

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE So...Why not you? .....	3
GAZING IN THE GRASS Time to Check Out the Interim Wisconsin DNR Turf Nutrient Managemet Standards .....	4
WISCONSIN ENTOMOLOGY REPORT Questions From the Floor .....	11
WISCONSIN SOILS REPORT Lawn P: Where'd It Come From? .....	15
TDL The Great Microdochium Outbreak of 2006 .....	19
PERSONALITY PROFILE Engelke Returns to Wisconsin to Receive Honor .....	22
JOTTINGS FROM THE GOLF COURSE JOURNAL Big League Golf Returns to Whistling Straits .....	29
GREEN SECTION Green Management a Little Too Close to the Edge .....	32
MISCELLANY A Visit to the South China Sea .....	35
THE EDITOR'S NOTEBOOK The Weather's the Story (Again) .....	43
NOTES FROM THE NOER FACILITY WTA Golf Outing and Summer Field Day .....	46
FROM ACROSS THE COUNTRY Seeding - What Works .....	49
BADGER STATE TURF CLIPPINGS .....	52

## ABOUT THE COVER

Jennifer Samerdyke's cover for this issue of The Grass Roots captures the appeal Erin Hill offers to players in the 2006 WTA "Fundraiser for the Fellowship" Golf Outing on October 10th. Wisconsin's gorgeous kettle moraine country offers a perfect setting for golf on a fall day for a good cause.

*"Pathetic summer seeks by blazonry  
of color to conceal her swift decrease...  
Poor middle-aged summer! Vain this show!  
Whole fields of goldenrod cannot offset  
One meadow with a single violet...?"*

— Helen Hunt Jackson

## THE GRASS ROOTS

THE GRASS ROOTS is a bi-monthly publication of the Wisconsin Golf Course Superintendents Association, printed in Waunakee, Wisconsin by Kramer Printing. No part of THE GRASS ROOTS may be reprinted without expressed written permission by the editor.

### EDITOR AND PUBLISHER

Monroe S. Miller  
Blackhawk Country Club  
P.O. Box 5129  
Madison, WI 53705  
groots@charter.net

### EDITORIAL STAFF AND BUSINESS AFFAIRS

Dave Brandenburg  
P.O. Box 314  
Theresa, WI 53091



Front Row: L-R: Brian Zimmerman, Dustin Riley, Mike Lyons and Jeff Millies. Back Row: L-R: David Oberle, Eric Jasin, Chad Harrington, Randy Dupont & Mike Werth.

### 2006-2007 WGCSA OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

#### PRESIDENT

Mike Lyons  
Old Hickory CC  
316 Grove Street  
Beaver Dam, WI 53916  
E-mail: mlyons@powerweb.net

#### DIRECTORS

Eric Jasin  
Lake Arrowhead GC  
426 St. Andrews Trail  
Nekoosa, WI 54457  
E-mail:  
arrowheadlakes@hotmail.com

#### VICE PRESIDENT

Dustin Riley  
Oconomowoc GC  
W35235 Delafield Rd.  
Oconomowoc, WI 53966  
E-mail: ogariley@voyager.net

Randy Dupont  
North Hills CC  
7519 Arthur Rd.  
Hartford, WI 53027  
E-mail: rmdnhcc@earthlink.net

#### TREASURER

Brian Zimmerman  
Milwaukee Co. Parks  
9480 Watertown Plank Rd.  
Wauwatosa, WI 53226  
E-mail:  
bzimmerman@milwcnty.com

Chad Harrington  
Autumn Ridge GC  
335 Berge Street  
Valders, WI 54245  
E-mail: puter@lakefield.net

#### SECRETARY

Jeff Millies  
Edgewood GC  
W238 S9560 Kuzendorf Ct.  
Big Bend, WI 53103  
E-mail: jmillies@wi.rr.com

Michael Werth  
Monroe CC  
2639 Hillcrest Ct.  
Monroe, WI 53566  
E-mail: werthashot@tds.net

#### PAST PRESIDENT

Marc Davison  
Green Bay CC  
2400 Klondike Rd.  
Green Bay, WI 54311  
E-mail: mdavison@usxchange.net



Visit our web site at:  
[www.wgcsa.com](http://www.wgcsa.com)



# So.... Why not you?

By **Mike Lyons**, Golf Course Superintendent, Old Hickory Country Club

Like many past presidents of our Association, I feel the need to pose the same question they asked.

"How and/or why we don't have more member involvement? Or possibly, how do we get you to be more involved?"

