RESEARCH FOR REAL SUPERINTENDENTS

Hosted by Clark Throssell, Ph.D. | clarkthrossell@bresnan.net

Super Science



The experimental site to examine annual bluegrass reduction using flurprimidol, nitrogen regime and a soil surfactant

PURDUE STUDIES ANNUAL BLUEGRASS CONTROL

By William Tudor

Creeping bentgrass greens often are invaded by annual bluegrass. Management tools have potential to minimize annual bluegrass encroachment, but their interactions are not well understood. These include root-absorbed plant growth regulators such as flurprimidol (Cutless), fertility source (46-0-0 vs. 20-20-20), nitrogen application rate (0.15 vs. 0.30 lbs. N/1000 ft²) and soil surfactants.

This three-year field study evaluated the effects of twice monthly applications of the aforementioned products and treatment combinations. Treatments were applied during active growth to a native-soil research green with approximately 30 percent annual bluegrass. The most effective annual bluegrass reductions occurred wherever flurprimidol was applied. When it wasn't applied, a fertilizer source and rate effect were measured. Annual bluegrass decreased roughly 25 percent when 46-0-0 was applied at either rate. By contrast, applying 20-20-20 fertilizer increased annual bluegrass 84 percent at the high application rate.

This study demonstrates the potential for flurprimidol to reduce amounts of annual bluegrass and the potential influence of fertilizer source and rate on annual bluegrass invasion.

William Tudor, an M.S. candidate at Purdue University, can be contacted at wtudor@purdue.edu.

ON THE MOVE

JOSEPH YOUNG, PH.D., JOINS TEXAS TECH FACULTY

Joseph "Joey" Young has joined the faculty at Texas Tech University as an assistant professor of turfgrass science. Young earned his bachelor of science in Golf Course and Sports Turf Management in 2006 and his master of science in Turfgrass Pathology



in 2009, both from Mississippi State University. He received his doctorate from the University of Arkansas this past December.

Young's research background is unique, ranging from fungicide resistance evaluations to

turfgrass physiology under different mowing, rolling and foot traffic treatments. He plans to focus his research efforts on reducing water use and determining species, cultivars and management practices to maintain quality turfgrass in western Texas.

DEMAND FROM GOLFERS FOR A MORE COMPETITIVE PLAYING SURFACE, COUPLED WITH MERCURY-BASED PESTICIDE RESTRICTIONS, HAS MADE BENTGRASS PUTTING GREENS IDEAL HABITATS FOR MOSS."

J. Scott McElroy, Ph.D., and Steven Borst, Ph.D. (see full story on page 39)

//MOSS ON GREENS

Moss control in creeping bentgrass greens

By J. Scott McElroy, Ph.D., and Steven Borst, Ph.D.

oss infestations on creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stoloniferia L.) putting greens have become an increasing problem. Demand from golfers for a more competitive playing surface, coupled with the restriction of mercury-based pesticides, has made bentgrass putting greens ideal habitats for moss (Burnell et al., 2004; Hummel 1994). Bryum argenteum (Hedw.) (Silvery-thread moss) is a common moss species found on creeping bentgrass putting greens, however, other species such as Byrum lisae, Amblystegium trichopodium and Brachythecium spp. have also been identified on bentgrass putting greens (Happ 1998). Mosses are a member of the Phylum Bryophyta. They are able to reproduce sexually via spores or from displaced fragments (Nelson 2007; Boesch and Mitkowski 2005; Yelverton 2005). They lack vascular systems and can absorb water and minerals throughout the entire plant (Boesch and Mitkowski 2005). This lack of a vascular system prevents systemic herbicides from translocating throughout the plant, making them useless for control (Yelverton 2005).

Quicksilver (carfentrazone) is a member of the aryl triazolinone family of herbicides and is labeled for the control of *Bryum argenteum* (Hedw.) on creeping bentgrass putting greens (Senseman 2007). It was labeled for moss control on creeping bentgrass putting greens in July 2005, and research indicates that carfentrazone provides good to excellent control



Moss growing in the greenhouse as part of the control experiments

of silvery-thread moss over a broad temperature spectrum (Anonymous 2005; Boesch and Mitkowski 2005; Nelson 2007; Settle et al. 2006). Junction (mancozeb + copper hydroxide), a bactericide/fungicide, is also labeled for moss control on bentgrass putting greens (Anonymous 2006). Landschoot et al. (2004) investigated Junction and concluded that summer treatments did

