



## QUESTIONS FROM THE FLOOR

By Dr. Wayne R. Kussow  
Department of Soil Science  
University of Wisconsin-Madison

**Q:** Given the great advances of biotechnology, especially by some of your colleagues in the CALS, UW-Madison, do you think we'll ever see N-fixing bacteria adapted to the turfgrass varieties we use on our golf courses? (SHEBOYGAN COUNTY)

**A:** My opinion is that this will not happen. You may have noticed that during the past year or two very little has been reported in the press regarding incorporation of N-fixing capability in the grasses. The basic problem is that biological N fixation can only occur in the total absence of oxygen. Nodules on legumes provide such an environment. No one has been successful in isolating an N-fixing microorganism that will infect and form nodules on grass roots.

**Q:** I learned a lot from your recent article in THE GRASS ROOTS about "Best Management Practices for Turfgrass." Can you explain to me the difference between BMP and IPM (integrated pest management)? Or are they essentially the same thing? (MONROE COUNTY)

**A:** Integrated pest management is a part of what is now known as "best management practices" (BMP's). The other part of BMP's is that which focuses on nutrition and cultural practices other than pests. Thus, BMP encompasses IPM.

**Q:** A sales representative who regularly calls on me is pushing hard to sell me a product high in manganese. He says it's an excellent material for adding green color to turfgrass (he calls it a "stain"). Is this legitimate? Is it phytotoxic? Could toxic levels build up in the root zone? How safe would you guess such a material to be? I have 25 years of experience in Wisconsin's golf turf industry; never before has anyone tried to sell me manganese. Any advice? (PORTAGE COUNTY)

**A:** To date, the only confirmed Mn deficiency on field-grown turfgrass that I'm aware of occurred in bermudagrass in Florida, growing on what was once a very acid sand but

whose pH over five years had risen from 5.2 to over 7.0 because of the high calcium content of the irrigation water used. Florida researchers studied the problem and concluded that applications of manganese sulfate or chelate could correct the problem temporarily. Long-term correction of the deficiency was achieved only by applying ammonium sulfate to reduce soil pH.

These same researchers also cautioned against applying Mn to large areas. Rather, they recommended dissolving 0.4 oz.  $MnSO_4$  in a gallon of water and spraying an area to the drip point (i.e., until drops form on the turfgrass and begin to run off the leaves). Response to the Mn will show up in a week or two if **the turf is Mn deficient**. Unless the turf was chlorotic to begin with, the only response you can expect is a faster growth rate.

I seriously doubt whether there is any Mn-deficient turf in Wisconsin. If one were to look for some, you'd want to seek out areas where the turf was established on highly acid (pH 5.0 or less) sandy soil and the pH subsequently adjusted to 7.0 or higher through liming or use of "hard" irrigation water. You would then look for turf in which the youngest leaves are chlorotic and the older leaves have yellow-green spots.

I'd venture to guess that the Mn rates being recommended for turfgrass will not cause phytotoxicity unless used for several seasons on turf with naturally high Mn levels. In general, this will be the case only where the turf is being grown on poorly-drained mineral soil.

**Q:** How likely is nitrogen used in golf course fertilization programs to enter groundwater supplies? (OZAUKEE COUNTY)

**A:** At current rates and frequencies of N applications on properly-managed golf courses, chances of groundwater contamination with harmful levels of nitrate are very remote. This is the conclusion recently drawn by several researchers who

have studied the problem and reviewed all evidence currently available.

If leaching of nitrate were to be a problem, it would occur on sandy soils or sand-based putting greens treated with excessive rates of soluble-N fertilizer and over-watered. Researchers at the University of Massachusetts recently reported on their research on 80:20 sand:peat greens. When the greens were treated with 0.2 lb N on 7-day cycles or 0.4 lb on 14-day cycles and irrigated with 0.5 inch water three times per week, 46% of the water leached BUT total N leaching losses were less than 0.5% of the N applied. Under these conditions, fertilizer N leaching losses did not differ with the N source applied. When 1.0 lb N was applied all at once, fertilizer N leaching losses averaged 1.2% and leachate nitrate concentrations exceeded the drinking water standard of 10 ppm for the first four days following application of calcium nitrate and ammonium nitrate but not when urea, ammonium sulfate, UF, or IBDU were applied.

