

Outstanding Maintenance Practices of the 1932 Season

By O. J. NOER*

In the years immediately preceding 1932, turf excellence was stressed without close attention to cost. In this respect, clubs did not differ from the average individual or business establishment. But last spring, faced with the certain prospect of reduced income, clubs endeavored to adjust expenses in keeping with probable revenue.

Some clubs in smaller cities reduced the course to 9 holes, and a few of the larger clubs with several courses, restricted play to one 18-hole course. The actual saving was not proportional to the reduction in playing area, because some semblance of maintenance was necessary on the abandoned holes to permit resumption of play with the return of better times.

Most clubs met the necessity for economy by a general reduction in maintenance expense. Exact figures are not available, but

*Digest of Greens Convention address.

in most districts the reductions were nominal, in keeping with lower material costs and slightly lowered wages. Increased labor efficiency enabled some greenkeepers to dispense with a portion of the former maintenance crew. Greens and fairways received major attention, and where labor shortage compelled partial neglect, this was rightly confined to areas of lesser importance.

In a very few instances, new officers intent upon making a favorable financial showing during their term attempted to force suicidal cuts in the maintenance budget, over the vigorous protest of the thinking chairman and greenkeeper. If permitted and allowed to continue, the very existence of the club as a golfing establishment is endangered. Clubs faced with the necessity of curtailing expenses should consider carefully before reducing maintenance expenses below the absolute mini-

•NEXT BEST TO RAIN•

FOR EARLY SPRING CARE OF GREENS AND FAIRWAYS

NEW—

An electrical trimmer — cuts 100-ft. hedge in 20 minutes — trims shrubs around walks and gardens — sells for less than half the usual price.

FREE Write today for literature and very low price.

PATENTED

The gentle shower of the Double Rotary Sprinkler puts your course in splendid playing condition earlier . . . then keeps it that way regardless of dry weather! Does not wash or pack newly-planted grass seed. Distributes water with sufficient force to penetrate to roots of grass, yet does not injure tenderest turf. No surface or sub-surface puddling. Covers circular area up to 80 feet. (more than 5,000 sq. ft.) or down to 15 ft. in diameter, according to pressure. A big water saver! Scientifically constructed of finest materials. King of its kind for 14 years!

TRY 10 DAYS—Sold on 10-day trial under a money-back guarantee of satisfaction. Write today for new illustrated catalog, full details of trial proposition and prices.

DOUBLE ROTARY SPRINKLER CO.
106 Candler Bldg., Kansas City, Mo.


DOUBLE  ROTARY
REG. U.S. PAT. OFF.
SPRINKLER

Next Best to Rain.



TEE UP!

THE LEWIS WAY



Order Lewis Tee Equipment NOW! . . . But be sure to insist on Lewis . . . the most economical—most durable . . . and most up-to-date equipment to be had at any price. . . . Compare Lewis prices—appearance—durability with any other make—the answer is, "There are more Lewis Washers and Lewis Tee Equipment in use than all other makes combined."



Lewis Tee
Data Plate

Price
\$1.50
Each

LEWIS BAG RACK

Price
\$4.⁷⁵
Each

See Your Distributor



THE LEWIS TEE ENSEMBLE

consists of washer, stake, tee data plate, waste container, towel and towel ring.

Tee Ensemble —Lots of 1 to 10.	\$10.00	each
Lots of 11 to 20.	9.50	"
Washers only—lots 1 to 10.	5.50	"
Washers only—lots 11 to 20.	5.00	"
Tee Stake.	1.25	"
Tee Data Plate.	1.50	"
Waste Container.	1.50	"
Towels—15c each. Towel Rings	.10	"

Repair Parts for Washer

Brushes with back and end springs	\$.55	each
Brushes with back spring only	.50	"
Brush only	.40	"
Plunger, painted	.50	"
Bottom spring in tank	.15	"
BAN, Cleaner	\$.45	for 25 lb. pail
MOBO, Liquid Soap	2.00	per gallon

G. B. LEWIS CO.
Dept. GD333 Watertown, Wis.

LEWIS

GOLF BALL WASHER



mum required to maintain acceptable and playable turf. Such procedure is false economy, because the supposed savings will be more than offset by the future cost of turf renovation.

