

## **Questions From The Floor**

By Dr. Wayne R. Kussow Department of Soil Science University of Wisconsin-Madison

Q: For fairly obvious reasons I'm going to begin to incorporate ammonium sulfate into my fertility program this season. I'll admit that I'm a little nervous about it. Do you have any advice or suggestions for me, Dr. Kussow? DANE COUNTY

A: First, let us state the fairly obvious reason for applying ammonium sulfate. If we draw a line on a state map from about Marinette to Baraboo and then to LaCrosse, the area below the "V" that is drawn is a region of calcium and magnesium rich groundwater. Irrigation with this water raises soil pH. Given a few years, soil pH values rise to 7.6 or more. This high pH favors growth of the take-all patch organism. In fact, unless soil pH is reduced to about 6.0, chemical control of the pathogen is very difficult. Ammonium sulfate has the highest residual acidic value of any fertilizer used on turf and, therefore, is an effective soil acidifying agent. This is the reason for interest in incorporating ammonium sulfate into turf fertility programs.

Three things have to be kept in mind when using ammonium sulfate. One is the fact that it is a soluble N carrier that, unlike even urea, does not require any type of chemical reaction to become available for uptake by turfgrass. Turfgrass response is immediate and continues only until much of the ammonium sulfate N is used up. Frequent application at low rates is essential to avoid large surges in turfgrass growth and to maintain satisfactory color. Secondly, ammonium sulfate is second only to ammonium nitrate in its burn potential and has a salt index nearly four times greater than that of methylene ureas and sulfur-coated urea. Irrigation shortly after application of ammonium sulfate is an essential part of using the fertilizer on high maintenance turf. Finally, there is the issue of how often and at what rates ammonium sulfate need be used to reduce or control soil pH.

I cannot give you a precise answer to this question. It depends on the hardness of your irrigation water, how much irrigation is required, the existing soil pH, and the texture and organic matter content of the soil. The more calcium and magnesium that goes on in the irrigation water, the finer the soil texture and the higher its organic matter content, the more acidity needed to reduce or control soil pH. Research has shown that the amount of acidity generated through application of ammonium sulfate is strictly a function of how much of the fertilizer you apply annually. Frequency and time of application of ammonium sulfate have no bearing on its effectiveness in reducing soil pH.

Q: I very much enjoyed Mike Carlson's report at Expo '94 on the research he has been doing at the Noer Facility and in the laboratory. I'll admit I don't know him; could you tell a little about him personally, his research, when he'll be done,

#### and how he's funded? BROWN COUNTY

A: Mike is a 1985 graduate from Penn State's 4-year Turf Management Program. After his junior year, he did his internship at the Medina Country Club. His first position after graduation was as Assistant Superintendent at the Sweetwater Country Club in Houston. In October of 1987 he became the Assistant at the Ventana Canyon Country Club in Tucson. His next move was to La Crosse, where he became the Superintendent at the Maple Grove Country Club in January, 1989. Three years later he came to Madison to begin working toward a Master's Degree under my direction. His return to school was prompted by several things. Most important was the fact that Mike's experiences had led him to believe that more in-depth formal education in the plant and soil sciences would enhance his chances of someday realizing his goal of becoming the Superintendent at a premier golf course.

Mike was the ideal person for the research project to which he was assigned. The goal of the project is to determine the properties one needs to consider when selecting an organic amendment for blending into the rootzone mix of USGA putting greens. This project, which is being generously funded by the O.J. Noer Turfgrass Foundation, required eventual construction and maintenance of a USGA specification putting green containing 10 different rootzone mixes. We devoted many long weekends to site preparation, installation of the drainage and irrigation systems, blending the rootzone mixes, and placing the mixes in forty 8' by 8' cells. During all of the 1993 season Mike maintained and monitored the grow-in of the green. In the midst of this, he performed many lab analyses and conducted a greenhouse trial with simulated putting greens.

Mike has completed all his coursework, has conducted the requisite literature review and has all his laboratory, greenhouse and field data statistically analyzed. The next and final step is to write his M.S. degree thesis. For obvious reasons, we'd like to have this done before he assumes another superintendent position. But if a good opportunity arises, he's in a position where he can leave and work on the thesis as time permits.

