

disease thrives when fertility is reduced, especially in frequently irrigated sand-based greens with shallow-rooted dwarf Bermudagrass.

"The course is built on a very sandy site," Keller explains. "Nutrient retention has always been a problem."

The lack of funds for course maintenance finally took its toll. Conditions deteriorated to the point of losing greens. The owner realized that some sort of budget was necessary to maintain live turf. He and Keller worked together to minimize spending while maximizing results.

Like all superintendents, Keller is constantly asked to try new products. "My sales rep, Glen Thompson, is a friend and was a superintendent himself," Keller says. Thompson's recommended

a line of "green" products that developed healthier turf to ward off diseases, LidoChem's Performance Nutrition products.

He tried KaPre RemeD8, specifically created to enhance nutrient uptake with a blend of humate extracts, microbes, enzymes, amino acids and more. The improvement in the health of the turf was soon apparent. Encouraged, he tried the Pennamin fertilizers, also enhanced with organics, as well as Vibrant foliar fertilizer and KaPre ExAlt, designed to remediate problem soils.

"There's two or three other superintendents in this area, and we often bounce things off each other," Keller says. "We've all seen results."

While Keller says he still "sneaks in a few fungicide treatments," his program has paid off.



Keller estimates a bionutrition program costs a third of a preventative spray program on his tees and greens.

He estimates that a bionutrition program costs a third of what a preventative spray program on his tees and greens.

And the course conditions? How about making the shortlist of GolfWeek magazines "2012 Best Courses You Can Play"?

"We took a big step back and it took three steps forward to recover," Keller says. "But we're in such good shape now!" **GCI**

Helen M. Stone is a West Coast-based freelance writer and frequent GCI contributor.



Make a living. Make a difference.



The irrigation industry is full of potential and the Irrigation Foundation is committed to recruiting the next generation of irrigators AND helping current career seekers find a rewarding profession in our industry.

If you're looking for a new career opportunity or even a new team member, **visit the Irrigation Career Link at www.irrigationcareerlink.org**. Browse the job and resume bank and see what the irrigation industry has to offer. You can make a difference by helping our industry effectively manage the world's water resources.

A Thank You to Our Current Industry Supporters



6540 Arlington Blvd. | Falls Church, VA 22042
Tel: 703-536-7080 | info@irrigationfoundation.org

In recognition of our long-time supporters, we would like to thank our Sustaining Sponsors: Ewing Irrigation Products, Hunter Industries, Inc., Rain Bird Corporation and The Toro Company.



Ron Dodson and **Bill Love** are the founders of Love & Dodson, LLC a firm that specializes in sustainable planning, design, construction and management of golf courses, recreational facilities and sanctuaries. www.loveanddodson.com

ECOLOGICAL LITERACY

In 1944, noted conservationist Aldo Leopold wrote: “Acts of conservation without the requisite desires and skill are futile. To create these desires and skills, and the community motive, is the task of education.”

In January 2003, the National Science Foundation released a report of its Advisory Committee for Environmental Research and Education that found “in the coming decades, the public will more frequently be called upon to understand complex environmental issues, assess risk, evaluate proposed environmental plans and understand how individual decisions affect the environment at local and global scales.” The committee called for the creation of a scientifically informed citizenry and pointed out that this will require a “concerted and systematic approach to environmental education grounded in a broad and deep research base that offers a compelling invitation to lifelong learning.”

Now, nearly a decade after that report and 70 years after Leopold’s statement, the question is: “Is the public any more ecologically literate than they were 70 years ago?” To my way of thinking the answer is a resounding “No.” This is not only a sad state of affairs, but it is dangerous to our future and, of course, to the future of golf.

In the course of a lifetime, an individual will accumulate environmental knowledge from a combination of school, the media, personal reading, family members and friends, outdoor activities, entertainment outlets, and a wide range of other professional and personal experiences. For a few motivated individuals, this can eventually add up to an accomplished environmental literacy. But for most Americans, it falls far short. Most people accumulate a diverse and unconnected smattering of factoids, a few – sometimes totally incorrect

– principles, numerous opinions, and very little real understanding. Research shows that most Americans believe they know more about the environment than they actually do.

