

Smooth, soft greens are a pleasure to play at the rolling Biltmore Forest course. Not a green has gone bad with brown patch since spraying with Du Pont "Tersan" turf fungicide started in 1943. Photo shows the 13th green.

Handy 3-lb. package of "Tersan" 75 eliminates measuring from bulk. It's easier to handle, cleaner, more accurate, and it stays in suspension for easier spraying. The green color blends with the turf. Get "Tersan" 75 from your golf supply house.

For exceptional control of dollar spot, use Du Pont F-531 fungicide. It controls copper spot and pink patch as well. Du Pont Special-"Semesan" is also available for those who prefer a mercurial fungicide.

"Tersan" and "Semesan" are registered trademarks

of E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. (Inc.)



It takes less than 8 hours to spray the 18 greens and putting green at Biltmore. "Tersan" is a labor saver, as well as a fine disease preventive.







BETTER THINGS FOR BETTER LIVING ... THROUGH CHEMISTRY

May, 1952



Downey Studio photos

Richard Wassel (L) and Coleman Clark (R) with imitation grass, a shirt form, a few big pins, some thin wire for holding clubs together as a display fixture, begin to show Indiana PGA meeting how to arrange an attractive display of pro shop merchandise.

How to Serve Players Better; Theme of Pro Meets

By HERB GRAFFIS

PGA sectional meetings this spring continued to show keen attention to problems pros have in trying to improve their earnings by giving more helpful service to the players at their clubs.

The informal sessions with the manufacturers' salesmen now are strictly at the point of discussing "how can we sell more stuff?" After a couple of particularly good years sales are getting to what people are inclined to call "normal." But "normal" is never a contenting condition for the good businessman. He wants to sell an abnormally good volume.

Meetings this spring generally brought forth pro opinion that golf interest never was greater and, weather permitting, play was heavier than usual. But, outside of men's and women's apparel, sales aren't keeping on a par with volume of play.

Some smart pro businessmen say that the next thing to give club sales a big boost will be radical changes in wood club design. The changes in iron head design pushed up iron sales for the past few years, although possibly not as disproportionately as some pros are inclined to believe. The woods, being sold in sets of four, in a large percentage of the sales, gross up well alongside iron sales.

Massachusetts Has Berg

The Massachusetts PGA showed canny judgment in having Patty Berg as the clinic star of a long program. The women's club business is beginning to climb up, following the lesson business. When the pros get women's purchases at the shops about to the per capita dollar figure of men's business, it'll be a happy day.

Patty, the first of the girls to be featured at a Bay State PGA clinic, scored very well with the pros in showing what she'd discovered going around to innumer-



The display begins to take form. Care is given to colors but the display experts are not especially worried about the colors clashing as long as the color clash isn't a bilious conflict that indicates complete color-blindness.

And here's the finished display that the men's store display authorities say a good pro merchant can learn, without too much trouble, to put in his shop. It shows shirts, a sweater, slacks, shoes, belts, gloves, headwear and clubs.



May, 1952

able sessions with women at golf clubs and at schools. It was interesting, too, to them to see in the Berg demonstration evidence of the development of women's pro golf and its international appeal.

Indiana Taught Display

Horton Smith, telling what the score is with the national PGA and in an illuminating instruction demonstration, headlined the Indiana PGA spring meeting. Ewing Stumm of the National Cash Register Co. talked on pro business management methods and Edward J. Riley filled the boys in on PGA insurance.

The unique and highly profitable feature of the Indiana meeting was a demonstration of how to make display in pro shops. Coleman Clark, display expert of Wm. H. Bloch Co., a leading Indianapolis store, and his team-mate Richard Wassell, took simple display fixtures that pros can buy from fixture stores, and made arrangements of apparel, clubs, shoes, gloves and other accessories that would stand out and sell in a pro shop.

Clark suggested that pros change their shop displays once a week as people get numb looking at the same stuff in the same places. They showed how to pin up shirts on forms and pointed out that there are two types of forms — one on which to display shirts and jackets and the other for display of jerseys or other form-fitting apparel.

