Going Postal

Continued from page 6

whether the content itself is worth an investment. Just because it says CGCS on the outside doesn't mean the content is good. It just means that this person has a set of competencies that he or she can apply to his or her job.

Many superintendents (like myself) are not using the CGCS label as a steppingstone, but a motivational tool. Your CGCS allows you to say, "I must continue to strive to be the best in what I do. These are the classes and the type of education that I need to help me achieve that level of performance."

I know certified superintendents who are making \$30,000 to \$40,000 per year, so I take exception to Heacock comments that "some [CGCSs] are no better than someone available for \$30,000 or less." I admire the superintendents who make lower salaries and still have a CGCS label. Are they less than full superintendents? No. In many cases, these are people who have dedicated themselves to a profession, and they work on small budget golf courses. These people challenged themselves and are awaiting an opportunity some day to move ahead.

I think the term CGCS does sometimes imply something that it doesn't deliver when viewed in a vacuum. Employers who judge superintendents [strictly on their CGCS status] are narrow-minded. Many superintendents don't have the distinction of having a CGCS label. Many don't need or want it. They are in great positions, and it won't help their careers, so they choose not to obtain it.

For the employer, I feel that CGCS should mean that a superintendent has stayed current with trends in the industry and has tried to do a little more. I agree with Heacock that GCSAA has some long-term issues it needs to work on, and it is doing that. I also believe there are many other associations and societies that have the same problems.

If superintendents are taking educational classes for the CEUs and getting nothing out of them, they should stop. I've had the the CGCS label for 16 years, and I plan to continue to have it until I retire. I hope I never stop learning and trying to educate myself. I hope that I belong to an association that feels the same way.

Rick Tegtmeier, CGCS Elmcrest CC Cedar Rapids, Iowa

I must say I was very disappointed in Mike Heacock's recent article "CGCS Implies Something That Doesn't Always Deliver."

I recently completed the certification process and becoming certified was one of the most gratifying experiences I've ever had. The CEUs I obtained on my way to certification were helpful to me and my employer.

I've always believed that if you find something you enjoy and become the very best at it, the money will follow. Receiving my CGCS gave me an avenue to reach the height of my profession. Becoming certified did not happen overnight. It took time, patience and study. I would not be as qualified today to do my job at my club without the GCSAA and the certification process it provides.

If I decide to accept a job at a more challenging course with higher pay, I do not expect to immediately walk in and master the position. But with time, persistence and continuing education, I expect to be worthy of the position. I sincerely hope you haven't discouraged anyone to take advantage of the services the GCSAA provides, especially the certification process.

Todd Caudill, CGCS Franklin CC Franklin, Ky.

Builder's Broadside

I read Geoff Shackelford's column about golf course contractors ("Time to Pick on Golf's Contractors," August) and was stunned by his cheeky attack on them. I put it down for several weeks and then reread it again. It is just as cheeky and factless as it was when I read it before.

What was Shackelford trying to accomplish? Just filling a page? That's about all he did. Was it an editorial? Was it an exposé (maybe Shackelford has spent years undercover following the sneaky world of contracting — I don't think so)? Was it a fact-filled article? Not a chance.



Shack: Public Enemy No. 1?

I have been in the golf course construction business for about 20 years and have seen a wonderful maturation of our

business. Gener-

ally speaking, our business is full of qualified, honest people who enjoy building golf courses. We run into good and bad architects, and we have good and bad clients. We are often called upon to fix a situation that, in the field, is different from what the plans presented. Just as often, we are called upon to find a solution for disagreement between the architect and the owner. We are asked to build golf courses in difficult locations with difficult schedules and often nearly impossible site constraints.

I have no intention of refuting each of the stupid items that Shackelford mentioned — it is a waste of time. You have done some real damage to the fragile

relationship between the press and golf course contractors. You have also done a real disservice to our industry.

Dan R. Garson Vice President of Golf GBS Golf Works Mountain View, Calif.

Non-workaholics Anonymous

One of your columns (Pin High, August) covered some remarks about superintendents being poor candidates for marriage, according to one turf professor.

I disagree. I don't feel it's necessary to work 60 to 80 hours a week in the summer season to be successful. What do I do when my crew is gone after its normal 40-hour day (we don't have unlimited funds to pay overtime, so they usually work 40 hours)? I tour the course, finish paperwork and go home to my wife and son. What ever happened to the old business adage of "working smarter, not harder"?

I'm not a workaholic. I've done this through efficiency, motivation and sound agronomic decisions. If I can't get the job done in a reasonable amount of time (less than 50 hours a week on average), I'm not doing it right.

I know that I'll probably never be at an Augusta National or Pebble Beach, but I am nearing the top of my profession in my hometown. Am I lazy and just getting away with it, or am I ahead of the curve? Name Withheld at Author's Request

Feel like going postal? We want to hear from you. You can e-mail your letters to Frank Andorka at fandorka@advanstar.com, fax to 440-891-2675 or send them via snail-mail to: 7500 Old Oak Blvd., Cleveland, OH 44130. Make sure to include your name and phone number for verification. Letters may be edited for length or relevance.