I will briefly explain how I got involved with our association and why. Many years ago, Mike Semler and Mark Kienert asked me if I would be interested in serving on the board of directors. I was only in my second year at Old Hickory and was, to say the least, still a little green. But the opportunity was there and I knew in time I would be able to contribute and have the opportunity to meet many of you.

The facility I work for is a low to midrange facility, and fortunately they wanted and supported me in choosing to serve our association. However, financially, they do not support me to any great extent. For example, I am not able to attend the GCSAA convention every year. It began as an every other year opportunity, but that slowly became difficult to continue. I have been fortunate to attend four national conventions in my ten years at Old Hickory. Well, that is basically how and why I became involved.

So.... why not you? I have heard that there is a clique and it is difficult to meet and approach various members. Well, maybe to some extent that may be true. But I do know this; the easiest way to meet members is to get involved with the board of directors. During my two years as Golf and Arrangement chair I met more members than I have my whole time on the board. My biggest problem is remembering names. I am terrible at it. I am pretty good with faces but am not so good with names.



I even get my own kids names mixed up. So, please forgive me if I don't remember your name. I will always be that way. It is like some of you know—I will never be good with the putter; two footers are a killer.

Back to my point - another great way to meet other members is to attend our monthly meetings. I am very aware that attending them all is

difficult to do financially and also is a significant time commitment. However, if a meeting is in your area there should be no reason not to attend. Not playing golf is not a reason. Stay for the luncheon or educational session if one is scheduled. Many times a simple "hi" and "how are you" will lead into a great discussion. There are many experienced superintendents always willing to help. If you ask a question and listen, they will help. In most cases, your problem or situation might be similar to one they are having. All you need to do is ask and listen.

Well that is my two cents worth. I truly hope many more of you will take the opportunity and attend more meetings. I promise you will learn something. Upcoming events to attend are: WTA field days, our tournament at Ozaukee Country Club, and the Wee One outing being held at Pine Hills Country Club. Take care. ♣

## SOD grown on Irrigated Sand

Try our Sand Based Kentucky Bluegrass, Bentgrass and Fine Fescue Sod all grown under Fertigation to give you the highest quality with the least amount of root stress and soil incompatibility. Excellent drainage.

Call us for current delivered pricing to your location.

**Robert H. Heath Farms Inc.**

14531 1st Ave

Coloma, WI 54930

Phone: 715-228-4106 • Fax: 715-228-4107

Email: [heath@uniontel.net](mailto:heath@uniontel.net)



# Time to Check Out the Interim Wisconsin DNR Turf Nutrient Management Standards

By Dr. John Stier, Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Increased public awareness of water quality issues is resulting in steps to curb non-point source pollution. Non-point source pollution is that which occurs in typically minimal amounts from a wide variety of sources as opposed to a single large source such as a factory. In recent years both farms and turf areas have been viewed as potential sources of non-point pollution due to their fertilizer applications. Consequently the state has taken action to reduce non-point source pollution from fertilizer. Beginning in March 2008,

farms and non-farm entities (construction sites and urban areas) will need to comply with Wisconsin Department of Natural Resource (WDNR) Rule 151. NR 151 is a set of performance standards developed to maintain if not improve surface and groundwater quality for Wisconsin residents. Performance standards describe the conditions that have to be met. The performance standards for NR151 were the subject of considerable public discussion and were finalized several years ago. Technical standards are a separate

set of documents which describe how the performance standards are to be achieved. Part of the technical standards for NR 151 include standards for turf fertilization. The objective is to have in place guidelines for turf fertilization which will safeguard surface and groundwater quality. Realizing the need to have a meaningful and practical set of guidelines, the WDNR developed a committee composed of persons from the turf industry, environmental consultants, University of Wisconsin-Extension (UWEX), Wisconsin



## CHAMPION GREENS

### Aerification Services

**Greens • Tees • Fairways**  
 Deep tine with hollow or solid tines  
 18 Greens, \$1100 plus tines used  
*Travel charges may apply*

### GOT THATCH?

**Get SISIS VEEMO**  
**Unrivald Fairway Dethatching**  
 High Speed • Scalpproof  
 Rental \$500 day, 2 day minimum  
 Blade & Travel charge apply

**KEITH 920.894.4857**  
**JOHN 920.467.9737**  
**www.championgreens.net**



Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, and WDNR employees to draft the technical standards for turf fertilization. Day to day assemblage of the document was maintained by the Standards Oversight Council (SOC) which is a semi-independent entity of WDNR and UWEX responsible for maintaining technical standards for the WDNR. The committee met monthly from January 2005 through March 2006 to discuss the science and practice of turf management, fertilizers, and water quality. The end result is WDNR Code 1100, the Interim Technical Standard for Turf Nutrient Management. The standard was assembled based on scientific data to the greatest extent possible and in accord with other WDNR rules. Much of the document relies on information currently recom-

mended in various University Wisconsin-Extension bulletins.