The grass mat foundation, available from display material houses, doesn't present any problem in color combinations, Clark said. The accent on color now has brought display away from worried consideration of delicate harmony of colors. The purchaser is thinking "what'll look good on me?" rather than about blending his color scheme so the ensemble would make an interior decorator yell "yoo hoo." Clark advised featuring the cooler colors in hot weather.

There's no need of going nutty about having every display item in perfect balance, either, Clark remarked. Just get it so it doesn't look awkward.

Clark stressed lighting and said that one of the essentials of good display was getting it spotlighted properly.

To see what Clark and Wassell did with simple display fixtures, a few long, thin tacks and some thin wire, gave pros a new idea of how to put more sales power in shop displays.

The demonstration of the Bloch experts was a return engagement, their previous showing at an Indiana PGA spring meeting having been so definitely educational Hoosier pros' sales have been increased by applying the previous lesson.

It's evident from the Indiana pros' experience that any PGA spring business meeting that doesn't have display experts who are golfers show pros how to display merchandise is missing a big bet.

Horton Smith, Bob Harlow and Herb Graffis talked at the merry informal dinner which concluded the day's work.

Illinois Features Teaching

Illinois PGA at its spring meeting paid considerable attention to how to improve the golfers' scores. The instruction clinic had John Revolta, George Smith, Ed Vines, Jackson Bradley, Joe Jemsek, Bill Gordon, Jackson Bradley and Dale Andreason working. They discussed the games and the swing problems of pupils rather than their own playing techniques.

Minnesota Strictly Business

Minnesota PGA contended with the problems of teaching rather than of playing. Les Bolstad, Stan Larson, Jock Hendry and Wallie Ulrich collaborated in the teaching clinic.

Harold Clasen was moderator of the morning session which was concerned with pro operating problems. Len Mattson told of procurement and training of caddies. Willie Kidd gave the fellows a very profitable close-up on his successful experience in training assistants and getting the lads started right by explaining their duties to them.

Gordon Haberkorn gave the pros highlights on course conditioning work. Clasen gave details of arranging and conducting club events to get extensive participation.

The new rules came in for discussion with Jock Hendry clarifying changes in the code.

George McCarthy showed U. S. Rubber golf ball manufacturing pictures at lunch.

Tot Heffelfinger, USGA pres.; Minnesota's Governor E. Elmer Anderson; B. H. Ridder, PGA Advisory committee member; Wes Fesler, Minn. football coach, and Stu McPherson were banquet speakers.

Detroit District Honors Horton Smith, May 16

Detroit District Golf Assn. will honor Horton Smith as PGA president with a golf and dinner party at the Detroit GC, May 16.

In addition to large attendance of golfers in the Detroit area there will be many attending from out of town as a tribute to Horton, who has distinguished himself as a home club pro, tournament pro, PGA official and is a high credit to golf as typical of its highest class of gentleman sportsman.

BUYERS' SERVICE — SEE PAGE 93

For extra sales

Tufhorse GOLF BAGS

Keep golf bag sales in your own shop by stocking and featuring Tufhorse - the complete line, with a shape and style to please each player's taste and budget.

The popular selling Model LP-627, pictured at the left is just one of the many handsome golf bags in the Tufhorse line. Note the beautiful combination of colorful plaid Saran with matching Nylon and embossed Steerhide trim. Note the extra large pockets and many other playing and traveling conveniences. This bag is available in bright Red, Blue and Green to bring favorable attention to the golf bag section in your shop.

distributed exclusively by Macan Greatest THE GREATEST 4861 Spring Grove Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio

manufactured by DES MOINES GLOVE & MFG. CO. DES MOINES, IOWA

Course Maintenance Cost Study Is Neglected

By CHARLES W. PARKER

A nation-wide study of course maintenance costs is again being proposed and before the shouting for and against this controversial subject, either kills the proposal or generates an inadequately planned survey we must consider a few elementary questions.