Mike brought to the project a wealth of practical knowledge and experience, enthusiasm, a penchant for a lot of hard work, and unsurpassed devotion to the golf turf management profession and to the game itself. He'll leave with added knowledge that allows him to peruse the most technical of literature, to critically evaluate new information for its practical value, to communicate more effectively at all levels of the profession, and to enhance even more his superb problem solving capabilities.

# Don't Worry, IT <u>WON'T BURN!</u>



## ONE LESS THING TO WORRY ABOUT WITH. . .

WISCONSIN TURF EQUIPMENT Janesville, WI (608) 752-8766 New Berlin, WI (414) 544-6421



HORST DISTRIBUTING, INC. Chilton, WI 1-800-279-2341

#### (Continued from page 41)

Q: Give us your reaction to Bob Erdahl's writing about aerification in the last issue of The Grass Roots, please, LACROSSE COUNTY

A: As usual, Bob has done a fine job, first in assembling the survey and then in presenting the results. He did not however, ask the question "Why do you aerify"? I gather from reading the article that once in awhile the question was answered anyway. It seems the main reasons are to ensure quality playing surfaces on Poa infested putting greens and to control fairway thatch that seemingly is worsened by going to light weight mowing. Reading between the lines, I perceived that winterkill and the reaction invoked, that of overseeding, is another common reason for aerifying. These are all valid reasons for aerifying.

The article I wrote was perceived by many as an anti-aerification message. That was not my intent. What I attempted to do was two things: call to your attention to what recent research has indicated to be the virtues and limitations of aerification as a cultural practice and to get superintendents to question why they aerify. My message was to aerify when you have a defensible reason to do so and have investigated your particular problems and are reasonably sure aerification is the answer. I stand by that message.

Q: I'm confused by the shakeup in the College of Agriculture I've read about in a number of places, including The Grass Roots. Will it affect any of the research, teaching and extension activities in the turf program at the UW? OZAUKEE COUNTY

A: The shakeup you refer to is what is deemed necessary to overcome a \$3 million plus budget deficit in the College. As long as our turf group stays intact, there should be no reductions in our research, teaching and extension efforts. Should one of us leave for any reason, the position would certainly not be filled for the next three years. After three years, the position might be filled, but only if the College Administration became convinced that the position has high priority in the College.

Q: A few years ago we briefly thought about composting plant debris from our golf course - leaves, grass clippings and the like. It didn't get past the talking stage, however; the benefits just didn't match up with the costs. Now, the clubhouse manager wants to compost wastes and leftovers from his restaurant operation. He asked about the opportunity to do that along with compostable material from our golf course operation. His disposal costs are high and he estimates 2/3 of his wastes are compostable. Can coffee grounds and table leftovers be composted with bentgrass clippings and oak leaves? What do you think about this cooperative effort? MILWAUKEE COUNTY

A: Wastes from the restaurant and the golf course can be composted together. There are, however, two things that have to be kept in mind. One is that the restaurant wastes must not contain any meat scraps or animal fats. When placed in the urban compost pile, these materials do a couple of naughty things; they serve as breeding sites for flies and attract some neat vermin such as skunks, rats, mice and raccoons. Because you'd be using bentgrass clippings, you have to be prepared to daily blend and add to the compost pile equal volumes of dry leaves and fresh plant material. This so- called cooperative venture would have to be very cooperative. There'd have to be a sworn statement from the restaurant as to the composition of their wastes



Dan Quast, CGCS Medinah Country Club Medinah, Illinois

"I don't worry about localized dry spots because luse Spring Valley's 5-1-10+ Hydro-Wet." Dr. Karl Danneberger, at Ohio State, proved what I already knew when in his review of the 1990 tests on wetting agents, he said, 'the Spring Valley product (5-1-10+ Hydro-Wet) performed exceptionally well compared to the other products.""



Please call and we'll send you a copy of the Ohio State study.



and, in all fairness, the clubhouse should help with composting costs — purchase of a shredder/mixer, preparation of a DNR-approved composting site, extra labor, etc. I'd give this venture some very careful thought and probably pay someone to come in and assess the cost and feasibility before making any commitments.

## *Q*: Here we go again: I'm rebuilding some greens to USGA Green Section specs. What do you recommend for the 20% in the 80/20 rootzone mix?