#### **Purpose of the Document and Sites Affected**

The purpose of the technical standard is to manage the amount, method, timing, and source of nutrient applications to turf. The ultimate goal is to minimize nutrient entry into surface and groundwater while maintaining turf density of at least 70%. The standard affects all parcels of 5 or more acres that receive fertilizer. Land areas split by non-turf areas (tree line, sidewalk, etc.) are still considered to be the same parcel. It includes "municipally-owned parcels within an incorporated municipality and non-municipally owned parcels regardless of location". At this point in time the document is strictly a guideline and is

not backed by regulatory power. The document was initiated, however, with the idea that it would be adopted as regulation either on a local or statewide basis. The 5 acre parcel size is not inflexible either: ultimately it could be applied to any size turf area. Golf courses, schools, parks, and homeowners are all affected.

#### **What the Document Contains**

WDNR Code 1100 requires soil tests to be conducted and utilized for nutrient, primarily phosphorus (P), applications to turf. It states when, how, and with what frequency soil tests are to be conducted. Included is information on the number and depth of soil cores to be collected. From greens and tees, soil cores are to be collected from a minimum depth of the root system or two inches, whichever is

## Two new course designs. Two *Golf Digest* awards. One exceptional firm.



Best New Upscale Public Courses—Mattaponi Springs, Ruther Glen, Virginia



Best New Private Courses—Canyata, Marshall, Illinois

When Golf Digest announced its best new courses of 2005, Lohmann Golf Designs walked away with two awards. Unique and challenging holes are our trademark; environmental stewardship and timeless design are our benchmarks.

To learn more about our course design, renovation and construction management capabilities, call Bob Lohmann at 815.923.3400, or visit [www.lohmann.com](http://www.lohmann.com).



*Distinctive. Innovative. Memorable.*

the maximum. The reason for the shallow sampling is because many sites have a preponderance of *Poa annua* which has a short root system; it simply doesn't make sense to collect soil deeper than the root mass. Acceptable phosphorus tests will be limited to either the Bray P1 or Mehlich III for golf turf (Tables 1 and 2). Testing shall be done at 5 yr periods if P is applied, though testing may be done more frequently and is not necessary if no P is applied.

The document also defines how and when nutrients will be applied—primarily N and P, though some consideration is given to potassium even though it is not considered a potential water contaminant of concern.

The committee made significant attempts to develop a reasonable document. For example, it recog-

nizes that soil test results cannot always be practically obtained prior to establishment but that P as starter fertilizer is often helpful to produce a dense turf cover which reduces erosion and runoff. Consequently up to 1 lb N from a starter fertilizer may be applied in the absence of a soil test if necessary. Such an application would provide approximately up to 2 lb of P expressed as  $P_2O_5$  or about 0.9 lb actual P/1000  $ft^2$ . However, documentation will be required as to the reasons why test results could not be used and to provide justification for the rates applied.

Nitrogen applications will be limited to a maximum of 6 lb/1000  $ft^2$  during the first 12 months of establishment on native soils and 10 lb N/1000  $ft^2$  on sand based root zones. Following the first year of establishment on general turf areas, N applications cannot

exceed 4 lb N/1000  $ft^2$  annually and 3 lb N/1000  $ft^2$  annually after the third year of establishment if clippings are returned. Due to the need to recover from high intensity damage, up to 8 lb N/1000  $ft^2$  can be applied to tees composed of native soil and 10 lb N/1000  $ft^2$  for tees on sand based root zones. The different values are based in part on soil test results and other data collected over time by Dr. Kussow (UW-Madison Soil Science Department).

Phosphorus fertilization is limited to soil test recommendations as shown in Tables 1 and 2. If the soil is calcareous then P fertilization may be applied monthly until soil test P levels stabilize between 38-50 ppm.

In order to protect groundwater from fertilizer runoff, fertilizer is not to be applied to saturated or frozen soils. An allowance is pro-

Table 1. Bray P1 (Phosphorus) Soil Test Interpretations and Recommendations for Turf Nutrient Management Technical Standard, Wisconsin 2006.