Progress of Fairway Watering

Due to limited rainfall, fairways were brown and hard throughout the most important part of the 1932 playing season. The St. Louis district was a notable exception, for there rains were general during August. On some of the courses, fairways were ruined, for abundant moisture encouraged crab grass to form a solid mat, and thus smother and crowd out the bluegrass. Fear of this fairway pest dampened the ardor for fairway irrigation in that district.

Lack of green fairways aroused keen interest in water systems in most of the other metropolitan sections. The marked contrast with nearby watered courses made the unwatered fairways look pitiful, and golfers clamored for water systems, often pointing to heavier play on the neighboring watered course, but gave no thought to the added burden of expense. It is certain that golfers on a number of courses will be greeted with watered fairways next summer, and all indications point to more general watering in time to come to appease the player.

It's Easy to Over-Water

Fairway irrigation is not necessarily the panacea claimed by its most ardent supporters. True, it will provide a green turf and softer fairways throughout the playing season, but it necessarily complicates fairway management. The solution to some of the problems appear simple, but others will be more difficult.

Clover invariably spreads and becomes objectionable on watered fairways, unless steps are taken to check its invasion. Golfers rightfully object to clover because good lies are never obtained when the ball rests in a patch of solid clover. Clover control is largely a matter of nitrogen feeding. On bluegrass and fescue fairways the problem is simple, but where *poa annua* prevails there is always danger of encouraging such soft weak growth by heavy nitrogen feeding that the grass will succumb during excessively hot weather. *Poa annua* reappears in the fall even though the area be reseeded with other grasses. Nobody yet knows just what the answer is to clover control on *poa annua* fairways. It may be a matter of changing the kind of fertilizer or time of application so the effects of the nitrogen will be minimized or dissipated before the next summer season, or it may be a matter of changing water or cutting practices. These are mere conjectures, and the answer must await detailed and careful investigation.

The effect of water on crab grass has

been mentioned. Its control with fairway watering is a matter of considerable moment in districts where this is a serious pest. There is some evidence for the belief that crab grass can be mastered, but as yet there is no basis for recommending a sure procedure.

Watered Fairways Need Food

When water systems were first installed it was believed that fertilization was unnecessary. The fallacy of this belief is now generally recognized. On greens fertilization and irrigation supplement each other, and in this respect fairways are no different. Constant watering actually accentuates plant food losses by encouraging more abundant growth, and also enhances losses in the drainage water. Unless these losses are made good, gradual turf deterioration is inevitable. On the other hand, fertilizers can be applied on watered fairways with positive assurance that there will be sufficient moisture to obtain full benefits. Where water costs are high, proper feeding will slightly reduce the amount of water needed to maintain green turf, and thus effect a partial saving.

Fairway feeding was not neglected during 1932, and there is reason to believe that the practice was more general than in any preceding year. Opinions still differ as to what constitutes good practice. Need for nitrogen is generally conceded, but opinions vary regarding supplementary use of phosphoric acid and potash. A few believe a complete fertilizer should be used, others think nitrogen and phosphoric acid sufficient, and some claim nitrogen only is needed. From the standpoint of cost, this becomes a matter of importance on the fairways. These different views can be settled only by careful, controlled trials, and until that is done, the only safe procedure is to follow practices which have been found to give satisfactory results.

Our own opinion may be subject to criticism, but nevertheless may be of some interest. Nitrogen is most important and turf cannot be improved unless it is used. Potash is seldom needed on heavy soils. Its use should be considered on very poor sands, peats, and mucks only. Need for phosphate can be judged by using one of the available soil phosphorus test kits now on the market, provided fairways have not been arsenated. Where the supply of available soil phosphorus is high, phosphates are not needed, but where the soil supply is low, phosphates should be used. When phosphoric acid is required, applications every second or third year should suffice, for phosphorus is not lost in the drainage waters, and hence, interim feeding can be confined to nitrogen. Where complete fertilizers are needed, mixtures high in the nitrogen with smaller amounts of phosphoric acid and potash are usually suitable.



Packed for Protection—Preservation—Identification
Triple A Bent is packed in 50-lb. tin canisters, enclosed in strong wooden boxes. Our Seaside Bent (Coo's Co. Strain) is also packed in similar containers.