Who definitely wants such a study? Just how comprehensive must it be to be of permanent value? If, and when, such a study is completed and conclusions are drawn and the presentation made in a form that will permit of practical application who will be interested enough to make the application? What worthwhile end results will there be?

Over the years GOLFDOM has published numerous articles on this subject. Some have been in favor. Some have been opposed. Unquestionably GOLFDOM has a file of "pro" and "con" on maintenance cost accounting that will bring to light some very interesting source material.

To infer that no appreciation of the problems of maintenance costs has existed other than by GOLFDOM or that no other attempts have been made to generate interest among golf course superintendents is definitely wrong. In addition to GOLF-DOM'S efforts the subject has been presented at schools for greenkeepers, conferences and conventions. Here the approach has been that of "selling" the golf course superintendents on course costs accounting through the medium of the experience of others. Attempts have been made to demonstrate that the individual experiences of some superintendents shows that cost records and the analysis of the information contained has been of inestimable value and that all golf course superintendents are delinquent in not having a chapter on maintenance costs in their book of knowledge.

Course maintenance cost accounting as a minor subject in the concentrated program of a short course for greenkeepers or a paper read at a conference or a convention serves only as an introduction and cannot arouse much more than passing interest.

It is not hard to understand why the seeds sown have largely fallen on barren ground. If some superintendents have become convinced and inspired sufficiently to assume the added burden of cost-keeping the end results have too often been disillusioning. With the initial aim set too high they have been expecting to exert immediate influence on policy rather than being content to develop a background of several years of information and to demonstrate to themselves that cost records are helpful and valuable.

When figures go to the top level the first question asked is where do the figures come from and what can the figures be compared with? A set of immature figures or a report that has no yardstick for comparison will have little value at the policy level.

What Value Are Figures?

Up to now about all that comes out of a collection of maintenance cost figures from the sources available to clubs are "so what" figures. More or less has been spent as compared internally with some previous period. The cost per hole was so much or a "mean" had been worked out to be so much. The ratio of maintenance cost to membership dues may be used for external comparison but, again, "so what." What actually has been going on in the attempts of the past has resulted only in the exhibition of various pictures all of them out of focus.

Just where are we at this point? Had the work of the past generated sufficient interest the efforts would have been continuing and it would not be necessary to admit that planned and effective follow-up has been conspicuous by its absence. Nevertheless each new effort has created some interest, negative tho most of it has been, so let us go to the record and examine what this interest has been.

Golf course superintendents have been accused of being adverse to comprehensive study of maintenance costs when the need for such study has been proposed chiefly to make external comparisons possible. "How can we compare an apple and a pineapple?" superintendents ask.

Many golf course superintendents keep very close tabs on their maintenance costs. They are not interested in broadcasting their figures for they know that unless comparisons can be made with allowances for the uncontrollables and "wild factors", one course to the other, the end results are inconclusive. Such comparisons may be somewhat valuable but a true picture cannot be produced by comparing the dollars-and-cents figures When a man's taste runs to especially fine interests, he finds an immediate appeal in ULTRA HAIG woods, irons, and golf balls. Like a fine car or a choice briar pipe, they instill within you a real pride of ownership. They're available only through golf professionals, the grandest gentlemen I know.

WALTER HAGEN

Division of Wilson Sporting Goods Co. Grand Rapids 2, Mich.



Walter Hagen golf Equipment

alone. These figures must be tempered by a knowledge of the variables. It has been the disregard of these variables and the acceptance of cold figures only that has developed a definite antagonism to external comparisons.

Some experienced superintendents doubt that detailed maintenance costs have much practical value. They are of the belief that among chairmen and other officials there is no real interest in such costs; that knowing such costs will produce nothing but trouble and that the only official interest in costs is that any cost is too great.

