JULY, 1927

## Between Ourselves

hired him. These greensmen talked of visiting various courses in the Chicago district and then submitting their comments to the greenkeeper in charge (their fellow member) and his greens chairman. Not one dissenting voice was heard when the plan was offered. The greens men said that there might be some valid criticism and some legitimate excuses for defects in the course, but each individual wanted his work assayed by a jury of stern and competent critics. If they were missing bets they wanted to know where and they were not afraid to have the findings presented to their employers.

To our way of thinking, this is one of the finest exhibitions of a wholehearted aim to get courses perfect that anyone could ask. There might be some touchy and temperamental greens chairmen who would hold against their greenkeepers in an unduly strong and lasting way any of the errors of omission and commission that might come to light, but the Midwest greenkeepers, by their action, showed that they would bet their jobs greens chairmen of this type were not at their clubs.

This display of confidence in the justice and co-operation of their chairmen promises to be one of the most profitable new ideas in greenkeeping work, for it means that if mistakes are made, due to lack of knowledge or of money, they will be quickly found and corrected rather than be kept concealed by a man who is fearful of the consequences of discovery.

We congratulate the Midwest Greenkeepers' association on its adoption of this policy of "Lay on, MacDuff, and damned be he who first cries 'enough.'" We are confident that it mirrors the policy of boldly inviting constructive criticism that prevails among the leading greenkeepers of today and it shows the partnership for the good of the cause that exists between greens chairmen and greenkeepers at the representative clubs.

## "Let George Do It" Tough on Managers

When a frolicsome member gets a bit of a cargo aboard and must be gently made a peaceful citizen, when bad weather cuts down the house income, when lack of housing facilities for help prevent getting the right staff of waiters, or when any of the thousand other difficulties arise around a golf club, the manager gets the job of working the way out of the trouble.

You can track down complaints regarding managers and in a great number of cases you will see that the responsibility of the errors should be shared by the house committees. In justice be it said that the committees usually don't realize their rightful duty.

Lots of the duties and miseries are strictly his, but few of them are his alone.

When there's some unpleasant duty to be done around the club it's not a bad idea to see whether "the buck" should be passed to the manager entirely or whether the matter should be handled by a committee head.