That is why 45 million Americans believe the ocean is a source of fresh water; 120 million believe spray cans still have CFCs in them even though CFCs were banned in 1978; another 120 million people believe disposable diapers are the leading problem with landfills when they actually represent about 1 percent of the problem; and

often soul-soothing, outdoor play. The age-old pattern of children spending hours roaming and playing outside is close to extinct due to a combination of electronics, cyberspace, and parental efforts to keep their children indoors and, in their minds, safer.

While the simplest forms of environmental knowledge are widespread, public comprehension of more complex environmental subjects is very limited. The average American, regardless of age, income or education, mostly fails to grasp essential aspects

For golf course managers, this **sad state of affairs** is an opportunity. The opportunity rests with the need for course managers to “talk the talk” of conservation and environmental management, not just walk the walk.

130 million believe hydropower is America’s top energy source, when it accounts for just 10 percent of the total. It is also why very few people understand the leading causes of air and water pollution or how they should be addressed. Years of data from Roper surveys show a persistent pattern of environmental ignorance even among the most educated and influential members of society. And an unknown number of people – some playing golf on your golf course – believe golf courses are nothing more than manicured hazardous waste sites.

A more recent and disturbing phenomenon also warrant careful attention. It is perhaps best described in a book by family expert and author Richard Louv (2005) as widespread “nature-deficit disorder.” Louv is among a growing number of analysts who see unprecedented pattern changes in how young people relate to nature and the outdoors. As kids become more “wired” than ever before, they are drawn away from healthful,

of environmental science, important cause/effect relationships, or even basic concepts such as runoff pollution, power generation and fuel use, or water flow patterns. For example:

- About 80 percent of Americans are heavily influenced by incorrect or outdated environmental myths; and
- Just 12 percent of Americans can pass a basic quiz on awareness of energy topics.

For golf course managers, this sad state of affairs is an opportunity. The opportunity rests with the need for course managers to “talk the talk” of conservation and environmental management, not just walk the walk. The bottom line is the average American is dumb as a rock when it comes to conservation and environmental management. They generally don’t know the difference between bentgrass and moss. It is in the best interest of golf and golf course managers to establish and implement an education approach aimed at raising the ecological literacy of your patrons. **GCI**

BY JEFF NUS, PH.D

Still Stinging

University of Florida research compares Bermudagrass and seashore paspalum cultivars for their abilities to tolerate nematodes.



“ I am often asked by golf course superintendents if a particular cultivar is resistant or has fewer problems with nematodes than other cultivars.”

The crucial component of any turf pest control strategy is to use turfgrass cultivars that have the greatest genetic resistance to that pest. After all, if the turf is genetically resistant to certain diseases or insects, there is less dependency on fungicides and insecticides to keep the turf

healthy. Can the same strategy be used for nematodes?

That is exactly what University of Florida scientists wanted to know. According to a 2005 field survey of Florida golf courses by Dr. William (Billy) Crow, associate professor of nematology at the University of Florida, 87% of those courses

had potentially damaging levels of plant-parasitic nematodes (1). With the loss of NemaCur (fenamiphos) in 2007, questions regarding nematode resistance in turfgrass cultivars

are more important than ever.

With funding from the USGA Turfgrass and Environmental Research Program, Dr. Crow and his colleagues, Dr. Kevin Kenworthy (assistant professor

This article is reprinted from the September, 2012, vol. 50 (no.19) issue of the USGA Green Section Record. Copyright United States Golf Association. All rights reserved.



Plots of both Bermudagrass and seashore paspalum were planted in 2008 at University of Florida turfgrass research plots. Nematode counts were taken from soil samples at the time of planting and every 90 days during the growing seasons of 2009 and 2010.

of plant breeding) and graduate student Wenjing Pang, initiated studies to evaluate Bermudagrass and seashore paspalum cultivars for their abilities to resist nematode infestations (2,

answer those types of questions. Use of plant resistance and tolerance is the most long-lasting and environmentally friendly method for controlling pests.”

In May 2008 and April 2009

health was determined by evaluating root lengths and turf density every three months throughout the growing season (2, 3, 4, 5). Results of the studies showed that the change in

Celebration (27%), Floradwarf (32%), Tifway (33%), and TifSport (93%). However, although TifSport Bermudagrass appeared to be more effective at suppressing the reproduction of sting nematodes in the field, the population of spiral nematodes increased 123-fold in those same TifSport plots (2, 4).

