# Interactive Effects Of Fungicides And Two Plant Growth Regulators On Dollar Spot And Turf Quality

By Philip O. Larsen, Professor, and Jill Calabro, Research Assistant Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota

The support of the Minnesota Golf Course Superintendents' Association to partially fund this research project is gratefully acknowledged.

### Introduction

Plant growth regulators (PGRs) have been used on golf course turfgrass for many years to reduce mowing frequency. There are now several plant growth regulators registered for use on turfgrass including compounds classified as mitotic inhibitors or inhibitors of gibberellin biosynthesis. The latter group, which includes paclobutrazol, flurprimidol, and trinexapac-ethyl, has attracted the attention of turf managers because of their reduced potential for phytotoxicity and other beneficial side effects such as improved leaf color and increased stand density.

Recently, Burpee and coworkers have investigated the effects of three gibberellin inhibiting growth regulators on severity of dollar spot disease on bentgrass in Georgia. In multiple year experiments it was found that pretreatment of turfgrass with paclobutrazol, trinexepac-ethyl and flurprimidol enhanced the efficacy of various fungicides including chlorothalonil (Daconil 2787), iprodione (Chipco 26019), and propiconazole (Banner). However, the enhancement effect varied from year to year depending upon the fungicide/PGR combination. Triazole and pyrimidine PGRs are fungistatic invitro and are chemically related to several fungicides. However, until recently the disease suppressive nature of these chemicals has not been investigated.

The purpose of this investigation is to examine the possible interactive effects of two currently registered plant growth regulators in combination with various fungicides to determine effects on suppression of dollar spot disease and turfgrass quality on a bentgrass/annual bluegrass turf area under Minnesota conditions.

### PROCEDURES

The PGRs tested included paclobutrazol (Turf Enhancer 2CS, Scotts) and trinexepac-ethyl (Primo 12EC, CIBA). Fungicides included were the contact fungicide chlorothalonil (Daconil 2787 4.17F, ISK Biotech Corp.) and demethylation inhibiting (DMI) fungicides propiconazole (Banner 1.1EC, CIBA), fenarimol (Rubigan 50WSP, DowElanco), triadimefon (Bayleton 25WP, Bayer), cyproconazole (Sentinel 40WG, Sandoz), and myclobutinol (Eagle 40W, Rohm and Haas Co.). Fungicides and PGRs were applied alone and in combination on June 26 and July 23, 1996, to a bentgrass/annual bluegrass area mowed at 1/2 inch having a natural infestation of dollar spot disease caused by the fungus Sclerotinia homoeocarpa. Each treatment was replicated four times in 4' x 5' plots in a randomized complete block design. PGRs and fungicides were applied with a carbon dioxide pressurized experimental plot sprayer at 30 psi in two gallons water per 1000 sq. ft. With combination treatments, fungicides and PGRs were applied to the same plot in separate applications within a one-hour time interval. Untreated plot areas were also included as a basis for comparing treatment effects. Dollar spot symptoms were just beginning to appear at the first application time, June 26. Plots were evaluated for disease severity on June 26, July 23, August 7, August 21 and September 18, 1996. Disease severeity was rated on a 10 point scale where 1=10% plot area showing disease symptoms and 10=90-100%. Turf quality was evaluated on the same dates as disease severity except for June 26. Quality was rated on a 1-5 scale (5=highest quality) on the basis of turf color, stand density, presence of phytotocity and general turf appearance. To encourage the development of dollar spot, no fertilizer was applied to the plots during the season.

#### RESULTS

The results of application of fungicides and PGRs alone and in combination are provided in Table 1. Significant disease levels were not observed until July 23, twenty seven days after the first application. At that time, Primo and Turf Enhancer both reduced disease severity below unsprayed treatment levels but not as low as that observed with fungicides alone or fungicide/PGR combinations.

On August 7, fifteen days after the second application, disease levels were low on all plots and no treatment differences were observed. This was probably a result of weather conditions at that date which were not conducive to disease (Continued on Page 22)

### Research Project—

(Continued from Page 21)

development.

On August 21, twenty nine days after the second application, disease levels again were moderate to high on untreated control plots. All fungicide and fungicide/PGR treatments provided excellent disease control. Primo and Turf Enhancer reduced disease severity below levels observed on the untreated plots, but not to the level shown with fungicide and fungicide/PGR combinations.

On September 18, fifty-seven days following the second application, no significant disease reduction was observed with PGRs when compared to the untreated control. Treatments with DMI fungicides alone or in combination with PGRs continued to provide adequate to excellent disease control at this date. When applied alone, the contact fungicide, chlorothalonil, began to lose its efficacy and no longer reduced disease below untreated control levels. However, chlorothalonil in combination with Turf Enhancer or Primo, reduced disease levels below those observed with chlorothalonil alone or the untreated control, but generally not to the disease control levels given by DMI fungicides alone or in combination with PGRs.