Grass Seed of Known Quality

TESTED for PURITY and GERMINATION

Triple A Bent

A stoloniferous type of *Agrostis capillaris*, which produces turf of the deep apple-green color and fine silk-like texture of Velvet Bent, has been an outstanding plot at our trial grounds during the past three seasons. The seed we offer has been remachined for the elimination of weed seeds and has a purity of over 99%.

Seaside Bent (Coo's Co. Strain) is a true strain of *Agrostis palustris* (formerly known as *Agrostis maritima*), which has produced some of the finest greens in America. Quick to grow and strongly creeping, it yields a closely matted bluish green turf of very uniform texture, similar in appearance to a fine vegetative turf.

Write for our Special Prices to Golf Clubs on the above or any other turf-producing grasses, Special Formulas and Bent Stolons

Stumpp & Walter Co.

Specialists in Golf Grass Seeds, Fertilizers and Equipment
132-138 Church St., New York

There is reason to believe that fescue will grow normally in soils too low in available phosphorus to support Kentucky bluegrass. This may be one reason why fescue produces better turf in some of the northern districts where soils are acid and low in available phosphorus. If this belief is substantiated, it means that lower rates of phosphate applications can be used on fescue fairways with full assurance that satisfactory results will follow.

What About Lime?

Some striking effects produced by lime were noticed on a few test plots. This was particularly true on bluegrass, but similar effects were visible on some of the other grasses also. The limed grass was greener and appeared to withstand drought better, but the differences disappeared in the fall.

The past year witnessed an increased use of lime on acid fairway soils in the East. The present view appears to be that moderate liming of bluegrass fairways on acid soils every three to four years may be justified. Where fescue and bent predominate, lime is not so essential, and its use should be confined to more acid soils, and lighter rates of application are fully as effective.

Early in 1932 attention was called to the fact that lime tends to render applications of lead arsenate for grub and earthworm control less certain. It is thought that lime converts the arsenate into basic compound, and its effectiveness is thus lost. This may partially explain why arsenate applications have not always produced desired results.

Weather Is Uncertain Factor

Weather is a factor over which the greenkeeper has no control, yet it may simplify or complicate turf maintenance on greens. Its effects are not fully understood by golfers and club officials. They recognize the obvious need for supplementary water on fairways during dry seasons, but fail to appreciate or wilfully ignore the dangers attendant upon their insistent and often unreasonable demand for soft putting surfaces and deep green color. Until their attitude changes, serious loss of turf on putting greens during unusual seasons seems inevitable.

Losses occur during hot, humid weather, and are accentuated when heavy rains accompany the heat wave. Troubles are most likely in wet seasons, such as 1928, but they may occur even in comparatively dry years. This was the case in 1931 when serious damage occurred during a brief period of unusual weather early in July. Turf loss may also occur even though rain does not accompany hot weather, if over-watering is the rule, and the underlying soil is heavy.

Severe turf losses can be avoided only by adopting practices which will produce sturdy turf to withstand unseasonable weather. Each succeeding season since 1928 confirms the belief that this is possible, provided the underlying soil is not too heavy, and greens are not entirely *poa annua*, or planted with inferior strains of stolons. In 1932 greenkeepers paid closer attention to watering and feeding practices than ever before.

During midsummer, generous nitrogen and copious watering must be avoided, because both tend to produce weak soft leaves and stems. Such turf collapses quickly during periods of excessive heat, and the greenkeeper is helpless because there is no known quick remedy. The turf eventually recovers, but the process is necessarily slow, because new root formation must precede leaf development. It is useless to feed and water generously immediately following turf loss. Feeding is warranted only after the new root system is formed.

The tendency was to reduce nitrogen feeding to a point where the grass showed slight nitrogen hunger with the approach of summer, and then use light rates to barely hold color. In a few cases fear of producing lush turf deterred greenkeepers from applying sufficient nitrogen to prevent serious clover invasion. It may be better to err on the side of safety, but best practice is to devise feeding methods which will avoid disaster and yet maintain good putting turf. At first thought a definite schedule of greens feeding would seem to be the simple solution. There are several valid objections to such procedure. Seasonal variations in weather affect rate of growth and need for nitrogen. Grasses differ in their response to nitrogen. Velvets are very easily injured by over-feeding, and the better strains of stolon planted bents become fluffy if too much nitrogen is used. Local differences between greens on the individual course necessitates different rates of nitrogen application. Grass on greens in sheltered locations grows slower, hence less nitrogen is needed. Color, rate of growth, and sturdiness are the safe criteria for determining rate and frequency of fertilizer application.