The study revealed not only differences in nematode populations between Bermudagrass cultivars, but also differences between Bermudagrass and seashore paspalum. Seashore-paspalum was a more desirable host to spiral nematodes than it was for sting nematodes. The population densities of spiral nematodes increased 177-, 106-, and 214-fold, while sting nematodes decreased by 69%,

“Nematode species composition and population density depends on lots of factors, including the content of sand, silt, clay, and organic matter that is present in the soil, depth to the water table, compaction, drainage, and presence or absence of natural enemies.” – DR. WILLIAM CROW

3, 4, 5). “I am often asked by golf course superintendents if a particular cultivar is resistant or has fewer problems with nematodes than other cultivars,” explains Dr. Crow. “I really wanted to have some research results to

through 2010, two field studies were conducted. Nematode populations in each plot were recorded on the same day the plots were planted. Soil samples were collected every 90 days after planting, and turfgrass

sting nematode populations on Bermudagrass plots depended on the cultivar. Populations of sting nematodes increased in Champion (37%) and Mini Verde (40%), but dropped in Tifgreen (4%), TifEagle (18%),

96%, and 86%, respectively, in the seashore paspalum cultivars Aloha, SeaDwarf, and Sea Isle I within two years (3).

Although seashore paspalum is less affected by sting nematodes, it is more susceptible to damage by spiral nematodes. In other words, choosing seashore-paspalum over Bermudagrass is largely a tradeoff from sting to spiral nematodes. “Both species are damaged by sting nematode, but seashore paspalum has a more vigorous root system that makes it more tolerant than Bermudagrass,” says Dr. Crow. “This is why you often see seashore paspalum contaminants outgrowing Bermudagrass in sting nematode-infested areas. However, seashore paspalum is more susceptible to damage from spiral nematodes, which rarely damage Bermudagrass.”




Do these results mean that the dominant species of nematode in a soil sample depends mostly on the turfgrass species (host) that is growing there? Dr. Crow cautions that it is much more complicated than that assumption. “Nematode species composition and population density depends on lots of factors, including the content of sand, silt, clay, and organic matter that is present in the soil, depth to the water table, compaction, drainage, and presence or absence of natural enemies. The susceptibility of the host plant is one of the biggest factors involved, but not the only one,” says Dr. Crow. “We look at the nematodes from thousands of turfgrass samples each year, so I often can pick up trends, such as seeing greater numbers of a certain type of nematode on a particular cultivar. This research gave me the opportunity to confirm some of these observations.”

The results seem to suggest that where several nematode species are present, the pres-

ence of one nematode species may inhibit the population growth of other nematode species. If so, is there evidence that this is more than a competitive effect for susceptible hosts? “Yes, we noticed that as sting nematodes increased, spiral nematodes decreased, and vice versa. We have since confirmed this with greenhouse experiments. Interestingly, in our field experiments, spiral nematode numbers got highest on seashore paspalum, whereas sting nematode numbers got highest on Bermudagrass, with the exception of TifSport,” explained Dr. Crow. “I suspect that there is more going on than just competitive effects. This is something I hope to do further research on.”

Dr. Crow is quick to emphasize that none of the tested Bermudagrass or seashore paspalum cultivars were truly resistant. “Nematologists define a resistant plant as one that the nematode cannot reproduce on. Based on that, we did not identify any true resistance in commercial cultivars because the nematodes were able to reproduce on all of them. What we did identify was tolerance – cultivars that could deal with nematode feeding better than others,” said Dr. Crow.

“Based on our results, for fairways and tee boxes infested with sting nematodes, switching from Tifway to Celebration or TifSport would likely reduce the amount of nematode damage. I know of golf courses in Florida that have made this switch and have been able to reduce greatly the frequency of nematicide applications. On greens, we found that all the ultradwarfs evaluated suffered



University of Florida field studies showed that sting nematodes (top and bottom images) were much more prevalent in plots of Bermudagrass cultivars (with the exception of TifSport), while spiral nematodes (middle image) were found in much higher numbers on seashore paspalum cultivars.

more nematode damage than the dwarfs did. If sting nematodes are a major concern, this should be considered in the decision of what cultivar of Bermudagrass to use.”

Dr. Crow also notes that the visual damage by nematodes is more prevalent as more stress is placed on the turf and the golf course industry expands its use of ultradwarf Bermudagrasses. “Nematode problems will likely increase if trends toward increased putting speed, lower mowing heights, and use of ultradwarf cultivars continue. This puts more stress on the turf and makes the turf less tolerant to nematodes. Similarly, the increased use of ultradwarf Bermudagrasses in my region has increased nematode problems.”