A quick look at the actual practices of three top superintendents seemingly confirms this opinion that detailed costs aren't essential. Each man is covering his job to the complete satisfaction of his club. How these men treat costs varies from doing absolutely nothing about detailed records, to keeping just enough records to keep within the budget, to the third man who keeps detailed cost records, intelligently arrived at and from which he submits an annual report that strongly influences the policy of the green committee.

Interest Is Intermittent

Committees and officials who should be interested in maintenance costs and ought to want improvement in the presentation of such figures are inclined to show their interest by "talk" rather than "do." What interest they have is sporadic. It appears generally only when club finances are causing concern and lasts only for the length of the crisis. The tenure of office of a club official is limited and upon return to the status of member he ceases to contribute further constructive services to his club.

Too often the club official, while expressing the need for better figures from which to determine and carry out policies, feels that some one else is delinquent in not furnishing such figures. Just who, or why this is so is rarely determined. If any action is taken it is to have the general accounting methods overhauled. Unfortunately all that comes out of this action is to have the same old figures presented in a little different way.

Herb Graffis' article "Golf Clubs in a Fog on Operating Costs" in February GOLFDOM makes clear what some associations have been attempting to do. Two strong district associations have been trying for a number of years to compile maintenance cost figures that mean something and from which external comparisons may be made. What have the results been so far? The associations are handicapped because of lack of co-operation from the member clubs. So we have the strange picture of a number of individual clubs formed into an association to promote and improve golf, handcuffing the association through the inability or unwillingness on the part of the member clubs to furnish vital information.

The USGA Green Section, born as a turf research medium, may have the responsibility of leading research into course operating costs.

Regardless of the figures broadcast about the increase in golf play there has been no great increase in golf course construction and the possibility of some clubs being priced out of business is real. It may be all well and good to quote figures showing the big increase in play on publicly operated golf courses and to report that these facilities are being expanded rapidly. An investigation will show that golfers will tolerate crowded and chaotic playing conditions to a certain point, beyond that point they will become casual golfers or will stop playing altogether. This situation is putting emphasis on study of golf maintenance costs.

A majority of the golf course superintendents together with club officials and the policy makers of the golf associations must be convinced that a workable method of comparing golf course maintenance costs can be developed and that the results will be real and continuing value. The superintendents must demonstrate that they are whole-heartedly behind the study of a difficult problem. From the official side it must be made clear that the prime interest is to bring out into the open what the factors are that are making the costs burdensome and why this is so and what the remedies may be. From that point it will then be a policy problem of an individual club to make application of the information that will be available.

The end results of this study will not be a source of satisfaction to everyone. Neither the superintendents nor club officials are going to be happy when some of the "whys" come to light. Some superintendents will have to make rapid changes in their thinking and some club officials will find that their policies have been those of expediency; penny-wise and pound-foolish.

Working Base for the Study

For this proposed study a sound working base must be established. This can only come from the completely co-operative effort of the golf course superintendents, golf officials and golf associations. All levels of interest must be included and the effort must be continuing until the last "i" is dotted and the last "t" crossed.

Much of this work will have to come from the superintendents for it is at this level that most of the source material has

for positive control of Turf Diseases MALLINCKRODT'S BIG THREE

CADAIINATE®

CALO-CLOR®

CALOCURE®

CALOCURE[®] the new fungicide with increased killing power against brown patch and snow mold. It helps bent grass maintain healthy green color even during hot weather. May be applied in any season.

CADMINATE[®] the most economical fungicide for control of dollar spot, pink patch and copper spot and is *unsurpassed in effectiveness*. Packaged in half-ounce envelopes for convenience; also in 5 and 25-lb. containers with measuring cups.

CALO-CLOR® widely used against brown patch and snow mold for more than a quarter-century. Dependable for prevention and control. It immediately halts the growth of fungi and allows turf to regain its appearance.

Mallinckrodt

4

5

x,

•

Get set to keep your greens greener this summer! Write for complete information.

