



The Push for Effluent Irrigation

By Dr. John Stier, Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin-Madison


Fights and arguments over water rights and water quality are as ancient as civilization. In Wisconsin we think of water quality and quantity issues as being remote: confined to the mid-East, or perhaps in our own Western states. In reality the truth is much closer to home. In today's newspaper two articles were devoted to the need to preserve water quantity and quality right here in Wisconsin. Over 70% of Wisconsin's citizens depend on groundwater for drinking, bathing, washing, and other uses (Seely, 2001). Yet private wells are going dry, and aquifers beneath municipalities are being drawn down at an alarming rate. Part of the trouble is we are using water faster than the aquifers can be replenished. The other aspect is that of contamination: as water quantities diminish, pollution rates increase. Pollutants can come from natural causes like arsenic from the soil or from human by-products such as agrichemicals. While research has yet to document golf courses as being genuine polluter of water supplies, the public generally does not know of the results or does not believe the data.

Nearly 80% of water use in our country goes towards irrigation (Figure 1; Ratcliff, 1999). In 1995, 134,000 million gallons of water were used daily for irrigation. Fifty million acres of agricultural land and over 20 million acres of residential and commercial landscapes were irrigated. On a hot summer day, an 18-hole golf course may use up to 300,000 gallons of water. Golf course irrigation, though, accounts for less than 2% of water use in the U.S. One of the advantages of golf courses being run by increasingly educated and profes-

sional superintendents is the intelligent water use practices which have been developed and which are increasingly refined. Indeed, golf course irrigation is typically highly efficient. Nighttime or early morning irrigation result in minimal evaporative losses. Irrigation is monitored to avoid runoff. Since golf courses are nearly 100% pervious surface, the water that is not used by plants for growth will eventually percolate back to an aquifer, cleansed and filtered by the foliage and root systems of the turf and other plants. In addition, many golf courses have their own water supplies (e.g., ponds). Yet golf courses are highly visible to the public and are constantly under scrutiny. The homeowner who has had his water turned off by the city due to a drought, or the environmentalist who questions the very right of a golf course to

exist, view golf course irrigation as an obscene waste of a valuable resource.

Theoretically our water can come from four sources: precipitation, surface water, ground water, and effluent water. For nearly 20 years golf courses in the Western U.S. have increasingly turned to effluent water as a way to skirt the rapidly shrinking availability of fresh water. The economics can be favorable as well: effluent water costs can be 80% or less of potable water costs (Huck et al., 2000). Potable water is, of course, fresh, i.e., drinking water. Effluent water has been through at least one cycle of domestic use (McCarty, 2001). It is sometimes referred to as gray, reclaimed, recycled, or even wastewater. According to the National Golf Foundation, 13% of U.S. golf courses used effluent water for irrigation in 1999 (NGF,



1999). The majority of these golf courses were located in the southwest, but an increasing number of golf courses in the southeast are turning to effluent water. Lately, a sprinkling of golf courses along the east coast have begun using effluent water. Mounting public pressure has forced the use of effluent in some locations. For example, California passed legislation in 1992 requiring effluent water to be used, where available, for irrigation.

Effluent water is usually subjected to three levels of treatment before being discharged for reuse: primary, secondary, and tertiary (McCarty, 2001). In primary treatment, screening and sedimentation are used to remove organic and inorganic solid materials. This includes sand, stones, and other

material which may be washed and placed in a landfill. Approximately 60-70% of suspended solids and 25-40% of biological oxygen demand (BOD) are removed by the primary treatment. (BOD is biodegradable organic material such as proteins, carbohydrates and fats. Untreated, their decomposition uses oxygen dissolved in water which is necessary for most aquatic life and creates septic conditions). Primary effluent may be chlorinated to kill bacteria and decrease odor problems. It is NOT intended for irrigation as it can contain harmful human pathogens and other undesirable compounds.

Secondary treatment consists of trickling primary effluent water through vats of bacteria designed to remove up to 90% of the organic matter. Afterwards, the water is

chlorinated to kill pathogens. The primary pathogen which engineers are concerned with are coliform bacteria. After treatment, secondary effluent water must have less than 23 coliform bacteria per 100 ml water. Secondary effluent water is a principle source of water for agricultural irrigation in some areas. Although it can be used for turf in some instances, it generally is not recommended due to the high level of nutrients and other compounds it can contain.

Tertiary treatment produces water that is most suitable for turf irrigation if potable water is not available. Secondary effluent is filtered over beds of charcoal to remove non-biodegradable organic material and most nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus. Properly treated tertiary effluent



Proudly Serving the Turf Industry in Wisconsin

Terra's full line of turf industry products includes:

- Herbicides
- Insecticides
- Fertilizer
- Grass Seed
- PGRs
- Fungicides
(Including Thaloniil™ 90DF and 4L)
- Colorants
(Including Terramark™ SPI and Terramark Lake Colorant)

**For the products, services and advice you need,
Talk to Terra**



Terra International, Inc. • 3525 Terra Court • Sun Prairie, WI 53590
Conrad Stynchula CGCS • (800) 456-0948 (office) • (608) 235-4999 (mobile)

should be relatively odorless and must have less than 2.2 coliform bacteria per 100 ml water.

While wastewater engineers are concerned primarily (or only) with pathogen levels in effluent, superintendents must also consider the many management issues associated with use of effluent for golf course irrigation. From an agronomic stance, effluent can have effects on both turf and soil qualities. There are often additional financial considerations that must be met—effluent water is not necessarily “free”. Many regulatory issues must be met before effluent can be brought onto a golf course and used for irrigation.

The four main characteristics of effluent water which dictate its utility for any situation are 1) Biological components, 2) Organic components, 3) Dissolved salts and nutrients (including heavy metals), and 4) Dissolved and suspended solids. Wastewater treatment facilities generally reduce the concentration of biological and organic components to a minimum. The potential for problems and remedies associated with dissolved salts, nutrients, and solids will be discussed in the next issue of *The Grass Roots*.

Author's note: This is the first installment of a three part series on effluent irrigation. The idea for the article came from questions I've had from superintendents inquiring about effluent water, from several presentations I've seen at turf conferences around the country in recent months, and from a presentation I gave at the 2001 Northern Great Lakes Golf Course Superintendents Association annual conference. The next two issues will focus on agronomic concerns and economic/regulatory issues.

Literature Cited

Huck, M., R.N. Carrow, and R.R.

Duncan. 2000. Effluent water: nightmare or dream come true? USGA Green Section Record, March/April.

McCarty, L.B. 2001. Best golf course management practices. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

NGF. 1999. Operating and financial

performance data of 18-hole golf facilities. NGF, Jupiter, FL.

Ratcliff, C. 1999. Water supply and demand. Grounds Maint. 34(6):10.

Seely, R. 2001. Groundwater damage is largely unseen, long lasting. Wisc. State J., 3 June, p. A5. ♣

EVEN WHEN IT'S EMPTY, IT'S LOADED.



Carryall Turf II

Our new Turf II is loaded with a great package of standard features. All of which makes a great vehicle an even greater value. Turf II is part of Carryall's complete line of versatile, dependable vehicles.

Club Car®

CARRYALL



N57 W13566 Carmen Avenue • Menomonee Falls, WI 53051

1-800-255-4380

YOUR LOCAL CLUB CAR CONTACT

Club Car, Inc.
Wisconsin Branch

4485 South Racine Avenue
New Berlin, Wisconsin 53146
Phone: 262-896-9570
Fax: 262-896-9578