Although nematodes are extensive on Florida golf courses, Dr. Crow explains the damage to golf course turf is certainly not restricted to the sandy soils of the Sunshine State. “In general, the further north a course is located, the less likely nematode problems will

Kansas, and California. In the United Kingdom, the root-knot nematode was not a problem until they started using sand-based construction.”

In addition to using cultivars that are more tolerant of nematodes, other management practices can tip the scales toward or away from nematode damage. “In Florida, we have found that overseeding doubles the nematode populations on Bermudagrass in the spring. Raising mowing heights and anything else that reduces turf stress will improve tolerance to nematodes,” says Dr. Crow. “Good turf maintenance practices, like aerating, that promote root health and the use of soil amendments that increase the soil’s nutrient-supplying and water retention capabilities can help turf tolerate the negative effects of nematodes.”

Dr. Crow emphasizes the effect that soil temperature has on nematode activity. Although nematode damage will most often be visible during hot, stressful months, nematode damage to turfgrass roots occurs mostly

will move deeper in the soil where it is cooler during high summer temperatures. The root reductions caused by these nematodes generally occur during the spring or fall, while the above-ground damage may not be seen until the summer, when the turf is under the most stress. I recommend sampling early, while the turf is actively growing, and treating if needed at that time. Be proactive — it is much better to treat early and avoid nematode damage than to try to fix a problem.”

Finally, Dr. Crow notes that there are new chemistries being developed for nematode control, however it is still important to have a multiple-control strategy. “I am currently working with several new active ingredients, some of which are promising. There is a strong possibility that there will be at least one new nematicide coming out in a couple of years, with more to follow.”

Is development of resistance to nematicides something that superintendents should anticipate? “With older chemistries like fenamiphos (Nemacur) and 1,3-dichloropropene (Curfew), this has not been documented as a problem. What does happen is that with repeated applications, populations of microbes build that rapidly break down the chemical so that it does not get a chance to work properly. This is called ‘enhanced microbial degradation’ and was a very common problem with Nemacur,” notes Dr. Crow.

“This could also become a problem with biopesticides and new chemicals, and it is something I will watch for. Many of the newer chemistries have more intricate modes of action, targeting specific pathways in the target pest. These tend to have more resistance problems than older chemistries, so chemical resistance could

become more of a problem in the future. This is why it is critical to have multiple control strategies to rely on, including the right choice of turfgrass.” **GCI**

Jeff Nuss, Ph.D., research manager, USGA Green Section

Literature cited

1. Crow, W. T. 2005. Biologically derived alternative to Nemacur. *Golf Course Management* 73(1):147-150. (TGIF Record Number 100263)
2. Pang, W., J. E. Luc, W. T. Crow, K. E. Kenworthy, R. M. Giblin-Davis, R. McSorley, and J. K. Kruse. 2011. Bermudagrass cultivar responses to sting nematodes. *Crop Science* 51:2199-2203. (TGIF Record Number 187684)
3. Pang, W., J. E. Luc, W. T. Crow, K. E. Kenworthy, R. McSorley, J. K. Kruse, and R. M. Giblin-Davis. 2011. Responses of seashore paspalum cultivars to sting and spiral nematodes. *Crop Science* 51:2864-2867. (TGIF Record Number 192063)
4. Pang, W., J. E. Luc, W. T. Crow, K. E. Kenworthy, R. McSorley, and R. M. Giblin-Davis. 2011. Screening Bermudagrass germplasm accessions for tolerance to sting nematodes. *HortScience* 46:1503-1506. (TGIF Record Number 193262)
5. Pang, W., W. T. Crow, and K. E. Kenworthy. 2010. Bermudagrass and seashore paspalum cultivar response to the sting nematode. Page 19. In J. L. Nus (ed.). 2010 USGA Turfgrass and Environmental Research Summary. United States Golf Association, Far Hills, N.J. (TGIF Record Number 173823)

“Nematode problems will likely increase if trends toward increased putting speed, lower mowing heights, and use of ultradwarf cultivars continue. — DR. WILLIAM CROW

develop, because there will be fewer generations of nematodes per year. On fairways and tees, nematodes will seldom be a problem outside of sandy areas adjacent to the Gulf and Atlantic coasts,” says Dr. Crow. “However, sand-based greens are ideal habitat for most parasitic nematodes, wherever they are located. Sting nematodes are being spread by human activity and are now a problem on greens in Texas, Tennessee,

in the spring and fall, when nematodes are most active.