	Nutrient Concentrations			Phosphorus Recommendations <sup>Note 1</sup>			
	Soil Test P		Concentration Interpretation	lb $P_2O_5$ /1000 $ft^2$		lb $P_2O_5$ /acre	
	ppm P	lbs/acre					
<b>Turf Establishment</b>	0-15	0-30	Very low	3		131	
<b>From Seed</b>	16-30	31-60	Low	2		87	
	31-45	61-90	Medium	1		44	
	45-50	91-100	Optimal	0		0	
	>50	>100	Very High	0		0	
<b>Turf Establishment</b>	0-10	0-20	Very low	3		131	
<b>From Sod</b>	11-20	21-40	Low	2		87	
	21-30	41-60	Medium	1		44	
	31-40	61-80	Optimal	0		0	
	>40	>80	Very High	0		0	
<b>Established Turf,</b>	0-5	0-10	Very low	3		131	
<b>Low Traffic</b>	6-10	11-20	Low	2		87	
<b>Areas</b>	11-15	21-30	Medium	1		44	
	16-20	31-40	Optimal	0		0	
	>20	>40	Very High	0		0	
				<b>High Traffic Areas</b> <sup>Note 4</sup>	<b>Fairway</b>	<b>Tees and Greens</b>	
<b>Established Turf,</b>				lb $P_2O_5$ /1000 $ft^2$	lb $P_2O_5$ /acre	<b>Sand</b> <sup>Note 5</sup>	<b>Push-up</b> <sup>Note 6</sup>
<b>High Traffic</b>						lb $P_2O_5$ /1000 $ft^2$	
<b>Areas</b> <sup>Note 2</sup>	0-12	0-24	Very low	5	200	3	5
	13-25	25-50	Low	3.5	150	2	3.5
	26-37	51-74	Medium	2	100	1	2
	38-50	75-100	Optimal <sup>Note 3</sup>	1	50	0.5	1
	>50	>100	Very High	0	0	0	0

Table 2. Mehlich III (Phosphorus) Soil Test Interpretations and Recommendations for Turf Nutrient Management Technical Standard, Wisconsin 2006.

Established Golf Turf <sup>Note 2</sup> Fairways	Nutrient Concentrations		Concentration Interpretation	Phosphorus Recommendations <sup>Note 1</sup>			
	Soil Test P			Sand <sup>Note 5</sup>		Push-up <sup>Note 6</sup>	
	ppm P	lbs/acre		lb P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /1000 ft <sup>2</sup>		lb P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /acre	
	0-12	0-25	Very Low	NA		6	260
	13-24	26-49	Low	NA		4	175
	25-37	50-75	Medium	NA		2.5	100
	38-50	76-100	Optimal <sup>Note 3</sup>	NA		1.5	50
	>50	>100	Very High	NA		0	0
Established Golf Turf <sup>Note 2</sup> Tees and Greens	Soil Test P		Concentration Interpretation	Sand <sup>Note 5</sup>		Push-up <sup>Note 6</sup>	
	ppm P	lbs/acre		lb P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /1000 ft <sup>2</sup>	lb P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /acre	lb P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /1000 ft <sup>2</sup>	lb P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /acre
	0-5	0-11	Very Low	3	130	5	220
	6-10	12-21	Low	2	90	3.5	150
	11-19	22-39	Medium	1	45	2	90
	20-30	40-60	Optimal <sup>Note 3</sup>	0.5	20	1	45
	>30	>60	Very High	0	0	0	0

- <sup>Note 1</sup> Recommendations provide the maximum amount of fertilizer that can be applied between soil tests. When soils require phosphorus, one of two approaches may be taken. Option one is to make what is known as a corrective application. This is a one-time application of the amount of phosphorus recommended. The second option is that of gradual buildup, and then re-testing of the soil to check if the desired level of phosphorus was achieved. Gradual buildup of phosphorus is accomplished by seeking the proper type or grade of fertilizer to apply at different times of the year. Use either the ppm of lbs/acre column for the soil test, and either the lbs/1000 ft<sup>2</sup> or lbs/acre column for the recommendation.
- <sup>Note 2</sup> Low maintenance turf and roughs shall follow recommendations for established turf, low traffic areas.
- <sup>Note 3</sup> The application recommendation is to maintain the level at the ppm which is considered the optimal range for turf that receives high traffic.
- <sup>Note 4</sup> Areas including but not limited to athletic fields, intensively used paths in low traffic areas, and high use park areas.
- <sup>Note 5</sup> 50% or more of the root zone by depth is sand.
- <sup>Note 6</sup> More P needs to be applied to pushup greens in order to increase the soil test P. This is because native soils have a greater capacity to bind P, thus making it less available than in sand based greens.

vided to allow for application of fertilizers (e.g., Milorganite) to melt snow or ice on greens. If such an application is made, the amount of N and P applied will probably need to be incorporated into the nutrient management plan. Whether or not the application will count towards the annual N rate is unknown.