A: In answering this question, I'll make the assumption that you're not going to send sand and organic amendment samples to a lab for testing. In making this assumption, I'm eliminating composted materials from consideration, leaving us with only inorganic materials and peat as choices. We've used one of the inorganic products in our research and cannot recommend it as a replacement for the organic amendment. Thus, I'd shy away from the inorganics until we see the results of some long term research with them. This leaves peat as the material of choice. The USGA specs cite only one criteria for peat selection; it should contain 85% or more organic material. This is a safe and reasonable recommendation. However, Mike Carlson's research indicates that what is really important is the moisture holding capacity of the rootzone mix. This depends as much on the type of sand used as the organic amendment. Sand that shades to the finer side of the USGA specs will hold more water and peats that contain 50 to 85% organic matter seem to perform as well as those that are more than 85% organic. This means that many of our local peats can be used in rootzone mixes. They must, however, be peat and not muck. Peat contains readily identifiable plant fibers. Muck does not.

We have observed that uniformity of bentgrass emergence is key to the quality of putting greens for at least a year after seeding. To achieve uniform emergence, the rootzone mix must have good moisture retention capacity and the moisture retained uniformly throughout the top inch. To meet the latter requirement, the peat need be reduced to a particle size of 2mm or less.

#### Q: I've been using fertilizers with the trace or micro element package added. Am I doing any good, or am I wasting my money? Any risk of toxicity problems? RICHLAND COUNTY

A: At least up until now, we have not seen a confirmed micronutrient deficiency on turfgrass in Wisconsin. If we do, it's going to take more than the amounts of micronutrients in a typical package to correct the problem. Some of my colleagues have expressed concern that repeated use of fertilizers that contain unneeded micronutrients may lead to toxicities. I'm not aware of any instance where this has happened. If it does, it will most likely be on acid soils.

One of the seniors in the Turf and Grounds Management Program is conducting a study in the greenhouse. He'll be reporting the results of this study in a later issue of The Grass Roots. Suffice it to say at this time that he'll be presenting evidence that one time when micronutrient applications are a must is at the time of bentgrass establishment on 80/20 rootzone mixes.

So why do so many fertilizers contain micronutrients and so many users deliberately purchase fertilizers because of the presence of micronutrients? I'm not sure of the reason or reasons, but suspect its an outgrowth of an earlier era when the attitude was "It doesn't cost much and its good insurance". This is the type of attitude that leads to accusations that the turf industry is environmentally irresponsible. *Q*: There are rumors that some units of government in Wisconsin want to ban the use of phosphate fertilizers or phosphorus containing fertilizers on turf. Several questions: 1. Is there evidence to support claims that phosphate fertilizers are contaminating surface waters? 2. If passed, what are some potential problems in turf establishment and nutrition? WAUKESHA COUNTY

A: So far, the banning of phosphate application on turf has not gone beyond home lawns in individual communities. These bans range from total to a partial ban wherein application is permitted only if soil tests indicate a need for phosphate. Madison is considering this type of partial ban and applying it only to commercial applicators, not homeowners.

To say that grassed areas do not contribute phosphate to runoff waters would be totally irresponsible. But what's important to note is how much P is involved and where it comes from. Numerous studies conducted by turf researchers have led to the generalization that P in runoff water from cropland averages 10 times more than from properly maintained turf. Thus, one can reasonably say that until the watershed of a lake contains 10 times more area in turf than cropland, banning P fertilization will have no detectable effect on the amount of P entering the lake each year. Also at issue here is the source of P in runoff water from turf. My own research, while still limited time-wise, indicates that between September 15, 1993, and this past March 7, of the total amount phosphate detected in the 1.12 inches of runoff collected, 78% of the P was contained in runoff from snow melt in February and March. Is this fertilizer P? Chances are next to nil that this is fertilizer P. Rather it is P leached from the dead tissue from dormant grass. Recent research has shown that nearly 30% of the P in frozen turfgrass tissue is leachable. These observations lend credence to what some people have been saying for some time now; the bulk of P in runoff water from residential areas is in early spring, during snowmelt, and comes from decaying leaves and other types of dead vegetation in the area. If what science indicates is true, banning phosphate use on home lawns will have little to no effect on the amounts of phosphorus annually entering our lakes and streams.