### **Turf Quality**

Turf quality evaluations for various treatments are given in

Table 2. It was difficult to make clear assessments of turf quality with a high degree of confidence on treatments where significant levels of disease symptoms occurred. However, some statements can be made that reflect some general trends that were observed relative to turf quality. Application of fungicides alone or in combination with PGRs generally improved turf quality ratings over what was observed in untreated control plots. Application of PGRs alone did not improve turf quality above that observed in control plots. As a general trend, turf quality of plots treated with DMI fungicides alone or in combination with PGRs rated higher than treatments with the contract fungicide, chloro-

Table 1. Interactive Effects of Two Plant Growth Regulators and Six Fungicides on Control of Dollar Spot on Bentgrass/Poa annua Turf.

Treatment/1000 sq ft	Disease Rating (1-10) <sup>1</sup>						
	Jun 26 <sup>2</sup>	Jul 23 <sup>2</sup>	Aug 7	Aug 21	Sept 18		
Daconil (6 oz)	1.63 ab <sup>3</sup>	2.25 cd	1.00 b	1.75 bc	5.75 a		
Deconil (6 oz)+ $TE^4$	2.50 aD 2.25 ab	2.00 de	1.00 b	1.25 C	4.25 D		
Banner (1 oz)	1 50 ab	1 00 0	1.00 b	1.00 C	2 38 cdo		
Banner $(1 \text{ oz})$ +P	1.30 ab	1.50 de	1 00 b	1.00 C	2.30 de		
Banner $(1 \text{ oz})+TF$	2.00 ab	1 00 e	1 00 b	1 00 c	1 00 0		
Banner (2 oz)	1.25 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 C	1.25 e		
Banner (2 oz)+P	2.00 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 C	1.00 e		
Banner (2 oz)+TE	2.75 a	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.00 e		
Bayleton (1 oz)	2.00 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.00 e		
Bayleton (1 oz)+P	2.25 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.00 e		
Bayleton (1 oz)+TE	2.25 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 C	1.00 e		
Bayleton (2 oz)	1.63 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.00 e		
Bayleton (2 oz)+P	2.00 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.00 e		
Bayleton (2 oz)+TE	2.75 a	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.00 e		
Rubigan (0.5 oz)	1.75 ab	1.50 de	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.25 e		
Rubigan (0.5 oz)+P	2.00 ab	1.25 de	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.25 e		
Rubigan (0.5 oz)+IE	1.50 ab	1.25 de	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.00 e		
Sentinel (0.16 oZ)	1.63 aD	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	2.88 bcd		
Sentinel (0.16 oz)+P	2.25 aD	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.25 e		
Sentinel (U.16 oZ)+IE	1./5 aD	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.50 de		
Eagle $(0.6 \text{ oz})$	1.13 D	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 c	1.13 e		
Eagle $(0.6 \text{ oz}) + \text{TE}$	2.25 aD	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 C	1.25 e		
Eagle $(1, 2, 07)$	2 00 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 C	1.00 e		
Eagle $(1.2 \text{ oz}) + P$	1 75 ab	1.00 e	1.00 b	1.00 C	1.00 0		
Fagle $(1, 2, o_Z)$ +TF	1.75 ab	1.00 e	1 00 b	1 00 c	1.00 e		
Primo $(0.25 \text{ oz})$	1.75 ab	4.75 b	2.00 a	2.75 b	6.25 a		
Turf Enhancer (0.37 oz)	1.75 ab	4.50 b	1.00 b	2.75 b	5.75 a		
Untreated	2.00 ab	6.75 a	1.75 ab	5.00 a	6.26 a		

<sup>1</sup>Disease was rated on 10 point scale where 1=0-10% diseased area and 10=90-100% diseased area.

<sup>2</sup>Treatments were applied on June 26 and July 23, 1996.

<sup>3</sup>Treatments were analyzed using the Duncan's Multiple Range Test. Disease ratings on specific dates followed by the same letter are not statistically different at the 95% level of probability.

<sup>4</sup>Primo (P) and Turf Enhancer (TE) were always applied at 0.25 and 0.37 fluid ounces, respectively.

> thalonil, and the untreated control plots fifty-seven days after the final treatment application. This observation was probably correlated with the higher disease levels also associated with these plots.

### Conclusions

This investigation provides evidence that the plant growth regulators, Primo and Turf Enhancer, have the capacity to reduce dollar spot severity but not to the level provided by the fungicides rates tested here. This is consistent with the (Continued on Page 24) Table 2. Interactive Effects of Two Plant Growth Regulators and Six Fungicides on Quality of Bentgrass/*Poa annua* Turf.

