

The plots endured moderate to heavy traffic from mid-August through to the end of October. The site was routinely mowed at a height of 6.25 cm (2.5") and regularly fertilized at a rate of 0.5 kg N/100 m² (1b N/1000 ft²) per growing month. Irrigation was carried out to prevent moisture stress.

Following National Turfgrass Evaluation Program (NTEP) protocols, the overall appearance of the turf plots was assessed. Three turf quality factors, colour, density and area coverage, were evaluated on a monthly basis from early May through to mid-October.

The colour factor subjectively evaluated the uniformity and intensity of the colour displayed by the turf. To ensure that the turf colour was representative of the cultivar's genetic potential and not as a result of an environmental stress on the turf, only actively growing turf was rated. A 1 to 9 scale was used to rate the spring green-up and seasonal colour of each plot. Cultivars with a uniform dark green colour received scores ranging from 6 for an

acceptable colour to 9 for turf with outstanding colour. Cultivars displaying weak or chlorotic turf colour were scored lower.

Density, the second quality factor, subjectively evaluated shoot and tiller production. The 1 to 9 scale was used to rate each plot. Cultivars which developed a thick tight knit turf surface received scores ranging from 6 for an acceptable density to 9 for a superior turf. Cultivars associated with a weak or thin turf stand were scored lower.

The final quality factor area cover subjectively evaluated the vigour of turf. Again the 1 to 9 scale was used to rate each plot. Cultivars with a thick competitive turf cover received scores ranging from 6 for an acceptable area cover to 9 for superior area coverage. Cultivars affected by weed encroachment and/or the presence of bare patches were scored lower.

To compare the overall turf quality of the cultivars, the average of the combined colour, density and area cover scores for each plot was calculated and statistically analyzed.

Results and Discussion

Initial Screening for Winter Hardiness

All of the Kentucky bluegrasses selected for this study had winter hardiness levels >-26°C, which is considered good (Table 1). Winter hardiness levels for the perennial ryegrasses were -17°C, while the tall fescues had winter hardiness levels of -22°C. These values would be considered moderate to poor winter hardiness levels. *Poa supina* values were not determined.

Overall Traffic Injury

Injury from football cleats was visible throughout the site in the fall of 2004. Damage ranged from moderate shearing of the verdure (above ground plant portion) to more severe physical up rooting of the plants. The turf overwintered in this worn condition as the turf damage was not repaired. With no athletic events played on the turf surface in 2005, the turf was allowed to recover. The turf damage within each plot was left for the entire season in order to evaluate the recovery rate of the grasses.

Your Homefield Advantages



MAR-CO
CLAY PRODUCTS LTD.
marcoclay.com
1-800-950-2555

SPORTS CLAY	TURF SERVICES	TOOLS & ACCESSORIES	CONSTRUCTION
			
			
			

Table 1. List of grasses seeded and their relative winter hardiness level.

Grass Species	Cultivar	Relative Hardiness (LT ₅₀ Values)
Kentucky Bluegrass	SR 2284	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Showcase	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Award	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Total Eclipse	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Tsunami	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	America	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Langara	-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Moon Shadow	-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Touchdown	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Rambo	>-26°C
Kentucky Bluegrass	Argyle	>-26°C
Perennial Ryegrass	Fiesta 3	-17°C
Perennial Ryegrass	Pennfine	-17°C
Perennial Ryegrass	Pick RC2	-17°C
Perennial Ryegrass	PR A-97	-16°C
Tall Fescue	Grande	>-22°C
Tall Fescue	SR 8600	>-22°C
Tall Fescue	Arid 3	>-22°C
Tall Fescue	Pixie	>-22°C
Tall Fescue	Mustang II	>-22°C
Tall Fescue	Watchdog	>-22°C
Poa supina	Supranova	Unknown
Poa supina mix	10% Poa supina	Unknown
	90% Touchdown (Kentucky Bluegrass)	>-26°C
Sport field mix	25% Award (Kentucky Bluegrass)	>-26°C
	25% Liberator (Kentucky Bluegrass)	Unknown
	25% Odyssey (Kentucky Bluegrass)	>-26°C
	25% Champion (Perennial Ryegrass)	Unknown

Kentucky Bluegrass Ratings

Spring Greenup

Two separate rating dates, May 5 and 19, were conducted in order to determine the transition from winter dormancy to active spring growth. An analysis of the

turf colour data for the first sampling date revealed no significant difference in early spring greenup between the cultivars (Table 2).