Anyone in the turf industry confronted by public concern over groundwater contamination with nitrate from fertilizer needs to point out several key things: (1) Unlike with field crops, the rates of N used are considerably less than those needed for maximum growth. Hence, turfgrass recoveries of fertilizer N are relatively high; (2) When soluble N enters the root zone of turf, the N disappears very quickly from soil solution. Research has shown that 60 to 80% of the soluble N is taken up by the grass and microorganisms within 48 hours after entry into the soil solution; (3) Unlike with most field crops, the N applied to turf is split up into several applications each season. Consequently, soil solution levels of nitrate remain relatively low and any water leaching beyond the root zone has only very low nitrate concentrations; and (4) Turf, because it is a "high-value crop", is often fertilized with

SRN. Slow-release N sources do not load up soil solution with nitrate such that extensive leaching can occur. For these reasons, turf is one of the most environmentally-sound crops that can be grown.

**Q:** Sometimes you feel like you cannot win. We have high pH conditions and have been using elemental sulfur to gradually lower (or at least stabilize) that 7.5+ number. Now, I read where a professor of agronomy at Ohio State is raising the flag on programs like mine. He says sands with high CaCO<sub>3</sub> content (and a subsequent high pH from 7.5 to 8.5) can create problems when sulfur is added to them. The sulfur dissolves the CaCO<sub>3</sub>, allowing it to migrate down in the profile. The result is a caliche layer with a high pH. He says it's almost like cement. Should I quit using sulfur? Which is worse — a caliche layer or high root zone pH? (IOWA COUNTY)

**A:** Is your high pH the result of using a calcareous sand to begin with or the result of several years of irrigation with water high in calcium and magnesium? If the latter then you

need not worry. You'll be long gone before the quantities of CaCO<sub>3</sub> required to form a caliche (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) layer develops from irrigating with hard water. On the other hand, if the sand used during construction or for topdressing contains several percent of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, the main prerequisite for caliche formation is in place. Whether or not or when formation of the layer will occur, I can't say. Literature does tell us though that caliche becomes hard and "almost like cement" only if allowed to dry. By definition, caliche is a soft, friable CaCO<sub>3</sub>-dominated layer in soils of low rainfall regions. Indications are that soil must start out with a substantial CaCO<sub>3</sub> content and virtually no leaching must occur for many years before a caliche layer begins to develop.

I've not seen any evidence of formation of caliche layers in the putting greens I've examined. I have, however, found accumulations of iron oxides cementing sand grains together and starting to form physical barriers to turfgrass root development.

**Q:** I'm a dedicated Milorganite user and, quite frankly, have no intention of changing. But it seems more and more companies are "getting into" the organics. A colleague is quite happy with a product called "Sustane". Yet another used an organic (from poultry manure) and the material was disastrous — bad odor and even worse physical properties. Rumor has it that one of our major national plant food companies is developing a line of organics, too.

What do you think about all this? Is it just another bandwagon everybody is climbing aboard or is there merit to these kinds of products? Won't Milorganite always stand alone? (GREEN LAKE COUNTY)

**A:** Several forces are at work here. You have the public sector push toward use of "natural fertilizers" becoming the answer for some major disposal problems confronting the poultry industry and a few others with organic wastes. In the midst of this, considerable progress has been made the past few years in developing large-scale composting technology. Thus, all the ingredients

## We Make Your Problems Crystal Clear

Each year, more golf course superintendents realize a simple and energy efficient method of treating problem water quality in their streams and ponds. Otterbine Floating Aerators help prevent algae, aquatic weeds and noxious odors by speeding up the breakdown of wastes.