Treatment/1000 sq ft	Quality Rating (1-5) <sup>1</sup>						
	Jul 23 <sup>2</sup>	Aug 7	Aug 27	Sept 18			
Dacnoil (6 oz)	2.25 de	3.50 ab	3.13 abc	1.75 ij			
Daconil (6 oz)+P <sup>4</sup>	2.75 bcde	3.50 ab	3.25 abc	2.25 hi			
Daonil (6 oz)+TE <sup>4</sup>	2.75 bcde	4.00 ab	3.50 ab	2.50 ghi			
Banner (1 oz)	3.75 ab	4.38 a	3.75 a	2.75 fgh			
Banner (1 oz)+P	3.00 abcde	3.75 ab	4.00 a	3.25 defg			
Banner (1 oz)+TE	3.75 ab	3.75 ab	3.50 ab	4.25 abcd			
Banner (2 oz)	3.88 ab	4.13 ab	4.13 a	4.00 abcde			
Banner (2 oz)+P	3.50 abc	3.25 abc	4.00 a	4.50 abc			
Banner (2 oz)+TE	3.75 ab	3.75 ab	3.00 abc	5.00 a			
Bayleton (1 oz)	3.00 abcde	3.75 ab	3.63 a	4.13 abcd			
Bayleton (1 oz)+P	3.25 abcd	3.75 ab	3.50 ab	4.50 abc			
Bayleton (1 oz)+TE	3.00 abcde	3.75 ab	3.25 abc	4.75 ab			
Bayleton (2 oz)	3.63 abc	3.88 ab	3.38 ab	4.00 abcde			
Bayleton (2 oz)+P	3.75 ab	3.75 ab	3.75 a	4.75 ab			
Bayleton (2 oz)+TE	3.75 ab	3.50 ab	3.25 abc	4.75 ab			
Rubigan (0.5 oz)	2.75 bcde	3.75 ab	3.25 abc	2.75 fgh			
Rubigan (0.5 oz)+P	3.50 abc	4.00 ab	4.25 a	3.50 cdefg			
Rubigan (0.5 oz)+TE	3.00 abcde	3.50 ab	3.00 abc	4.00 abcde			
Sentinel (0.16 oz)	3.75 ab	3.63 ab	3.63 a	2.75 fgh			
Sentinel (0.16 oz)+P	4.00 a	4.00 ab	3.50 ab	3.00 efgh			
Sentinel (0.16 oz)+TE	4.00 a	3.75 ab	3.75 a	3.00 efgh			
Eagle (0.6 oz)	3.63 abc	4.00 ab	3.75 a	3.38 defg			
Eagle (0.6 oz)+P	3.50 abc	3.50 ab	3.75 a	3.50 cdefg			
Eagle (0.6 oz)+TE	3.00 abcde	3.50 ab	3.00 abc	3.75 bcdef			
Eagle (1.2 oz)	3.63 abc	3.88 ab	3.88 a	4.00 abcde			
Eagle (1.2 oz)+P	3.50 abc	3.50 ab	3.75 a	4.00 abcde			
Eagle (1.2 oz)+TE	3.25 abcd	3.25 abc	3.00 abc	4.50 abc			
Primo (0.25 oz)	2.25 de	3.50 ab	2.25 bcd	1.75 1]			
Turt Enhancer (0.37 oz)	2.50 cde	3.00 bc	2.00 cd	1.75 1]			
Untreated	2.00 e	2.38 c	1.38 d	1.25 j			

<sup>1</sup>Turf quality was rated on a 1-5 scale (5=highest quality).

<sup>2</sup>Treatments were applied on June 26 and July 23, 1996.

<sup>3</sup>Treatments were analyzed using the Duncan's Multiple Range Test. Disease ratings on specific dates followed by the same letter are not statistically different at the 95% level of probability.

<sup>4</sup>Primo (P) and Turf Enhancer (TE) were always applied at 0.25 and 0.37 fluid ounces, respectively.



## Research Project—

(Continued from Page 22)

observation of Burpee et al. (1). The long term high level of control provided by the DMI fungicides tested did not provide an opportunity to observe differences that may occur between treatments with DMI fungicides alone and those where DMI fungicides and PGRs were applied in combination. Allowing for longer postapplication periods of observation or applying DMI fungicides at lower rates in combination with Primo or Turf Enhancer would provide further information about the potential for additive or synergistic disease control that might be achieved through application of combinations of the DMI fungicides and PGRs tested here.

Both Primo and Turf Enhancer were able to enhance the efficacy of the contact fungicide, chlorothalonil, when measured at a time when the residual effects of the chlorothalonil alone had declined to the level observed on the untreated control. Based upon this observation, it could be concluded that there is an additive disease control effect that can be achieved through application of combinations of the fungicide, chorothalonil and the plant growth regulators, Primo or Turf Enhancer. Clearly, more than one season's data are needed to confirm this conclusion.

The effect of various treatments on turf quality data was difficult to assess where significant amounts of disease symptoms occurred. No phytotoxicity was observed with any treatment.

> PLAN TO ATTEND THE MARCH 10 MINI-SEMINAR AT THE SHERATON PARK PLACE

24 • HOLE NOTES