By the second sampling date there was a statistical difference in spring colour

between the cultivars (Table 2). Cultivar SR228 scored the highest for spring greenup, while Rambo scored the lowest (Table 2).

Summer Colour

The summer rating revealed a statistical difference between the highest scoring cultivars: Showcase, Tsunami and SR228 and the lighter coloured cultivars: Langara, America, Total Eclipse and Rambo (Table 2).

Fall Colour

The Kentucky bluegrass cultivars showed good colour retention under the cooler and frost-prone conditions of October. The cultivars Showcase, Tsunami and Moon Shadow scored the highest for fall colour, while the turf colour of Total Eclipse and Rambo were the lowest (Table 2).

Turf Density

Shoot density can vary greatly over the course of the growing season. While the scores improved from the spring to the summer rating period, no significant difference in turf density was detected between the cultivars over the entire season (Table 3).

Area Cover

With damage sustained by the turf in the fall of 2004, the spring area cover rating was generally lower and considered unacceptable. By the summer rating period, plots had recovered and the bluegrass rated higher. There were no statistical differences between the cultivars for area cover at any time during the growing season (Table 3).



**Agrium
Advanced
Technologies**

JOHN BLADON, TECHNICAL SALES REPRESENTATIVE
Tel: 519.757.0077 • Cell: 519.574.2013
E-mail: jbladon@agriumat.com

PRODUCT INFO LINE 1.800.461.6471
Head Office: 10 Craig Street, Brantford, Ontario N3R 7J1



PLANTPRODUCTS

Turf MVP • Grass Seed
Turf & Tree Fertilizers
Pest Control Products

Plant Products Co. Ltd.
Brampton, Ontario
905-793-7000 or 1-800-387-2449
Fax 905-793-9632 • plantprod.com

Turf Quality

A seasonal mean combining the three quality factors was calculated for each of the cultivars. The cultivars were ranked from highest to lowest based on overall turf quality (Table 3).

Perennial Ryegrass Ratings

Spring Greenup

An analysis of the turf colour for spring greenup revealed no significant difference between the cultivars. Fiesta 3 scored the highest for spring greenup but was not significantly better than the other ryegrasses (Table 4).

Summer Colour

The cultivar PR A-97 recovered from a slow spring start to receive the highest colour score for the summer rating period (Table 4). Again the analysis of the turf colour data revealed that the summer colour of the cultivars was not significantly different from each other (Table 4).

Fall Colour

The perennial ryegrass cultivars showed excellent colour retention under the cooler conditions of the fall. Fiesta 3 and Pick RC2 scored the highest for fall colour (Table 4). An analysis of the fall turf colour data revealed that there was no statistical difference between the ryegrass cultivars.

Turf Density

The density of the ryegrasses was very similar to each other. An analysis of the turf density data revealed that the cultivars were not statistically different from each other (Table 5).

Area Cover

The spring area cover of the ryegrasses was open and not tightly knit. The bare patches created by the traffic in the fall of 2004 required most of the season to fill-in. Pennfine generated the best area coverage for both the summer and fall rating dates. An analysis of the turf area cover data revealed that the cultivars were not significantly different from each other (Table 5).