“The optimum soil temperature range for nematodes is 70-80°F. When it is cooler, their activity slows down and they are relatively dormant around 55°F. In warm coastal areas from South Carolina through Texas, it stays warm enough for these nematodes to stay active throughout most of the winter. High soil temperatures (over 90°F) will kill them, so they

The Size of Topdressing Sand

Does it matter?

Superintendents welcome techniques that improve the efficiency of operations on the golf course. The incorporation of topdressing sand into a turfgrass canopy is one of those practices where a gain in efficiency is beneficial. Significant time and other resources can be spent on managing the sand particles left on the putting surface after most of the topdressing is incorporated. These remnant particles are typically large (fine gravel, very coarse, or coarse particles, depending on the quality of the sand) and interfere with mowing and potentially play, if not removed. Blowers can be used to remove these particles, but at the cost of more labor and fuel. Daily mowing eventually removes these large particles, but at the cost of increased mower maintenance through more frequent sharpening and replacement of bedknives and reels.

The incorporation of topdressing sand is more difficult on turf maintained at lower mowing heights and with plant growth regulation that increases shoot density, calculated as the number of turfgrass shoots per square inch. Additionally, newer cultivars developed for putting greens have much greater shoot density compared to older cultivars. Topdressing sand increases the firmness of a putting green surface due to the “bridging” of sand particles within the turf canopy and layer of mat or thatch. However, the bridging among sand particles and with plant material also contributes to the difficulty of incorporating sand.

Techniques to improve the incorporation of topdressing sand include:

- Using dry sand.
- Drying the putting surface before applying the topdressing.
- Verticutting or grooming the putting surface before applying the topdressing.

This article is reprinted from the September, 2012, vol. 50 (no.19) issue of the USGA Green Section Record. Copyright United States Golf Association. All rights reserved.



A significant amount of time and resources is spent on managing the sand particles that remain on the putting surface after topdressing is incorporated.

- Applying the topdressing more frequently at lower application rates.
- Using a sand with fewer large particles.

Movement of sand particles into the turf canopy and mat of a putting surface is inhibited by moisture, regardless of whether the water is within the sand or turf itself. Water acts like glue causing the sand particles to stick to each other (bridge) and to the leaves (and other parts) of the grass plants as well. This bridging effect impedes the movement of sand deep into the turf. Practices such as grooming and verticutting are done to open the turf canopy and reduce the amount of bridging, allowing more of the sand particles to fall deeper into the turf canopy and thatch. Topdress-

Summary Points

- Sand topdressing, regardless of sand size, has yet to provide consistent effects on surface firmness or volumetric water content in either trial. More differences may emerge as cumulative amounts of sand topdressing increase throughout subsequent years of these trials. A drum roller equipped with golf shoe spikes is being designed and constructed to simulate foot traffic on these plots in 2012. Surface firmness across treatments may become more apparent once traffic is implemented.

- On velvet bentgrass turf, topdressing sand applied every two weeks, particularly at 100 pounds per 1,000 square feet, provided better turf quality compared to the non-topdressed plots. With repeated treatment, plots topdressed with medium fine sand eventually had better turf quality than plots topdressed with medium-coarse sand.

- Regardless of sand size, topdressing annual bluegrass every two weeks improved turf quality compared to the non-topdressed plots. In addition, anthracnose disease symptoms were less severe in all topdressed plots by late summer.

- To date, we have not observed any negative effects of topdressing with finer sand on either velvet bentgrass or annual bluegrass maintained as putting green turf. Please note that the finer sands being used in these trials were dominated by medium sand with less than three percent very fine sand content and essentially no silt or clay content. We will continue these topdressing treatments and observations during 2012.



Many superintendents have adopted programs using one sand to fill aeration holes and a finer sand to topdress the surfaces.

ing at lower rates also serves to reduce the bridging of sand particles because particles are not as close together, thus improving incorporation. It is essential, however, that lower rates of topdressing be applied more frequently to achieve the same total rate of topdressing, otherwise the objective for topdressing will not be realized.