In communities where phosphate use on lawns is banned unless a need is indicated by soil test, special actions have been necessary to ensure homeowners access to phosphate-free fertilizers. All natural organic fertilizers contain phosphate, as does virtually every synthetic fertilizer available to home owners. What communities have done is go to local fertilizer distributors and have them blend a zero P fertilizer for local use by homeowners. Personal experiences with these locally prepared fertilizers have generally not been favorable. They're typically loaded with soluble N (urea), contain little or no SRN, use KCI rather than Ksulfate as the potassium carrier, have widely ranging particle sizes that preclude uniform application, and tend to set up like concrete if stored over winter. I'm ready to predict that in the long run, these low quality fertilizers are going to cause more harm then good on home lawns.

Banning all use of phosphate on home lawns is a sure route to eventual degradation of those lawns and eventual increases in the amount of runoff and sediment losses that occur. Except on soil with very high phosphate levels, the nutrient is a must for turf establishment. Without P, the grass stand is thin to begin with and only gets worse over time. The same holds true when soil P levels in established turf drop below the optimum and are not restored.



THE ULTIMATE GUIDE

By Rob Schultz

It came in the mail a few days ago and will quickly be regarded by all who received it as "the Bible."

Golf Digest upgraded its "Places to Play" supplement this year and it's a wonderful addition for all golfers who like to travel to play different courses.

What makes the "Places to Play" supplement so special is that the *Golf Digest* folks included comments from golfers who played it. They weren't hand-picked golfers but regular folks who filled out a card and sent it in to the magazine. The comments seemed to be accurate and really helped create a guide for courses where golfers can learn which courses they should play and stay away from. The guide listed 22 Wisconsin sites to play golf. None of the remarks were stunners. All seemed to hit the mark. Surprisingly, nobody complained about paying \$100 to play the River Course at Blackwolf Run. But there were complaints about paying \$50 to play the Springs. It shows that golfers are willing to pay the price to play great courses, but won't to play a dump.

Here's a sample of those remarks:

Blackwolf Run Meadow Course -"From arrival to departure, a truly great experience"..."Tough, beautiful, immaculate"..."Worth a six-hour drive" ..."Back 9 is finest I've ever played." Blackwolf Run River Course - "Brutal, beautiful, toughest course ever"...

"Great Challenge, great scenery" ..."Would pay just to walk it"..."Greatest test of game management, bar none"..."Nos. 5 through 13 are the best run of 9 holes ever seen"..."One of Pete Dye's best, he didn't ruin the land"..."Mother Nature's best"... "Simply the best public course in America."

Brown County - "Textbook public operation"..."A challenging gem"..."Best secret in Wisconsin. Shhh."

Brown Deer - "Great county course"... "Not the course it once was, but still



Hartland, Wisconsin

800-356-6686

Swanton, Ohio 800-426-0370

fun"..."Greater Milwaukee Open here? No way!"..."Once a county course, always a county course"..."GMO should improve the course"... "Will be even better once GMO is here."

Cherry Hills in Sturgeon Bay - "Big price, bad shape"..."Stones in the fairways"..."Some bad holes."

Fox Hills National Golf Club - "No trees, wear sunscreen"..."Waste bunkers hold water"..."Very boring"..."Touted as a links-style course. Not even close!"

Lawsonia Woodlands Course - "Terrific ambience with deer prancing on fairways. But some greens aren't up to speed."..."First-class facilities at a sensible price"..."For overall enjoyment, one of the top five ever?" ..."Only Blackwolf is better."

Lake Arrowhead -"Wonderfully laid out and maintained. A gem"..."Better than SentryWorld, by far."

Mascoutin Golf Club - "A surprise"... "Unbelievable"... "A true test. Two island greens, slick greens."..."Bring a compass."

Olympia Resort - "Poorly maintained" .... "Has slipped downhill"... "Facilities were dirty"... "Now OK."

SentryWorld - "A perfect experience" ..."Course was designed by Better Homes and Gardens"..."Has gone downhill in past couple of years but still excellent"..."Haagen-Daz malts at the turn!"

The Springs Golf Club - "Rates have doubled in two years"..."Beautiful spot spoiled by condos and commercialism"..."Not in good shape at all"... "Lots of bugs."

*Trappers Turn* - "Super layout"... "Three great finishing holes"..."Great little course and value"..."Never a dull moment."