Turf Quality

A seasonal mean combining the three quality factors was calculated for each of

Table 2. Kentucky bluegrass turf colour, Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)				Seasonal Average
	Early Spring	Spring	Summer	Fall	
Showcase	4.3a	5.3ab	6.5a	6.5a	6.1
Tsunami	4.5a	5.0ab	6.5a	6.0ab	5.8
SR228	4.0a	5.5a	6.5a	5.3bc	5.8
Moon Shadow	4.3a	5.0ab	6.0ab	5.8abc	5.6
Award	4.0a	5.3ab	6.0ab	5.5bc	5.6
Touchdown	4.5a	5.0ab	6.0ab	5.5bc	5.5
Argyle	4.0a	4.8bc	6.0ab	5.3bc	5.4
Langara	4.3a	5.0ab	5.8bc	5.5bc	5.4
America	4.0a	4.8bc	5.8bc	5.3bc	5.3
Total Eclipse	4.0a	4.8bc	5.5bc	5.0c	5.1
Rambo	3.5a	4.3c	5.3c	5.0c	4.9
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	0.6	0.5	0.8	

Table 4. Perennial ryegrass turf colour, Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)				Seasonal Average
	Early Spring	Spring	Summer	Fall	
Fiesta 3	4.3a	5.0a	6.0a	6.3a	5.8
PR A-97	3.5a	5.0a	6.3a	6.0a	5.8
Pick RC2	4.0a	4.8a	6.0a	6.3a	5.7
Pennfine	4.0a	4.8a	6.0a	5.8a	5.5
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	

Table 6. Tall fescue turf colour, Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)				Seasonal Average
	Early Spring	Spring	Summer	Fall	
SR8600	3.8a	5.5a	6.5a	5.5a	5.8
Grande	3.8a	5.5a	6.0a	5.5a	5.7
Mustang II	3.5a	5.3a	5.8a	5.0a	5.4
Pixie	3.0a	5.0ab	5.5a	5.0a	5.2
Watchdog	3.8a	4.3b	6.0a	5.3a	5.2
Arid 3	3.5a	4.8ab	5.5a	5.0a	5.1
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	0.7	n/s	n/s	

Table 8. Comparison of species for turf colour, Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)				Seasonal Average
	Early Spring	Spring	Summer	Fall	
Perennial ryegrass	4.3a	5.0a	6.0ab	6.3a	5.8
Sports field mix	4.0a	5.3a	6.5a	5.5b	5.8
Ken. bluegrasses	4.3a	5.0a	6.0ab	5.5b	5.5
Tall fescue	3.8a	5.3a	6.0ab	5.0bc	5.4
Poa supina mix	3.0a	4.8a	5.5bc	5.0bc	5.1
Poa supina	3.0a	4.3a	5.3c	4.8c	4.8
LSD _{0.05} =	0.6	n/s	0.5	0.5	

* Values that have the same letter as a suffix are not significant from each other.

the cultivars. The cultivars were ranked from highest to lowest based on overall turf quality (Table 5).

Tall Fescue Ratings

Spring Greenup

An analysis of the turf colour data for spring greenup revealed no significant colour difference between the cultivars. By the second sampling date there was a statistical difference in spring greenup between the cultivars. Grande and SR8600 scored the highest for spring greenup while Watchdog scored the lowest (Table 6).

Summer Colour

SR8600 scored the highest for turf colour at the summer rating period. An analysis of the summer turf colour data revealed that the cultivars were not statistically different from each other (Table 6).

Fall Colour

The tall fescues cultivars also showed good colour retention under the cooler conditions of the fall. Once again the

analysis of the fall turf colour data revealed that the cultivars were not statistically different from each other (Table 6).

Turf Density

The density of the tall fescues was very similar to each other. An analysis of the turf density data revealed that the cultivars were not significantly different from each other (Table 7).

Area Cover

The tall fescue plots exhibited more physical uprooting than the other grasses and the bare patches created in the fall of 2004 required most of the season to fill-in. An analysis of the turf area cover data revealed that the cultivars were not significantly different from each other (Table 7).