Through Otterbines' Floating Aerators, up to 3.6 pounds of dissolved oxygen is circulated into 37,500 gallons of water per horsepower hour. With dissolved oxygen, bacteria will break down organic wastes naturally, leaving you clearer and cleaner water.

Otterbine Floating Aerators are self-contained units which range in pumping capacity from 16 to 3,100 gallons per minute. Unit sizes are available in 1/6 to 10 horsepower. Minimum pond size can be as small as 8 feet in diameter and 13 inches deep. These aerators can also beautifully illuminate fountains with low voltage light kits and timing systems.

**For more information on Otterbine Floating Aerators contact:**



### Reinders Irrigation Supply

800 782-3300 Milw. (414) 786-3301  
13400 Watertown Plank Road, P.O. Box 825  
Elm Grove, Wisconsin 53122-0825

are present for a rapid influx of organic fertilizers.

Organic fertilizers, by their very nature, are low-analysis materials. Hence, unit nutrient costs are high — generally too high for extensive use by commercial agriculture. So where is the prime market? The turf industry. Where else could one sell nitrogen for as much as \$2.00 or more per pound?

Each of the organics has its own unique characteristics. Sustane, for example, releases N to turfgrass faster than does Milorganite, but consequently has a shorter response time. All have their distinctive odors, some more tolerable than others. Some have excellent physical properties, while others do not. On the other hand, all the organics do have two things in common. Release of N from them requires microbial breakdown. For this reason, they are not good cold weather N

sources. Second, one-half or more of the organic N present is very slow to be released. Consequently, turfgrass recovery of N from the organics generally lags behind materials such as urea, SCU, UF, IBDU, etc.

Call it a bandwagon if you will, but the organics are in the marketplace for very understandable reasons. Each has its own characteristics and none are miracle products. My guess is that fairly soon the market will approach saturation and some of these products will disappear. Those that remain are the ones with which turf managers have become familiar, know what to expect from a particular product, and have successfully integrated the product into their fertilization program.

*Q: Probably the most radical piece of machinery introduced at the GCSAA show in Orlando last winter was Toro's Hydrojet aerifier. I was quite impressed by the machine, yet,*

*something troubles me. We aerify turfed areas not only to relieve compaction, but to bring up soil that will mix with the thatch and provide biological control of that thatch. It seems to me that the Hydrojet is somewhat limited in its use because no soil is brought to the surface. What do you think, Dr. Kussow? (COLUMBIA COUNTY)*

*A: Reading a bit into your comments, I'll assume that your concern is about turfed areas that are aerified but not topdressed. Otherwise, I don't foresee a limitation.*

The Hydrojet does lack the feature of bringing soil to the surface. Doing away with core removal was one of the guiding forces behind its development. Thus, in situations where mixing of soil with thatch is one of the desired outcomes of aeration, the Hydrojet is not the machine of choice.



### Lake Shore Sand

A Division of Construction Aggregates Corporation of Michigan

TDS 2150

#### TOPDRESSING SAND

USA Sieve	MM	%Retained
20	.84	.0
30	.60	.7
40	.42	6.9
50	.30	27.3
60	.25	23.2
70	.21	24.5
100	.15	17.0
140	.10	.4
200	.07	.0
270	.05	.0
PAN		

#### Lake Shore Sand

P.O. Box 1213

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

(414) 271-0625

Wisconsin's  
Largest  
Topdressing  
Sand  
Supplier



## The sign of a proven winner

At Northrup King, we're as uncompromising about turfgrass as you are. That's why every Medalist® Brand Turf Product goes through years of pre-testing in the lab and in the field.

Our grasses establish fast, mow well and stand up to weather and disease. And each one is specially formulated for your climate and geographic area.

If you want to seed with a proven winner, talk to your Northrup King distributor today. For more information call (612) 593-SEED. Or write Northrup King Medalist Turf Products, P.O. Box 959, Minneapolis, MN 55440.

**NK**  
NORTHROP KING