The committee invited experts in turf soils and water quality management to clarify pertinent issues or situations. Dr. Kussow provided substantial guidance and recommendations throughout the process due to the relevancy of his research program. Considerable discussion occurred regarding entry of nutrients, primarily N, into groundwater. The document was subsequently written to further restrict N applica-

tions in particularly sensitive areas. For example, in areas with highly permeable soils, soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock, or less than 12 inches to the apparent water table, N sources will have to be no more than 50% water soluble, unless no more than 1/4 lb N/M is applied at a given time. In recognition that spoon-feeding of nutrients is often the most efficient method of application to prevent nutrient movement, this last statement allows superintendents to use foliar fertilization which relies on water-soluble N sources. The document goes on to state that tile inlets and other entrances into storm water drains must be covered prior to fertilizer application if these drain off-site or to other on-site areas connected to

surface and/or groundwater.

Steps to protect surface water contamination include prohibitions against applying fertilizer to saturated soils, wetlands, surface water or impervious surfaces such as driveways or sidewalks. If slopes are steeper than 10%, N sources will be at least 50% water soluble in order to facilitate soil absorption. Lightweight natural-organic sources of N, which are not soluble, are more likely to runoff from slopes than water soluble sources for a longer time period following application. If turf to be fertilized is within 20 feet of a water body or ordinary high water mark, only foliar applications are allowed for general turf areas. Tees and greens may be fer-

 Dow AgroSciences

# Eagle® 20EW

Specialty Fungicide

## Easy to Use. Tough on Disease.

- New, easy-to-measure liquid formulation
- Controls 19 turf-destroying diseases including dollar spot, brown patch, summer patch, anthracnose and spring dead spot
- Provides preventative and curative control
- Long-term disease prevention (*up to 21 days residual control*)
- Effective on common golf course turf species including bent-grass, bluegrass, ryegrass, Bermudagrass and zoysiagrass



### Convenient Package Sizes



pint containers  
(8 per case)

2.5 gallon jugs  
(2 per case)

Also available in 1-gallon containers (4 per case)



**For More Information contact: Shawn Hilliard**



**N125 Co. Hwy C, DeForest, WI, 800-362-8049  
Fax: 608-846-1115 Cell: 608-516-4006**

\*\*Trademark of Dow AgroSciences LLC  
MACH 2 is not for sale or use in California. Dimension and MACH 2 are not for sale or use in Nassau and Suffolk counties, New York. State of New York requires that these products be used only by professional applicators. Spotlight is not registered for use in all states. Always read and follow label directions.

 Dow AgroSciences

[www.dowagro.com/turf](http://www.dowagro.com/turf)  
1-800-255-3726

tilized with a drop spreader but in either case no more than 2 lb N/M/year may be applied.

### Management Plans Required

Nutrient management plans will need to be developed for areas that receive fertilizer. Such plans are already being required for conventional agriculture in Wisconsin. The management plan will have to include a map showing site topography, designated uses, soil test locations, sensitive areas and surface waters. The narrative will include information on the turf species and soil types of the site, a response plan for addressing fertilizer spills, soil test results, and a fertilization plan. The plan will have to be developed by a certified golf course superintendent, an individual with a bachelor's degree in turf and grounds management or equivalent experience or training in turf. Some original proposals would have required a Certified Crop Advisor (CCA) to develop the plan but the group agreed turf management has a different goal, and requires different strategies, than yield-based agriculture and CCA training and testing programs do not address turf.

### How Code 1100 Will Impact Superintendents

Superintendents will have to develop, or pay a qualified person to develop, a nutrient management plan for their golf course. Soil testing may be a new expense for some courses, particularly those unused to soil testing, but will not be a major expense for most courses. Recordkeeping of fertilization will be required but is unlikely to burden most superintendents as this sort of recordkeeping is already commonplace.