Topdress, topdress, topdress. A light, frequent topdressing program will help reduce moss populations. not control Bryum argenteum, however, fall applications of 5.0 oz. /1,000 square feet applied biweekly with 5 applications provided 100 percent moss control. Cook et al. (2002) concluded that five applications of Junction applied at 0.1 to 0.15 lbs. copper/1,000 square feet biweekly controlled Bryum argenteum for up to two years. However, copper accumulation in the soil medium could potentially induce iron deficiency in turfgrass plants. (Boesch and Mitkowski 2005; Landschoot et al. 2004) Landschoot et al. (2004) observed creeping bentgrass injury with multiple applications of Junction and attributed it to copper-induced iron chlorosis. Bryum argenteum control has also been observed with other chemistries, such as ferrous and ferric sulfates and sodium carbonates. However, control is erratic and appears to be dependent on Continued on page 40

TABLE

Percent visual control of three moss species following treatment with Quicksilver and Junction

			Amblystegium serpens		Bryum argenteum		Entodon seductrix	
Treatment	Rate [†]	Timing*	14 DAIT§	28 DAIT	14 DAIT	28 DAIT	14 DAIT	28 DAIT
					ç	%		
Quicksilver	0.05	0	4	7	21	18	6	14
Quicksilver	0.09	0	11	1	22	19	4	8
Quicksilver	0.05	0 fb 14	13	9	19	33	3	14
Quicksilver	0.09	0 fb 14	13	14	24	39	2	15
Junction	0.8	0	3	1	4	8	2	2
Junction	1.6	0	4	1	2	8	4	1
Junction	0.8	0 fb 14	1	0	1	11	1	4
Junction	1.6	0 fb 14	4	1	2	4	1	7
LSD (P= 0.05)			12	8	8	25	5	11

† Rate of application is lbs. ai/acre.

‡ Timing of applications with 0 being the initial application and 14 being 14 days after the initial application

§ Days after initial treatment (DAIT)

Continued from page 39

environment (Boesch and Mitkowski 2005; Landschoot et al. 2004; Turgeon and Vargas 2006).

With this wide range of control observed with moss control products, more superintendents have been utilizing Quicksilver for moss control on creeping bentgrass putting greens. Therefore, research was conducted to evaluate Quicksilver efficacy in comparison to Junction on three different moss species that are commonly found on creeping bentgrass putting greens.

EXPERIMENTAL INFORMATION

A replicated greenhouse study was conducted to evaluate Quicksilver and Junction control of *Bryum argenteum*, *Amblystegium serpens* and *Entodon seductrix* in spring and summer. Moss species were collected from Gettysview CC in Knoxville, Tenn., and Gatlinburg CC in Pigeon Forge, Tenn. Moss samples were taxonomically identified and separated. Separate species were vegetatively propagated in 4-inch diameter pots and grown in 80:20 sand to reed sedge peat mix. Plants were overhead irrigated twice daily and temperatures were maintained at an average of 77 degrees F.

Treatments were applied with a CO_2 pressurized backpack sprayer calibrated at 30 gals./acre. Moss species were evaluated on a 0 (no control) to 100 percent (complete control) scale 3, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days after initial treatment. All herbicide treatments are listed in Table 1. Sequential applications of treatments were made 14 days after initial treatment. The experiment started February 27th and was repeated April 30th.

Treatments included four Quicksilver treatments (0.05, 0.09 and 0.05 followed by (fb) 0.05, 0.9 fb 0.9 lbs. ai/acre) and four Junction treatments (0.8, 1.6, 0.8 fb 0.8, 1.6 fb 1.6 lbs. ai/acre). All sequential applications were made 14 days after the initial application.

Digital images were taken on each moss evaluation date (0, 3, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days after initial treatment) of each pot. Digital images were downloaded to a personal computer and analyzed to determine percent moss cover.

All data were subject to analysis of variance (P = 0.05). Data were analyzed according to the factorial arrangement.

Each moss species was analyzed separately by date of observation. There was no interaction between the two studies, so data from both studies were combined and presented by observation date.

RESULTS

Visual Moss Control Quicksilver did not provide greater than 39 percent control of any moss species throughout this study (See table). Sequential applications of Quicksilver provided better control than single applications, especially with Amblystegium serpens. Junction provided poor control of all moss species for the duration of the experiment. The greatest control observed with Junction was 11 percent with Bryum argenteum, which was not statistically different from the untreated pots. Landschoot et al. (2004) observed minimal control of Bryum argenteum with Junction. This similar result was observed with Junction when applied sequentially and at two different rates with all three species of moss.

