TRENDS* from sources deemed reliable. However, because of the possibility of human or mechanical error on their or our part, *TurfGrass TRENDS* or its writers do not guarantee accuracy, adequacy, or completeness of any information and are not responsible for errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information.

Reasons for Record Keeping

There are a number of reasons for developing detailed records of fungicide applications and recording associated site and management factors. Perhaps most importantly, fungicide and other pesticide records are required by state and federal law. These requirements will be described later in this article.

Certainly one of the more important reasons for keeping detailed fungicide records is that they provide the only accurate historical record of fungicide applications to specific turfgrass sites. For example, documenting how the fungicide suspension was prepared, the precise rates applied, the weather conditions surrounding the application, and a detailed description of the outcome of the application may point to specific reasons for success or failure of the application as well as how such a control strategy might be improved in the future.

Proper fungicide records also provide a means of mapping important disease problems. Golf course superintendents often know where their problem disease areas are located. Keeping proper records will provide a means of tracking how disease symptoms change in these and potentially new areas with time and with fungicide application. In most cases, disease symptoms tend to appear in the same location year after year. This is due mainly to the fact that few fungicides actually eliminate pathogens from these sites. Rather, they simply stop the pathogen from growing. Some patchtype diseases, for example, tend to show increased patch diameters from year to year. Including this type of information in fungicide records will eventually allow you to map effectively disease symptoms and establish longerterm trends in control efficacy. Along those same lines, detailed records can help you keep track of other management practices, such as fertilization, irrigation, and cultivation practices, that impact disease severity and the efficacy of fungicide applications.

What Should Be Recorded?

Ideally all of the following items should be recorded in conjunction with any fungicide application. Modify them to fit your specific needs:

1. The name of the material applied. Include the trade name, formulation, active ingredient (common name), and EPA registration number. This is important for satisfying legal reporting requirements of pesticide usage.

2. Date and time of application. Of particular importance is the time of day, particularly if it varies from the usual application times

3. The area treated. Recorded either in square feet or in acres.

4. Total amount of material applied. Include the intended or measured rate of active ingredient as well as the total amount of material applied to the turf. It is also useful to record the rates of water in which the fungicide was applied (e.g. gallons/1000 ft²).

5. Tank storage time and pH. It is usually best to apply fungicides immediately after mixing since a number of fungicides degrade with extended storage time in the tank. In cases where the water supply comes from multiple sources, it is important routinely to monitor and record the water pH since this too will affect fungicide degradation.

6. The amount of post-application irrigation. This is best expressed as gallons of water per unit area.

7. The target disease. This information should indicate whether this diagnosis was a personal diagnosis or a clinical diagnosis. It is important to indicate whether the diagnosis was made prior to the fungicide application or after. The date and specific location of sampling and the date of diagnosis also should be recorded.

8. Weather conditions. These should include the conditions prior to the appearance of symptoms and the conditions at the time of application.

9. Apparent results of the application. This is one of the more important records to keep since often it is not clear whether the application was successful or if weather changes made the symptoms disappear.

# n # n # n # #		Sample Collection) Date	Diagnostic Lab Used	Date Sample Sent	Diagnosis	Response to Diagnosis			
tion Problem ble) Location # n # n # n # # n # # 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n Diagnosis	Collection	Lab	Sample	Diagnosis				
n // // // // // // // // // // // // //					na oli oo na oli oli oliosii diasii diasipper diasipper ni violene na opisto	node e 13 no a solo no a solo no a solo no a solo no no no no no no no no no no no no no			
n // // // // // // // // // // // // //	ri algansi walio ali it artisori				narona ni bahgiro i dinaron ni violana ini golsia	ngindo sina al principa in principa a al a gacin a al a gacin a al a gacin			
n #	n (c) ci h gelleger	tolo	er Delon er polysol fanssinne	n contra da Los estas Transitation	ni violena vi . gols is	a di gang Di nagan			
and the second second	our that soo				0.000810241008	HE IO TAK			
n	o norga io antina		t avannes Sinnes	e ng ka	et perform contra	oyutna 1000) adhar antigʻi			
# n	bendin ing 1 ca lanas bae jandas				noise	1010300			
zin star	n of photo and photo	e jelj e stele gebo	ang		napata Mangara Mangara	na ristanin Nganati sa Nganati sa			
n diele	a constante	Ot	ther Notes	ni progra	e tel su	das directa			
		Cu	ultivation:						
ior to Symptoms:				Irrigation:					
nce:		Fe	rtilization						
e e	en / e # en	en / e # /	en /	en e # en / Other Notes Cultivation: Irrigation:	en // I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	en / e # en / en / en / en / en / en / e			

DECEMBER 1996 • TurfGrass TRENDS • 3

Legal Aspects of Recordkeeping

In May of 1993, federal regulations went into effect that require all certified pesticide applicators to maintain records on the use of all federally-designated restricted-use pesticides. Restricted-use pesticides are those designated as such under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). The purpose of these records is to help licensed health care professionals in the event of a medical emergency. In most instances, the applicator has 30 days in which to record these applications. In the event that satisfactory records are not kept, the fine is at least \$1000 for each violation.