Perhaps the most negative aspect is the implied idea that turf fertilization is automatically bad for the environment: the technical nature of the document does not allow statements to explain the purpose or relationship of fertilization to turf density and nutrient runoff or leaching. Without such a statement the document may have the unintended consequence of sending a negative signal to the public. Parks, schools, homeowners and other entities will likely find the nutrient management plan too cumbersome and soil testing too expensive and stop fertilizing all together. In such a case the document may actually have an effect opposite of that intended as research shows properly fertilized turf actually has less nutrient runoff than unfertilized turf. Nutrients, especially N, is required for maximum turf density and dense vegetation is known to reduce runoff, erosion and both soluble and sediment-bound nutrients. On the other hand such a document shows the public that steps have been taken to minimize nutrient loading into the environment from turf fertilization, and for the most part the guidelines will allow for good turf. One potential agronomic problem with such regulations is that

they have inherent inflexibility which hampers response to unique situations. For example, how will turf be managed to recover from root rot diseases when soil tests indicated sufficient P exists in soil for established turf, yet the absence of a root system prevents the turf from accessing the phosphorus?

### Reviews are Planned

One of the most enlightening aspects of the situation is that the WDNR realizes modifications may be needed in the future and have planned for the technical standard to be subject to revision as necessary. Part of the flexibility is due to the recognition that new technologies and/or fertilizer formulations may change some agronomic practices for the betterment of the environment. A review of the document is planned for approximately December 2008. Questions or suggestions can be sent to Mary Ann Lowndes, Urban Stormwater Engineer, Madison DNR Office, P.O. Box 7921, 101 S. Webster St., Madison, WI, 53707. You may also contact me directly (jstier@wisc.edu; 608-262-1624). The document can be viewed at <http://dnr.wi.gov/org/water/wm/nps/stormwater/techstds.htm>.

## PENN G-2 Creeping Bentgrass

*What makes it so different  
is what makes it so good*

The list of what makes PENN G-2 so different and so good goes on and on. Moderate fertility, heat tolerance, disease resistance and reduced *Poa annua* invasion are just a few of the highlights. What it all comes down to is simple. Whether you are building, renovating or interseeding, PENN G-2 is your grass. Why? Because it's as good as it is different.



Putting Green Quality  
Creeping Bentgrass

The same Bentgrass  
used at the 1999  
U.S. Open at  
Pinehurst No. 2

**LESCO**

**800-321-5325**

LESCO is a registered trademark of LESCO Technologies, LLC. The PENN G-2 logo is a trademark of LESCO Technologies, LLC. PENN G-2 is a registered trademark of Tee-2-Green, Corp.



# Nothing Cuts Greens Like a Jake Mower

Create tournament-quality greens with these ultra-light mowers with free-floating, steerable cutting units and patented swing-out center reels. Our exclusive Turf Groomer® promotes healthy turf and is available on all models. We have the most complete line in the industry!

## Greens King™ VI

18 hp Gas or 19 hp Diesel Triplex with a Legendary, 62" Jake® Cut


- Power steering and tilt wheel.
- Optional joystick to lift/lower reels.
- Patented FlashAttach™ quick-change reel system.



## G-Plex™ and E-Plex™

18 hp Gas, 18.8 hp Diesel or Exclusive Electric Triplex with a 62" Jake Cut

- Easy, patented swing-out center reel.
- Auto-synchronized lift controls.
- ComfortPlus™ controls and steering arm.
- Whisper-quiet electric, with the highest clip rate.



## Greens King™ IV and IV Plus

16 or 18 hp Gas or 19 hp Diesel Triplex Greens Mowers with a Precision, 62" Jake Cut

- Legendary workhorses since 1968.
- Power steering on Greens King IV Plus.



All covered by the best warranty in the industry.

## Walk-Behind Greens King™ 500A Series and PGM Mowers

Precise, Contour-Hugging, 18," 22" and 26" Wide Greens Mowers

- Precisely balanced, with true, straight-line tracking.
- Choose 18" to 26" reels to suit your application.
- Durable belt drive.

### Optional Features:

**Advanced Jacobsen Technology:** 7-, 9- and 11-blade reels meet any turf-care need. Choose grooved or solid aluminum or steel rollers for a professional finish. Our patented Turf Groomer controls thatch and improves turf health while increasing greens speed, without lowering height of cut.



Optional spikers, vertical cutters, greens rollers, front/rear roller brushes and patented Turf Groomer.



CELEBRATING 60 YEARS IN BUSINESS

**HORST DISTRIBUTING, INC.**  
PO BOX 110

444 N. Madison Street  
Chilton, WI 53014-0110

**1-800-279-2341**

**JACOBSEN**  
**TEXTRON**

Precision cut. Legendary performance.