Turf Quality

A seasonal mean combining the three quality factors was calculated for each of the cultivars. The cultivars were ranked from highest to lowest based on overall turf quality (Table 7).

Comparing the Grass Species

When it comes to evaluating turf solely based on turf quality the general rule is that comparing ratings within species is relative, while comparing ratings between species and blends is not. Hopefully, the strengths of each of the species and the blended mixes will become more apparent after a head to head comparison is made for each of the three turf quality factors.

While the cultivars within each grass species tended to be very similar to each other during the 2005 season, some interesting trends were seen when the species and turf mixes were compared with each other.

Spring Greenup

The initial spring greenup of the *Poa supina* and the *Poa supina* mix scored significantly lower than the Sports Field Mix and the other turf species (Table 8). An analysis of spring turf colour data for the second sampling date revealed no significant difference in spring colour between the turf treatments.

**For perfect diamonds
Edge it & Groom it**

With its adjustable guide shoe, the Bannerman **Diamond Edger** is surprisingly easy-to-use. Its three-inch blade depth and reversible, 20-inch concave disc work together to quickly eliminate ridging. Debris is spiraled into the infield for fast and easy clean-up.

The **Diamond-Master**® (pictured) and **Ballpark-6**® groomers will give your community ball diamonds a surface just like the professional teams demand, and reduce the time, effort and labour required to do the job.

41 Kelfield Street, Rexdale, Ontario, Canada M9W 5A3
CANADA 1-800-325-4871 USA 1-800-665-2696
Email info@sportsturfmagic.com www.sportsturfmagic.com

Bannerman

THE HOME OF SPORTSTURF MAGIC

Summer Colour

The summer colour data indicates that there was a significant difference in turf colour between the species. The genetically lighter green displayed by the *Poa supina* was significantly lower when compared with the darker green colour of the other grasses (Table 8).

Fall Colour

All the turf species showed good colour retention under the fall conditions. The perennial ryegrasses scored the highest and were statistically better for fall colour than the other grasses (Table 8).

Turf Density

An analysis of the spring turf density data revealed no significant difference in turf density between the treatments (Table 9).

After recovering from a slow start in the spring, the *Poa supina* mix with 90% Kentucky bluegrass (cultivar Touchdown) produced the best density for the summer rating (Table 9).

The summer turf density score of the *Poa supina* mix was significantly better than the scores received by the Sports Field Mix, the monoculture stand of tall fescue and the monoculture stand of *Poa supina*. (Table 9)

By the fall rating date the turf density of *Poa supina* mix scored significantly higher than the Sports Field Mix, the monoculture stand of Kentucky bluegrass, the monoculture stand of tall fescue and the monoculture stand of *Poa supina* (Table 9).

Area Cover

The spring area cover ratings in 2005 were not statistically different between the grass species (Table 9). The turf damage, sustained in the fall of 2004, was still very evident within the plot area. The impact of the play was more evident on the tall fescue than any other species. Large bare patches in the fescue turf cover were present throughout the trial.

By the summer rating date, area cover of the *Poa supina* mix significantly improved (Table 9). The fall rating found the area cover of the *Poa supina* mix to be significantly better than the remaining treatments (Table 9).

Table 3. Kentucky bluegrass turf density (D) and area cover (AC), Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)						TURF** QUALITY
	Spring D	Spring AC	Summer D	Summer AC	Fall D	Fall AC	
Showcase	4.5a	4.0a	6.0a	5.5a	5.8a	5.5a	5.3
Moon Shadow	4.3a	4.5a	6.0a	6.0a	5.8a	5.3a	5.2
Tsunami	3.8a	3.8a	6.3a	5.3a	5.8a	5.5a	5.2
Touchdown	4.5a	4.3a	6.0a	5.0a	5.3a	5.5a	5.1
Langara	4.3a	4.0a	6.3a	5.5a	5.8a	5.8a	5.1
Award	4.8a	4.3a	5.3a	5.3a	5.3a	5.8a	4.9
Argyle	3.8a	3.5a	5.5a	5.3a	5.0a	5.5a	4.9
Total Eclipse	3.8a	4.0a	5.5a	4.8a	5.3a	5.0a	4.8
America	4.0a	4.0a	5.8a	5.0a	5.5a	4.8a	4.8
SR228	3.5a	3.5a	5.5a	4.5a	4.8a	5.0a	4.7
Rambo	4.0a	4.0a	5.8a	4.8a	5.3a	5.0a	4.7
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	