Many states also have requirements for reporting information on specific pesticide use levels and rates. In addition to the records on the application of restricted use pesticides, material safety data (MSD) sheets are also to be compiled and kept in a place accessible by applicators, employees, and government officials.

These changes in accountability rules for pesticide applicators are only the beginning of what is seen as an ever-increasing level of detailed recordkeeping. It is certainly in the interest of all turfgrass managers to develop systems for keeping detailed records of all important and environmentally significant activities performed in the course of their turfgrass maintenance.

Computerization

Computers are having an increasing impact on turfgrass management. All new irrigation systems are now under computer control and many other pieces of equipment such as weather stations and diagnostic aids are highly computerized. Software also has been developed to the point where database development and management are easy and versatile. It should be obvious that computerized databases of fungicide records are the most valuable means of keeping track of all use-related information. Most of the programs available allow you to search easily for specific items of interest such as: a listing of the dates and sites when fungicides were applied for brown patch control, or a listing of the weather conditions when fungicide applications failed, or a listing of sites where anthracnose was diagnosed, and any other listing that may be important to your specific method of management. Furthermore, these programs allow you to print out the records in specific formats so that the records can be prepared easily for state and federal reporting or for individual analysis of trends, etc.

Another important aspect of computerization is the ability to map and monitor trends over long periods of time so that, for example, you can keep track of increases or decreases in fungicide applications, the increase or decrease in specific disease symptoms, and trends in weather conditions related to fungicide efficacy or disease severity that will allow you to make adjustments in your management approach to disease problems. These types of data are unquestionably important and vital for the development of IPM programs.

Sample Forms

The following are sample forms suitable for recording the types of information important to your management records. They include a record for reporting specific fungicide application information, a diagnostic record for reporting information related to disease diagnosis, and a cultural record to keep track of fertilization, irrigation, seeding, sodding, and other cultivation practices. These are suggested forms only and the specific arrangement of entries on any given form can and should be modified to fit your specific needs. You should keep in mind that the format of entries in computer databases may differ substantially from these suggested forms, but they can be manipulated to be printed in almost any format you wish. Equally important for computerized records is that the fungicide, diagnostic, and cultural records be linked so that you can access each of these databases simultaneously.

4 • TurfGrass TRENDS • DECEMBER 1996

Cultural Record

Date and Time	Hole & Section (Circle)		Rate of N	Rate of P	Rate of K	Rate of Other	Cultivation	Irrigation	Turf Wetness Period	Mowing Frequency
	Hole #									
1. 2.	Tee Green Fwy									
10.5		10000					Sec. 10 (1973)	CRASSER .		Caracter L
	Hole #									
	Tee									
Neat I mai I mai I nat	Tee Green Fwy Hole #		enstă s	de danse	0.19			(tento Net		
Plast A 1993 A 1993 A 1994 A 1	Tee Green Fwy Hole # Tee	ninž lah Man ke	nald a nald a	di duon di Kaj	o 35			tennis auto p & flor	tra m atoc a sia ka	
Plat i rest g that g that g that g that g that g that	Tee Green Fwy Hole #	nin2 id nin2 id nina fo laneadi laneadi	enal A so enal A so enal as enal as	di dasa da Ka di dasa di dasa fi ang ang	0 359 66040	and		p sé fliq	tra an atomic sin bee	apai di sa <u>ka</u> bela. coD - T kat ab
	Tee Green Fwy Hole # Tee Green Fwy Hole #	edid ka naci ka janparti (na kea Malawa	east t se east t se east t se cest ac diben 1	dr doord of the openant openant openant	O Sig Aginti Conta J Rectivat			p as flig p as flig point si coloris si	tra pro attendent ser tans ta tans t tans ta	opli jigo na bili nab i kor ab libona a
	Tee Green Fwy Hole # Tee Green Fwy	ninž ka narodka jednask (da hon Maliger (east t in geographic geographic colores 1 colores 1	di dusa di Asi ni 1996 Conti as antan ak				p sa Ilio nama si nama si na nama si na na na na na na na na na na na na na		and and han and formal a

Fungicide Application Record										
Date and Time	Hole & Section (Circle)	Fungicide Applied Name/Form.		EPA Reg. #	Rate	Area Treated	Total Material Applied	Tank pH & Storage Time	Target Disease	Results of Application
	Hole #									
	Tee Green									
	Fwy									
			-			1.		and the states of	Address of the	St. Mall IN
	Hole # Tee									
	Green									
	Fwy									
de 630	Hole #	in the second second	516	Ent		-		1.1.1.1		
	Tee									
	Green									
al desire	Fwy	(RO) - 2019	-	unama a	da turin		and the second		a strand	11 9240
	Hole #									
	Tee Green									
200.0	Fwy	mi C. Talien		192	100.000	Res 230	1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 -	111111	in the second	diad. the
Weath	ner Notes	s:				Other I	Notes:			
Date:						Cultivati	ion:			
Prior to	Applicatio	on:				Irrigation	n:			
	Time of Ap					Fertiliza				
		And the last				Other:				