Quicksilver is an effective means of *Bryum argenteum* control, however moss regrowth can occur if multiple applications are not made. A decrease in moss control was observed with single applications of Quicksilver when applied to *Amblystegium serpens* and *Bryum argenteum*. The decrease in moss control was attributed to moss regrowth. Quicksilver applied at the highest rate with a sequential application controlled *Bryum argenteum* 39 percent 28 days after initial treatment. This was the greatest visual control observed with any moss species.

All Quicksilver treatments reduced cover of *Entodon seductrix*, however control never exceeded >15 percent. Both Quicksilver and Junction provided minimal visual control of both *Amblystegeum serpens* and *Entodon seductrix*.

Digital Analysis Data Digital analysis data and visual control ratings had similar trends with chemical control of all three moss species. The digital analysis confirmed the visual control ratings. Therefore, the digital analysis data are not presented.

CONCLUSIONS

When reviewing the data presented in this article, please keep in mind

MOSS CONTROL PROGRAM

Below is a moss control program that Scott McElroy, Ph.D., has developed through research and observations of successful moss control programs on golf courses that he suggests to superintendents.

- Two applications of Quicksilver at 6.7 fl. oz. per acre applied two weeks apart.
- Topdress, topdress, topdress. A light, frequent topdressing program will help reduce moss populations.
- Aerifcation will help.
- Apply sufficient nitrogen to maintain reasonable growth of creeping bentgrass.
- Quicksilver applied after a bensulide application can induce injury in creeping bentgrass.
- Junction is best used preventively rather than as a curative for moss.



Silvery thread moss growing on a putting green

growing conditions were favorable for moss and there was no competition present from creeping bentgrass. Also, no cultural practices were implemented that would hinder growth of the moss or favor competiveness and growth of creeping bentgrass.

In short, conditions were nearly ideal for moss growth and regrowth. On a golf course, more than two applications of Quicksilver or Junction, together with cultural practices, would most likely provide greater moss control than reported in this article.

Quicksilver provided greater control with all three moss species than Junction. Control of all three moss species with Junction was minimal less than 15 percent. Sequential applications of Quicksilver are required to reduce moss regrowth. Single applications of Quicksilver can increase growth rates of *Bryum argenteum*.

Quicksilver applications made to the other moss species stabilized growth rates but did not decrease populations. Erratic Quicksilver efficacy could potentially be attributed to diversity in moss species. Variation in moss control on putting greens among golf courses could potentially be attributed to differences in moss species. Scott McElroy, Ph. D., is an associate professor at Auburn University. Steven Borst, Ph.D., is a former M.S. student with Dr. McElroy and a recent Ph.D. graduate of Louisiana State University. The research presented herein is a compilation of Dr. Borst's M.S. research on moss biology and control in golf course putting greens. Contact Scott McElroy at jsm0010@auburn.edu.

References

Anonymous. 2006. Junction fungicide/bactericide product label. SePRO Corporation. Meridian, IN.

Anonymous. 2005. Quicksilver herbicide product label. FMC Corporation. FMC Corporation Agricultural Product Group, Philadelphia, PA.

Boesch B.P. and N.A. Mitkowski. 2005. Chemical methods of moss control on golf course putting greens. Applied Turf Science. October: 1-8.

Burnell K.D., FH. Yelverton, J.C. Neal, T.W. Gannon, and J.S. McElroy. 2004. Control of silvery-thread moss (*Bryum argenteum* Hedw,) in creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis palustris huds.*) putting greens. Weed Tech: 18:560-565.

Cook, T., B. McDonald, and K. Merrifield. 2002. Controlling moss in putting greens. *Golf Course Manag.* Sept. 70(9):103-106.

Happ, K.A. 1998. Moss eradication in putting green turf. USGA Green Section Record. 36(5):1-5.

Hummel, N. W., Jr. 1994. Methods for moss control. *Golf Course Manage*. 64:106-110.

Landschoot, P. J. Cook, and B. Park 2004. Moss control- new products and strategies. USGA Green Section Record. 42(4):7-9.

Nelson, M. 2007. Of moss and men. Available at http://www.usga. org/turf/green_section_record/2007/jul_aug/ofmoss.html. Accessed on September 15, 2007.

Senseman, S.A. 2007. *Herbicide Handbook*. Weed Science Society of America ninth edition. pp.197.

Settle, D.M., R.T. Kane and G.C. Miller. 2006. Evaluation of newer products for selective control of moss on creeping bentgrass greens. USGA Turf and Environ. Research Online 6(5):1-6.

Turgeon A.J. and J.M. Vargas Jr. 2006. The Turf Problem Solver. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons. pp. 162-163.

Yelverton, F.H. 2005. Managing silvery thread moss in creeping bentgrass greens. Golf Course Manag. Mar: 103-107.