Water practices received more deserved attention. During midsummer amount of water was stressed more than time of watering. Greens were kept moist, but slightly on the dry side. Over-wetting, so water could be squeezed from soil pressed between the thumb and forefinger, was avoided. Greens in sheltered locations received closest attention, because they seldom dry out as rapidly as greens in the open, and hence need less water. Incidentally, restricting moisture supply tends to overcome the detrimental effects of too much nitrogen by reducing rate of growth.

Spiking of greens was more general last year, especially during the hot summer months. Its advocates claim greens take water better following spiking, and that they are less apt to become water-logged. The practice probably has merit on soils which tend to pack, and to facilitate drying of the surface soil if it becomes water-logged as a result of excessive rain or over-watering.

Humus Use Increased

There is some evidence of increased interest in humus materials, to replace manure as a physical soil conditioner for new seedings, and as a constituent of the topdressing mixture. These materials show promise, provided they are properly used. No difficulties arise when they are incorporated with the soil prior to seeding, but when used in topdressing mixtures, some of the lighter, coarser products float out, and the particles gather in ridges or ruffles during watering. This interferes with putting. The trouble has been overcome in several instances by preliminary treatment of the peat before incorporating it with the soil and sand topdressing. The usual procedure was to mix small amounts of nitrogen with the peat, wet the mixture thoroughly, and allow to stand for from 1

to 2 weeks. Partial breakdown produces a final product which does not ruffle when used in topdressing mixtures.

The proportion of peat which can be used safely in topdressing mixtures has never been subjected to careful test. It is doubtful if more than 20 to 25 percent of the finished topdressing should consist of these materials. Their tremendous waterholding capacity may make it difficult to prevent waterlogging of the surface soil during wet seasons, if the percentage greatly exceeds the above limit.

To date there is no evidence of general turf deterioration on golf courses, which is a tribute to greenkeepers and their committees. They cooperated with the club officials, and endeavored to maintain reasonably high standards. While it is certain the spending orgy of several years ago is at an end, this will not deter golfers from demanding high standards of maintenance. In the face of reduced revenues and the increasing complexity of turf culture, clubs who dispense with the services of competent greenkeepers are indeed pursuing a short sighted policy. What the future has in store nobody knows, but it is certain that the men who survive in their chosen life's work will be those who prove their worth.

Budgeting, from the Standpoint of a Green-Chairman

By C. W. JOHNSON*
Sunset Ridge C. C. (Chicago)

WHAT is a ground and greens budget? What purpose does it serve? And who pays any attention to it after the green-chairman's secretary has made it out? In 1928 and 1929 these were but regular and natural questions. Because who cared as long as the greens were a fine putting surface and the tees were level and inviting to a nice drive, and the fairways always offered a good lie. But how conditions and times have changed as we approach the advent of the "New Deal" and look to the former "Forgotten Man," the greenkeeper, for better results.

A 1933 greens budget is now even more essential than in the past and above all must be fair and workable.

Doping Out a Fair Budget

To compile a budget that will be fair to greenkeeper, green-chairman and member, you first must learn from your treasurer or executive chairman how much you can spend. Then call in your greenkeeper,

take him into your confidence and go to work. Determine how many men should be able to run the course and go up or down from this point depending on your particular job. Decide on a fair working wage for labor. Pay enough to demand good workmen and hold them accountable to their particular tasks. Happy contented labor make the greenkeeper's and green-chairman's duties easier and reflect many fold in the results obtained from your golf course. Don't cut the greenkeeper's salary too low, for remember he is a confidant and responsible for many purchases directly or indirectly. Keep him honest, let him pay his way and offer an often needed financial or executive hand.

Take each item separately such as equipment renewals and repairs, sand, sod, and seed, fertilizer, oil and gas, supplies, and trees and shrubs. Compare your expenses of the previous year, be mindful of the condition of the equipment, also the golf course itself. Then be fair with your figures. Provide for the actual necessities,

**Digest of Greens Convention address.*