

# *Influence of Humic Substances on Moisture Retention and Phosphorus Uptake of Putting Greens*

Adam Van Dyke and Paul G. Johnson  
Utah State University

## Objectives:

1. Determine if humic substances increase water retention in sand putting greens.
2. Evaluate the ability of humic substances to improve phosphorus uptake in creeping bentgrass grown on calcareous sand.

**Start Date:** 2006

**Project Duration:** two years

**Total Funding:** \$6,000

Humic substance products are now widely available in the turf industry, many of which have been reported to reduce water and fertilizer use by increasing soil moisture and nutrient availability. Humic acid is the most common humic substance studied, but research results on effectiveness have been highly variable. Many times, the response of humic acid on turf is difficult to interpret due to confounding effects of nutrients and other ingredients often included in humic substance products. This study tested a pure humic acid along with commercial humic substance products in both a controlled greenhouse study and a field experiment under golf course conditions.

In a greenhouse, creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera* L.) sod was grown in tubs of calcareous sand simulating a USGA putting green. Three organic acids were applied to the turf as watering solutions delivered through an automated irrigation system and evaluated against a control treatment of water. The organics consisted of a pure leonardite humic acid (Sigma-Aldrich), a tannic acid (J.T. Baker Chemical Co.), and citric acid (Mallinckrodt Chemicals) applied at normalized carbon rates of 250 mg C L<sup>-1</sup> during each irrigation.

ECH2O probes (Decagon Devices) were buried 13 cm in the soil and constantly measured the volumetric water content (VWC) of each tub. Data from the probes was used to automate the irrigation system with a datalogger and relay controller. The soil was allowed to dry to 10% VWC before irrigating. Turf management included mowing at approximately 0.156" with weekly applications of nitrogen (KNO<sub>3</sub>) as a drench at 0.11b N/1000 ft<sup>2</sup>.



None of the organic acids increased the water holding capacity of the soil.

No additional phosphorus was applied to the turf during the experiment.

None of the organic acids increased the water holding capacity of the soil. The addition of humic acid had an opposite effect and decreased soil moisture by exhibiting hydrophobic properties which required more frequent irrigation than the control. No differences in plant tissue levels of phosphorus were observed, but humic acid did increase root length over the control in this study.

This experiment was conducted on established putting greens constructed with calcareous sand and creeping bentgrass at three golf courses along the Wasatch Front in Utah, and a research green at Utah State University. Individual plots (5'x5') were treated with the organics used in the greenhouse, as well as four additional humic substance products available to turf managers. The commercial products included Focus (PBI Gordon Corp.), Launch (PBI Gordon Corp.), H-85 (Redox Chemicals Inc.), and a fulvic acid (Horizon Ag Products). Treatments were applied at label rates every 30 days during the summer with a CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer and evaluated against a control of water

only.

Turf management differed at each golf course site, but irrigated to drought stress the turf at the superintendents' discretion. At the USU site, management included mowing at 0.125" with weekly applications of a foliar fertilizer at 0.11lbs N/1000 ft<sup>2</sup>. Three different irrigation levels of 80, 70, and 60 percent ET<sub>o</sub> were also imposed on the treatments at the USU site only.

The volumetric water content (VWC) of each plot was measured at weekly intervals throughout the summer, from June 1 to August 30 in 2006 at the golf courses, and in 2006 and 2007 at the Utah State site, with a hand-held TDR probe. Turf color was measured using a CM-1000 chlorophyll meter (Spectrum Technologies) the same days VWC was measured.

In the field, few differences in VWC were observed. Some differences occurred on individual days, but overall the humic substances did not change soil moisture holding capacity. Tissue phosphorus of the humic acid treated plots (0.41%) was actually slightly lower than the control plots (0.43%), and chlorophyll content was not different for any treatment.

## Summary Points

- Humic substances do not increase water holding capacity in sand-based putting greens.
- Humic substances display hydrophobic properties resulting in more frequent irrigation than pure water.
- Phosphorus uptake of creeping bentgrass was not increased by humic substances.
- Humic acid increased root depth of creeping bentgrass.
- No visual differences of turf appearance or color were observed with the use of humic substances.