Table 5. Perennial ryegrass turf density (D) and area cover (AC), Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)						TURF** QUALITY
	Spring D	Spring AC	Summer D	Summer AC	Fall D	Fall AC	
Fiesta 3	4.5a	4.3a	6.0a	5.0a	6.0a	5.0a	5.4
Pennfine	3.5a	3.8a	5.8a	5.8a	5.5a	5.8a	5.2
Pick RC2	4.0a	3.8a	5.8a	5.0a	5.8a	5.0a	5.2
PR a-97	4.0a	4.0a	5.8a	5.3a	5.3a	5.3a	5.2
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	

Table 7. Tall fescue turf density (D) and area cover (AC), Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)						TURF** QUALITY
	Spring D	Spring AC	Summer D	Summer AC	Fall D	Fall AC	
SR8600	3.5a	3.5a	5.8a	5.0a	5.0a	5.0a	5.1
Grande	3.8a	3.8a	5.3a	4.8a	5.0a	5.0a	5.0
Watchdog	3.5a	3.5a	5.5a	5.0a	5.3a	4.8a	4.8
Arid 3	3.5a	3.0a	5.3a	4.8a	4.5a	4.8a	4.6
Pixie	3.0a	2.5a	5.5a	5.0a	4.8a	5.3a	4.6
Mustang II	3.3a	3.5a	5.0a	4.5a	4.5a	4.5a	4.6
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	n/s	

Table 9. Comparison of species for turf density (D) and area cover (AC), Cal. 05.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD (1-9 SCALE)						*** SA- SA-D	AC
	Spring D	Spring AC	Summer D	Summer AC	Fall D	Fall AC		
P. supina mix	3.5a	3.5a	6.5a	6.3a	6.3a	7.0a	5.4	5.6
Per. ryegrass	4.0a	4.3a	6.0ab	5.3a	5.8ab	5.5b	5.3	5.0
Ken. blue.	4.3a	4.0a	6.0ab	5.0a	5.3bc	5.5b	5.2	4.8
Sport field mix	4.3a	3.8a	5.8b	5.3a	5.5bc	5.3b	5.2	4.8
Poa supine	3.8a	3.8a	5.5b	4.8a	5.3bc	5.2b	4.9	4.6
Tall fescue	3.5a	3.3a	5.5b	5.0a	5.0c	5.0b	4.7	4.4
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	n/s	0.6	n/s	0.6	0.8		

* Values that have the same letter as a suffix are not significant from each other. ** Mean of the 3 factors for the season. *** SA = seasonal average.

Turf Quality

A mean for the three quality factors for each of the turf treatments was calculated for each rating period. The treatments were ranked from highest to lowest based on overall turf quality.

Despite the damage generated by the traffic over the turf surface in fall, all the grasses in trial successfully made the transition from winter dormancy to live active growing stands of turf in the spring. The spring turf quality rating between the species was not significantly different (Table 10).

All the turf plots showed signs of improvement over the course of the season. But it was the aggressive area cover ratings that were produced by the *Poa supina* mix over the summer and fall which was most impressive. The blend of *Poa supina* with the Kentucky bluegrass cultivar Touchdown overcame the low scores received for turf colour of the *Poa supina* to produced a turf stand which scored the highest in overall turf quality (Table 10).

Table 10. Comparison of species for overall turf quality, Calgary 2005.