Many have adopted the strategy of selecting sand that contains no fine gravel (2 to 3.4 mm particle size diameter) or very coarse sand (1 to 2 mm) to improve incorporation of topdressing. More recently, some are selecting sands that do not contain coarse sand (0.5 to 1 mm), which further improves the ability to incorporate the topdressing, especially when it is dry. While these “cleaner” sands greatly improve incorporation, there is concern that sand less than 0.5 mm in size has the potential to negatively change the physical properties of the developing mat (thatch) layer of a putting green.

POTENTIAL ISSUES. Eliminating the larger particles results in more of the particles being similar in size, and this is referred to as poorly or uniformly

graded. Uniformly graded sands are more susceptible to instability problems, meaning that the sand particles may shift under traffic. Additionally, finer sand can retain more water and slow its movement. The extent to which these concerns are actually a problem in the context of topdressing is not fully understood. For example, some finer sands, despite being uniformly graded, can pack together and be more stable than coarser sand. Moreover, what we know about the behavior of sands is typically drawn from studies of sand-based rootzones rather than topdressing sand applied to an accumulating mat (thatch) layer.

In an attempt to offset any potential negative impacts of finer topdressing sand, some superintendents are using two sand sizes. This approach uses a coarser sand for the backfill after core aeration, and a finer sand is used for surface topdressing applications. Thus, the concept is to manage any potentially negative effects by coring out the mat layer containing finer sand and replacing it with coarse sand backfill. It is not clear whether this “dual sand” concept will be sufficient to



Research has shown that frequent applications of topdressing help to manage disease issues.

offset any negative effects of the finer sand, presuming that negative effects actually occur.

RESEARCH AT RUTGERS. Two research trials were recently initiated at Rutgers University to evaluate the effects of topdressing sand varying in particle size distribution on turfgrass quality and surface firmness. Our trials compare the use of coarse medium and medium-fine sands on turfs with different thatching tendencies.

Our first field trial was initiated in



The goals of a topdressing program are to manage the organic debris.

2010 on Greenwich velvet bentgrass putting green turf, which has a great thatching tendency. The plots were mowed daily at 0.11 inch with a triplex mower. Irrigation was applied to these plots but only enough to relieve the initial signs of wilt stress, which serves as the indicator to apply water. Either coarse-medium or medium-fine sand was applied every two weeks at 50 or 100 pounds per 1,000 square feet. The plots were evaluated for turf quality, turf color, sand presence, digital image analysis, post-topdressing clipping collection, volumetric water content (0- to 1.5-inch depth), and surface hardness (Clegg Impact Soil Tester [2.25 and 0.5 kg] and USGA TruFirm).

Substantial differences in firmness or quality were not apparent during 2010; however, all topdressing treatments displayed better turfgrass quality than the non-topdressed check plots by early June 2011. By the end of June 2011, a topdressing rate effect was observed. Plots topdressed at 100 pounds per 1,000 square feet had better turfgrass quality than plots top-

dressed at 50 pounds per 1,000 square feet. Additionally, the medium fine sand started to produce better turf quality than the coarse-medium sand during 2011. It was also becoming more evident as the study continued that topdressing sand needed to be applied at the rate of 100 pounds per 1,000 square feet to observe differences between these two sand sizes.

The amount of sand left on the turf surface after topdressing events was different among the sands. As expected, it took more time for the turf surface to become clear of sand when topdressing was done with the coarse medium sand topdressing or at the rate of 100 pounds per 1,000 square feet. Additionally, the amount of sand harvested during mowing was affected the sand was reduced, less sand was removed by mowing. The critical issue that must be evaluated is, will the use of a finer topdressing sand applied over coarser-textured soils have any long-term ramifications? Will infiltration be affected negatively, and/or will free drainage within the profile be unaffected? These issues will be evaluated as research continues.

A second field trial was initiated in late June 2011 on annual bluegrass putting green turf. Three sand sizes are being used in this trial: a medium coarse sand, a medium sand (the medium-coarse sand sieved to remove coarse sand with a #35 sieve, 500- μ m screen), and a medium-fine sand. Topdressing was applied at 50 pounds per 1,000 square feet every 14 days during the summer months. Data collection in this trial was similar to the velvet bentgrass trial. Additionally, anthracnose severity was evaluated every seven to 10 days.