MALLINCKRODT CHEMICAL WORKS

Mallinckrodt St., St. Louis 7, Mo. • 72 Gold St., New York 8, N. Y. CHICAGO • CINCINNATI • CLEVELAND • LOS ANGELES • MONTREAL • PHILADELPHIA • SAN FRANCISCO Manufacturers of Medicinal, Photographic, Analytical and Industrial Fine Chemicals to come and when the final results are arrived at it will be from the superintendent level that the continuing implementation will be made.

However, the club official and golf association level must not be discounted for from here will come the information on what is needed to be known, at this level, and in what form so that golf maintenance costs may be tied in with other operating costs to give a true over-all picture. The "why" of golf maintenance costs has been presented inadequately so that when the problems of club finances are being studied and policies determined the golf course is usually the goat.

The building up of a fund to guarantee the successful completion of this study may appear to be the major difficulty. It is not intended to infer that this is not a real problem but when the solicitation campaign is backed by solid proof that this study is wanted and needed and that a sound program for procedure has been drawn up, resistance to co-operating through grants-in-aid will be definitely reduced.

Because it is at the superintendent level that much of the eventual benefit will be realized, here too, must come not only organizational support but also money backing. The Golf Course Superintendents Assn. must lead the way in both and must expect to put up a worthwhile amount of money. The many sectional associations of golf course superintendents will have to fall in line behind the major association.

The Green Section can well afford to make a grant-in-aid. The business side of golf is very much the concern of the Green Section and while the diversion of some money from turf research to cost research may not be immediately appealing, the long view will show that from this study must come more members for the USGA and consequently an increased budget for the Green Section.

The various district golf associations ought to see that to co-operate through grants-in-aid will be the best method for them to support the study and that they can help further by securing donations from their member clubs. The financial burden can be lightened by grants-in-aid from the manufacturers and dealers in the maintenance equipment and materials field and also by the manufacturers and distributors of playing equipment.

GOLFDOM has offered the impetus for a study of course maintenance costs and it is now up to all the levels of interest to pick up the offer and develop the project. Where this start will come from remains to be seen but certainly the Golf Course Superintendents Assn. will be serving its members and clubs in seriously examining the opportunity now spread before it.

Intelligent Comparison of Course Costs Tough Job By JOHN L. COUNSELL Supt., Salem (Mass.) Country Club

No element in golf management has received as much studious attention as the comparison of golf course maintenance costs has received from the men responsible for course operation.

Almost every superintendent of a golf course sees so many more things he'd like to be able to do to his course, if the money were available, that he is constantly looking for possible improvements in his management of the budget dollar. The days have long passed when superintendents hesitated to compare costs. For years we have been trying to learn how to do the work better and at less cost, or fight the problem of rapidly mounting costs.

And this search has brought to members of the Greenkeepers' Club of New England realization that to make an intelligent comparison of course costs you have to know practically as much about the other course as you do about your own when you are striving to make a close comparison.

It is my conviction — and it's shared by many of my associates in course maintenance work — that it is possible for a very efficiently operated course to cost \$10,000 to \$15,000 a year more to maintain than another course in the same district where conditions are much different. Club officials, not knowing the different conditions which are primary subjects for comparison, may be inclined to compare the bare costs which are basically the secondary factor in the comparison.

Here are a few of the varying factors in determining course maintenance costs that come to my mind:

1. Area of greens, fairways, tees, traps and rough.

2. Standard of maintenance (and how are you going to compare that?)

3. Number of daily rounds of golf, and length of season.

4. Soil and climatic conditions.

5. Club accounting systems (No two are exactly alike.)

6. Fairway watering — increased mowing and fertilizing costs.

7. Variations of salaries and wages in different areas.

8. Equipment (Is it sufficient and modern?)

9. House and grounds (area and type of planting.)

10. Chemical treatments (for weeds, diseases and pests.)

Unless one can get data that can be uniformly and closely compared on these 10 factors an accurate over-all comparison of maintenance costs is impossible.

Golfdom