Cultivar	RATING PERIOD			Overall Turf Quality
	Spring	Summer	Fall	
Mean of 3 Quality Factors				
Poa supina mix	4.0a	6.1a	6.1a	5.4
Perennial ryegrass	4.3a	5.7ab	5.7ab	5.2
Sports field mix	4.4a	5.8ab	5.4bc	5.2
Ken. bluegrasses	4.4a	5.7ab	5.4bc	5.2
Tall fescue	3.9a	5.4bc	5.0c	4.8
Poa supina	3.9a	5.1c	5.2c	4.7
LSD _{0.05} =	n/s	0.6	0.4	

** Values that have the same letter as a suffix are not significant from each other.*

Discussion

Turf injury was visible throughout the site. Damage ranged from moderate shearing of the verdure to the more severe physical up rooting of the turf plants. While all the plots showed some effects from the traffic, the tall fescue plots exhibited more physical uprooting than the other grasses.

Despite overwintering in a stressed and

worn condition, it appeared that the turf stands were not significantly affected by cold winter temperatures as all of the grasses showed good transition from winter dormancy to active spring growth. ♦

—*The Turf Line News, Vol. 196, Oct/Nov 2006*

Financial support and maintenance of the trial site was provided by the City of Calgary and the City of Edmonton parks departments.



Quality Turf Seed Specialists

Quality Seeds for Sod Growers, Golf Courses, Sports Facilities, Municipalities & Landscape Contractors

DISTRICT SALES MANAGERS

Peter Coon • Cell: 705-715-3760
John Konecny • Cell: 905-376-7044

PRODUCT MANAGER

Cathy Wall • Cell: 416-802-4391

Exclusive Distributors for hydraulic mulches featuring
Flexterra FGM • Jet Spray • FlocLoc Tackifier
Futerra F4 Netless Erosion Control Blanket

1-877-856-7333 • 905-856-7333 • www.qualityseeds.ca



TURF TRADES

Employment Bulletin Board @
www.sportsturfassociation.com

Are you advertising a position? Are you searching for a job? Target your audience or refine your search with **Turf Trades**, an online resource for all staffing levels and areas of the sports turf industry. Employment Bulletin Board ads run for 60 days with an additional 30 days available at 1/2 the price. Cost is \$75 for STA members and \$100 for non-members for the initial 60 day period. Payment by cheque (Canada only), MasterCard or Visa must accompany the job description.

EcoClear™ is a trademark of Ecolab Corporation. Always read and follow label directions.



The natural weed-fighting power of EcoClear™.

It's never been easier to do the right thing.

With today's pesticide bans, you may have thought you'd never win the war against weeds. But now there's a natural herbicide that works even faster than synthetic pesticides.

Introducing patented and registered EcoClear, the most effective non-selective natural weed-control solution you can buy, and the only acetic acid based herbicide legal for use in Canada. EcoClear goes to work so quickly you'll see results in just two hours, and total browning of weeds in as little as one day. You can't get that combination of performance, safety and municipal acceptance in any other commercial product.

Pesticide bylaws or reduction strategies will soon be a fact of life where you work, if they aren't already. So why not make EcoClear part of your weed control program now?

EcoClear. It's the natural choice for total weed control.

Distributed by: Plant Products Co. Ltd., 1-800-387-2449; Plant-Prod Québec, 1-800-361-9184; MGS Horticultural Inc., 1-519-326-9037; Evergro/Westgro, 1-800-663-2552



Supreme-Green™ TURF COVER

The **multi-purpose** turf cover ideal for natural turf environments such as baseball, soccer and football fields, etc.

BENEFITS

- accelerated seed germination • encourages root development • early spring green-up
- delays dormancy in fall • winter protection: reduces frost and ice damage • turf repairs
- available in any size



Buy Direct From the Manufacturer!

Call 1-800-837-8961

www.covertchfab.com • sales@covertchfab.com