

Control of Winter Injury Caused by Ice Cover On *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris*

By D. K. Tompkins, J.B. Ross and D.L. Moroz

A lab study compared the effect of ice cover and ice encasement with a control treatment (no ice) on *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris* plants. There were no significant differences between the ice cover and ice encasement treatments. *Poa annua* plants were dead after only 60 days covered with ice. In contrast, *Agrostis palustris* plants had LT50 values of -26° C after 90 days of ice cover and -16° C after 120 days of ice cover.

A related field study compared the effects of snow cover, snow removed in February, ice cover and ice removed in February for *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris* plants. *Poa annua* plants that had been ice-covered were mostly dead by late February, a period of about 40 days. *Agrostis palustris* plants in all treatments could tolerate temperatures below -20° C into April. However, plants from plots where the snow and ice were removed had reduced levels of cold hardiness.

The goal of this study was to determine under controlled and field conditions whether the problem with winter injury associated with ice cover, is due to:

- + prolonged ice cover
- + reduced cold hardiness associated with crown hydration
- + or rapid dehardening that can occur as a result of exposure to higher temperature when the ice cover is removed.

Materials and Methods

Lab Study *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris* plants were cold hardened and

then tested to determine their baseline LT50 value. These plants were then stored in a freezer at -4° C for various periods of time: 60, 90, 120 and 150 days. Plants of each species were stored under three different conditions: control, ice cover and ice encasement. The control treatment plants were covered with snow to prevent desiccation, but were not covered with ice. Plants in the ice cover treatment were covered with 2.5 cm of ice. The ice was added gradually by misting. Plants in the ice encasement treatment were immersed in water and then frozen.

After the appropriate interval in the freezer, plants were subjected to a freeze test in a circulating bath. In the bath, the temperature was decreased by 20 C/hour and plants were removed at selected temperatures. Following the freeze test, plants were transferred to the greenhouse for 4

using a split plot design with four successive freeze tests used as replicates. Main plots included the two species: *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris*. Subplots included: control, ice cover and ice encasement. When treatment effects were significant based on ANOVA, LSD's were used for mean separation.

Field Study Field plots were established in a split plot design with four replicates. Main plots were species: *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris*. Subplots included the following treatments:

- + snow cover maintained as long as possible
- + snow removed in February ice cover maintained as long as possible and
- + ice cover removed in February.

Plants were sampled on December 8, 1997 to determine baseline hardiness levels. It was not possible to establish ice on

Table 1. Effect of ice cover on LT50 value (°C) for *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris* plants stored under controlled conditions.

Species	baseline	60 days	90 days	120 days	150 days
<i>Poa annua</i>	-21	0a	0a	0a	0a
<i>Agrostis palustris</i>	-38	-37b	-26b	-16b	0a

1 Within a column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.05 (LSD).

weeks. After 4 weeks, plant regrowth was rated for survival to establish LT50 values (i.e. lethal temperature for 50% of the plants). The experiment was performed

the plots until the week of January 5-9, 1998 due to the warm weather. Snow and ice were removed from the appropriate plots on February 22 and 23, 1998. LT50 values were determined at this time and also in late March and April. This roughly corresponded to 45, 60 and 90 day intervals.

Results to Date

There were dramatic differences between the two species (Table 1). Baseline LT50 values were -21° C for *Poa annua* and -38° C for *Agrostis palustris*. plants maintained reasonable cold hardiness levels for at least 120 days.

Agrostis palustris plants that were

(Continued on Page 19)



Bob Reihle
Cell: 612-363-0999

Northway Irrigation
Office: 763-786-4379

We care about your irrigation project!

Golf Irrigation Installation

Also we install:

- ~ Club lawns and special areas
- ~ Residential Irrigation
- ~ Commercial Irrigation

With our irrigation experience we can advise you on your irrigation questions

Winter Injury –

(Continued from Page 18)

either covered or immersed in ice had reduced cold hardiness compared to the control treatment (Table 2). By 90 days, the differences were statistically signifi-

treatments for *Poa annua*.

For the *Agrostis palustris* plants, there were no relevant treatment differences until April, 1998. By this time, all plants were starting to lose cold hardiness due to the warming temperatures. In the plots where a cover was maintained, whether snow or ice, better levels of cold hardiness

Table 2. Effect of ice cover treatment on LT50 value (0C) of *Agrostis palustris* plants stored under controlled conditions.

Ice Cover Treatment	baseline	60 days \diamond	90 days	120 days	150 days
Control	-38	-36a	-33a	-30a	-8a
Ice Cover	-	-37a	-26b	-16b	0b
Ice Encased	-	-32a	-24b	-18b	0b

¹ Within a column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p=0.05$ (LSD).

cant and by 120 days the level of cold hardiness was greatly reduced compared to the control treatment.

Field Study

The first year of the field study was conducted this past winter. The weather conditions were less than ideal, as the weather was unusually mild particularly in the early part of the winter. Consequently, it was not possible to establish an ice cover until early January.

As in the controlled environment study, the *Poa annua* was much more susceptible to ice injury than the *Agrostis palustris* (Table 3). *Poa annua* plants evaluated on Feb. 23, 1998 that had been covered in ice for 45 days were dead. Consequently, there was no difference between the ice cover and ice removal

were retained into the dehardening period.

Future Plans

The first goal of the research was to more precisely determine the period of time that *Poa annua* can tolerate under ice cover. A second goal is to confirm, under both lab and field conditions, that the period of time that *Poa annua* can withstand ice cover is really as short as it appears to be from last years research. For example, last year was an abnormal year weather wise, and other factors may have contributed to the early demise of the *Poa annua* plants.

(Editor's Note: This article was re-printed from the February/March 2000 issue of *Turf Line News* with permission from the Western Canada Turfgrass Association.)

Table 3. Effect of snow and ice cover treatment on LT50 values (0C) for *Poa annua* and *Agrostis palustris* plants grown in the field during the winter of 1997-98.

Treatment	Baseline	Feb. 1998 \diamond	Mar. 1998	Apr. 1998
<i>Poa annua</i>	-19	-	-	-
snow cover	-	-13b	-10b	-7b
snow removed	-	-10b	-14b	-15c
ice cover	-	0a	0a	0a
ice removed	-	0a	-2a	0a

Treatment	Baseline	Feb. 1998 \diamond	Mar. 1998	Apr. 1998
<i>Agrostis palustris</i>	-39	-	-	-
snow cover	-	-35cd	-39c	-32e
snow removed	-	-40d	-38c	-26d
ice cover	-	-34v	-39c	-31e
ice removed	-	-37d	-38c	-22d

¹ Within a column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p=0.05$ (LSD).

2005 MGCSA Event Sponsors

The following companies have generously sponsored portions of our monthly meeting events for the 2005 golf season:

Argotain International
 BASF Corporation
 Bayer Environmental Sciences
 Dow Agrosiences
 E. C. Grow, Inc.
 GreenImage, LLC
 Hartman Companies
 Hydrologic
 Leitner Company
 LESCO, Inc.
 MTI Distributing, Inc.
 Plaisted Companies
 Pro Source One
 Professional Lake Management
 Reinders, Inc.
 Syngenta Turf & Ornamental
 Turf Supply Co.
 Turfwerks, LLC
 Twin City Hydro Seeding

Would You Like To Be A MGCSA Director in 2006?

Contact Rick Fredericksen, CGCS, if you would like to run for the 2006 Board.

Rick is compiling a list of candidates.

Contact Rick at:

952-473-5811

or

r_fredericksen@msn.com