University Ridge - "What a beauty! What a value!"..."Closest thing to Blackwolf I've seen"..."Will be up there with the best"..."Too pricey for being supported by a university." As it turned out, Blackwolf Run's River Course was one of just six courses listed in the guide that were given five stars. The others were Cog Hill in Chicago, Pebble Beach, Pinehurst, Spyglass and Troon North. The guide said a five-star course was golf at its absolute best. Pay any price at least once in your life.

The River Course was also one of just 25 courses listed in the guide as a SuperValue. As great as that course may be, those in Wisconsin who have opened their wallets and forked out \$100 to play it might dispute that one.

The state courses in the guide with the lowest ratings were Lake Lawn Lodge in Elkhorn and Olympia. Both received just one and one-half stars. According to the guide, that meant they rated just about a rating of just barely being a golf course and just below a rating of being good, not great, but not a rip-off either.

University Ridge got the second highest rating in the state with four and one-half stars. Blackwolf Run's Meadow Valleys Course, Lawsonia's two courses, Lake Arrowhead and SentryWorld each were given four stars.

## Introducing Scotts Turplex, BioInsecticide... A breakthrough in naturally derived pesticides.

Extracted from the seed of the neem tree, azadirachtin, Turplex's active ingredient, acts as an insect growth regulator for effective control of cutworms, armyworms and sod webworms. It's the biorational alternative designed for today's integrated pest management programs.

#### Call your ProTurf Tech Rep

Wayne Horman Senior Technical Representative 5019 Timber Lane • McFarland, WI 53558 Telephone 608/838-9422 Bruce R. Schweiger Technical Representative 1712 N. Harmony Drive • Janesville, WI 53545 Telephone 800/373-6613 (or 608/756-3912)







Also, the Meadow Valleys Course, Cedar Creek in Onalaska, Lake Arrowhead and University Ridge were given Great Value distinctions.

ALL POINTS BULLETIN: Rob Schultz, sportswriter who is supposed to write columns for THE GRASS ROOTS, has been missing from the past two issues. He is tall with a bad golf game that has worsened because of a bad back. He can usually be found holed up in his office in his home sitting in front of his computer or staring out his window scratching his head trying to figure out something to write.

Sorry, folks. It has been a weird five months. Reporters covering the Clinton Administration haven't had to cover as many highs and lows as I have covering a Rose Bowl and a college basketball team that looked like it could beat Arkansas one night and couldn't beat the Sisters of the Poor on another night.

I traveled to highlight spots like Malibu and Beverly Hills and stayed in the lush Beverly Hills hotel. I also traveled to lowlight spots like West Lafayette, Champaign and stayed in roadside stops like the Super 8 in Mendota, III. when my eyes wouldn't let me drive anymore.

How weird was my winter? One week I found myself walking the surf in Malibu in the wake of the Wisconsin football team's triumphant trip to the Rose Bowl. The next week I found myself snowed in at State College in the wake of the Wisconsin basketball team's crazy march through its Big Ten season. I flew out of tiny State College only after convincing the pilot the snow, sleet and ice really wasn't that bad.

That was more than four months ago. It seems like four years. The thrill of watching a football team reach the pinnacle was erased by the vivid memory of watching pressure build on the faces of the three coaches and 12 players on the basketball team that struggled so desperately to make the NCAA tournament.

It's been said that pressure forces the true colors out of a person. That happened to the basketball team and it took its toll. Just when I thought the basketball season was over, crybaby man/child Rashard Griffith announced he was quitting the team. Call it the epilogue to a weird winter.





## The Growing Sensation All over Wisconsin

The L.L. Olds Seed Company has been a growing sensation for over 100 years. We've offered qualified advice, the finest turf seeds available, and customer service that surpasses expectations.

One of the reasons Olds is a leader in the turf seed industry is because we can accurately analyze difficult growing conditions and recommend custom seed mixes that will grow green and lush. Our staff includes a certified seed technologist, agronomists, and horticulturists. It's their job to develop seed mixes that will grow successfully for your unique situations.

We work with five major seed producers in the Pacific Northwest. This means we can offer a superior portfolio of turf seeds, customer mixes and our Olds Special Mixtures.

Olds Madison Parks -blended for sun and light shade.

Olds Velvetread -a premium blend for full sun and light shade.

