

GRAU'S ANSWERS TO TURF QUESTIONS

BY FRED V. GRAU



Much Remains to Be Done in Drawing Up "Specs"

Two factors are gaining increasing* attention in this fast growing field of providing new facilities for the nation's golfers.

1. Specifications: There have been many so-called "specs" prepared and approved for submission to builders for bidding. The kindest thing that can be said of some of them is that they say, in effect, "We want a golf course built." In too many cases the specific responsibilities of owner, builder, and architect are not spelled out and only a generous amount of mutual respect and tolerance serves to get the course built.

Too often the specs fail to specify the details of seeds and seed quality, fertilization, mixtures of soil and amendments, depth of soil, grades and other essential information. Exact areas and quantities must be made known to the builders so that realistic bids may be submitted.

Different Conditions

Not many new course specifications find their way across our desk. But among them those prepared by Ed Ault, course architect of Silver Spring, Md., and Jack Snyder of Scottsdale, Ariz., seem to be sound and sensible and a definite asset for the owners, and for the builders who bid on the basis of the specs. Each new course presents a different set of conditions which must be handled according to need. The specs from the last course can be used in part, supplemented by fresh recommendations of professional specialists in each line: engineering, agronomy, hydraulics, etc.

Smooth Out Rough Spots

2. Professional Assistance: The rate at which architects are designing new courses and preparing new specs for bidding by

construction companies seriously limits their ability to adequately cover every detail in the specifications. Close checking by specialists in the various lines smooths out the rough spots and insures a more realistic set of specs which, in turn, will be a break for the owner as well as for the builder.

Specialists often can help in the wording of specs so that clear cut lines of responsibility are drawn. There are cases where the maintenance crew, ready to maintain a "finished" golf course, is forced to pick stones (one of the builder's job) so that the seeding can be done. Sadly enough, the specs failed to spell out areas of responsibility covering this. There is certain to be a heated argument before all claims are settled.

Build It Right

It is not difficult to see how a confused situation has been allowed to develop in this rapidly growing field. Leadership in "Building A New Course Right The First Time" has been lacking. There has been far too much of the useless but necessary practice of rebuilding the course a year or two after it has been put into play. Perhaps some of the essential research data has been missing but it is more likely that much of that which is available has not been put to use.

We do not pretend to have the final answer to the dilemma of the new golf courses but it is assured that we will keep digging until the real answer, or a reasonable facsimile, is found. Readers may, if they wish, write their views to this department in an effort to aid in improving specs and construction.

Fall or Spring Seeding

Q. We are building a new course on some very sandy soil. Construction may drag on until it is too late for an early fall seeding. One question being discussed is: "Shall we go ahead