All topdressing treatments had as good or better turfgrass quality than the non-topdressed plots. As expected, more anthracnose disease was observed on the non-topdressed plots compared to all of the plots receiving topdressing sand. No differences among sand sizes were observed in the first year of this trial. **GCI**

(MORGAHAN continued from page 12)

putting greens might be mown three times a week – if I'm lucky.

I wish someone would hold up a "Quiet Please" sign when I was getting ready to swing. Every hiccup drives the pros crazy, to say nothing of planes flying overhead (or even more ridiculous, the blimp!), the ring of a cell phone (owned by a spectator, who has paid for the opportunity of getting in to watch the tournament), the click of a camera in the hands of a fan.

At a recent LPGA event, a lone spectator was walking behind the green 85 yards away, totally flustering the player, causing her to back off her shot and start her four-minute pre-swing routine all over again. Can you imagine her in my group? She'd have to put up with me and my partners passing wind (on purpose), gabbing on the cell phone, and the squeal of cart breaks three feet from the tee. I get that I'm not playing for a million dollars, but come on...

I guess one privilege of being really, really good is that you don't have to deal with everyday annoyances and inconsistencies. But really: Aren't they part of the challenge and the fun?

Before superintendents and the rest of management go crazy trying to replicate the perfect golf experience for Mr. and Mrs. Average Golfer, they should think about expectations. Do I want the perfect triangle stack of Pro-V1s, my name on a range sign, and ropes separating me from the riff-raff? Sure. But do I expect it? Do I need it? Am I willing to pay for it? No. And will it truly improve the experience? Not enough to make it worth anyone's while to provide it. Not in this economy.

Superintendents, in particular, should not put so much pressure on themselves, and their crews to create superhuman conditions. There are acceptable limits, levels of quality that will make us more than happy. Most people playing on most courses not only aren't elite golfers, they would not know what to do if they did encounter perfect. It would probably make them too nervous to take a divot.

I'm not saying "real" courses – public and private – should abandon their standards and dumb-down their service and conditioning. But they should be realistic about their audience and their budgets. Spend where it makes sense, provide the best possible experience, do the most they can to move people around and let them have fun. We're not playing "perfect" and should not expect to.

As a very accomplished PGA Tour player once told me as I was fuming over a poorly hit shot, "Tim, you're not good enough to get mad!" **GCI**



LYNCH PIN

Eric Kulaas, equipment manager, at the Renaissance Vinoy Resort & Golf Club, St. Petersburg, Fla., designed and built a magnetic lynch pin that stays in place on the hitch and will not bounce out on bumpy terrain. Kulaas purchased them

from Graingers where 25 pound round magnets are used for 3/8-inch and 1/2-inch diameter lynch pins and 65 pound round magnets for 5/8-inch and 3/4-inch diameter lynch pins. The round magnets are bonded to a disk, a center hole is drilled-out and then a larger disk is welded to the lynch pin and they work quite well. It

took about 15 minutes to build each lynch pin (if three or four are done it takes even less time for each one) and the material costs were less than \$15 each.



TRAILERS IN A ROW

The Rubbermaid 15-cubic-foot trailers have been modified with Club Car Carryall 3/4-inch or 1-inch used hubs and axles. The original wheel bushings wore out quickly so standard golf cart wheels and tires are used. The tongues were lengthened about 18 inches to hook-up to a trailer or to a Carryall so they do not hit the bed when backing-up or turning sharply. The dump features have been "welded-out" to make them "user proof." When the plastic tub wears out (they are over 12 years old), they buy another one and it is bolted to the frame. Typically two trailers are attached in tandem and used when mowing greens or tees to haul clippings. An additional two (for a total of four) are linked together to also haul trash from the course. All six trailers are rarely hooked-up together, but when they are they easily haul light-weight pine straw bales. Eric Kulaas, equipment manager, at the Renaissance Vinoy Resort & Golf Club, St. Petersburg, Fla., designed the modifications to the trailers that are now worth about \$1,000 each. It took about three to four hours to modify them. **GC**



JRM Inc.
Innovative Turf Technology
Worldwide Manufacturer of Turfgrass Maintenance Products

Mention "Graden" For a free gift!

GRINDING WHEELS • BEDKNIVES • BLADES • BLOCKS • TINES

NEW 2012 Products:
4 NEW Graden Blades
Vulcan Bedknife Grinding Wheels

888-576-7007 or 336-354-1243
JRM Inc. • USA Product Manufacturer • Welcome, NC
www.jrmonline.com