Olds Shady Place -blended for light to dense shade.

Olds Wear n' Tear -blended for high traffic areas.

Olds Quick-2-Gro -blended for a variety of areas, priced for the economically minded.

Many of our customers need their seed soon after they order. That's why we offer Priority Shipping for turn around within 24 hours to 48 hours.

At L.L. Olds we do whatever we can to make everything you plant a growing sensation.



P.O. Box 7790 • 2901 Packers Ave, Madison, WI 53707-7790 1-800-356-7333 • 608-249-9291 FAX 608-249-0695



## Make This Your "Year of the Green Section"

By Monroe S. Miller

Winters on golf courses in Wisconsin are a time for planning, anticipation and careful consideration of the upcoming season. I have also found value in devoting time for refection and review and looking back, not only at seasons most recently gone by but also those from a considerable time ago. It gives a golf course superintendent a chance to see "if I'm on the right track," or "have I followed the steady course?" and "can others see where I am heading?"

It takes discipline to do that, but such reflective hours may be among your most productive.

This past winter I took out my files, now of considerable thickness, of past USGA Green Section agronomist visits. Taken together, these reports mark the progress of our golf course for the past (nearly) twenty years. It is very clear that the Green Section and I have been partners in that progress, and I swept with gratitude.

It has been the good luck of both myself and Blackhawk's players to have had access to golf turf agronomists that are among the best anywhere at anytime. There are few in our business who don't recognize the names of Schwartzkopf, Zontek and Latham. Given a few more years, Bob Vavrek will reach that level of recognition across the country, too.

Clearly, their experience is unmatched, and that is a good bit of what you get when you subscribe to the service. As I read report after report, I was reminded of what a bright, unique group of guys they have been. Their advice, year after year, was honest and straightforward and helpful. Issues were discussed pragmatically when appropriate, and philosophically when required. But always —ALWAYS—the advice was sound.

And I have been smart enough to follow those sound recommendations. The result? Better golf course, more

efficiency and very marked improvement with every passing year.

Don't misunderstand—I am taking my full share of credit, and giving proper due to Club officials. Clearly, the Green Section isn't on the property at 2:00 a.m. solving an irrigation problem or writing out checks for expensive equipment that is needed. But the Green Section has been invaluable in our decision making over these many years.

It is almost incomprehensible to me that every golf course superintendent doesn't subscribe to this superior, singular service. The USGA works at making it affordable and accessible to all of us, and the continuous training and sharing of information among the staff agronomists keeps them on top of the problems and issues that confront us daily. Sometimes, like the winter day I spent going back in time over past Green Section visit reports, I remind myself "don't take the Green Section for granted." It is a service that will be available only as long as enough of us are smart enough to take advantage of it.

Obviously, the message here is this: if you are a veteran subscriber, keep a good thing going. If you are a rookie or in the early time of your career as a golf course superintendent, help yourself by enlisting an agronomist visit for 1994.

Let this be your "Year of the Green Section." You'll be grateful, just as so many of us have already been. The Green Section reflects the spirit and essence of golf itself, and that is always good.



### **USED IRRIGATION EQUIPMENT FOR SALE**

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION
27	VT II Satellites
1	150 psi Pneumatic Tank
1	Varitime II Central Controller
1	Dayton/Teel .5 Horse Pump
1	Rain Wise Rain Gauge
82	Quick Coupling Valves
220	Toro 670 hdr n/closed Irrigation Heads
36	Toro 698 hdr n/closed Irrigation Heads
18	Toro 630 hdr n/closed Irrigation Heads
1	Allis-Chalmers 75 hp 1740 rpm Vertical Electric Motor 240/480 volt 3 phase 85 amp
1	G.E. 75 hp 1740 rpm Vertical Electric Motor 240/480 volt 3 phase 85 amp
2	U.S. Electrical 40 hp 3600 rpm Vertical Electric Motor 240/480 volt 3 phase 60 amp
1	Layne NW 750 gpm 1740 rpm Turbine Pump 15' setting
1	400 gpm Vertical Turbine Pump 15' setting

If you should have any questions, or be interested in purchasing any or all equipment, please contact:

Wayne Otto c/o Ozaukee Country Club P.O. 458 Mequon, Wisconsin 53092

(414) 242-0142

