

Take the sure shot on ants and surface- feeders.

TABLE 2. Sand particle shape characteristics, calculated physical properties, angle of repose and modified pocket penetrometer values of commercially available sand materials from various regions in the United States.

Sand	Sphericity	Angularity	GMD†	Cu‡	GI§	Angle of repose	Penetrometer
		mm				degrees	kg cm ⁻²
Autumn Gold	Medium	Subangular	0.60	2.00	3.24	30.3	1.78
Bunker Sand	Medium	Subangular	0.66	3.63	2.00	31.1	1.79
Caylor White Sand	Low	Angular	0.66	1.82	3.32 3	2.5	1.44
Crushed Limestone	Medium	Angular	0.95	1.86	3.53	34.9	3.32
Extra Firm Bunker Sand	Medium	Subangular	0.59	2.85	6.23	31.6	1.80
Fine Topdressing Sand	Medium	Subrounded	0.35	3.60	2.40	30.4	1.57
Glass beads	High	Rounded	0.71	1.61	2.57	21.8	0.10
Gray Walreth Double Wash	Medium	Subangular	0.63	2.22	3.83	34.4	2.12
Green Plus	Medium	Subangular	0.71	2.38	5.24	33.1	1.38
Holliday (Banner Springs)	Medium	Subangular	0.63	3.94	2.24	32.0	1.64
Holliday (Miss. River)	Medium	Subangular	0.70	3.70	1.91	31.4	1.64
Klassic White Sand	Low	Angular	0.67	2.11	4.74	34.8	1.80
Kosse White B.S.	Medium	Rounded	0.54	1.47	2.41	30.8	1.72
Orlando White	Medium	Subangular	0.55	2.20	3.87	31.6	1.44
Pro Angle	Medium	Very angular	0.72	3.33	7.78	33.1	2.84
ProWhite Bunker Sand	Low	Very angular	0.60	2.50	4.69	33.4	2.07
Putting Green Sand	Medium	Subangular	0.70	5.28	2.56	32.2	1.65
Shelby Bunker Sand	Medium	Subrounded	0.71	2.00	3.79	31.6	1.30
Sidley # 1600	Medium	Subangular	0.56	2.25	4.17	32.4	1.64
Stone White Sand	Medium	Subangular	0.50	1.53	2.53	32.9	1.20
Tan Bunker Sand	Medium	Subangular	0.71	2.43	3.96	34.2	1.52
Tour Grade 50/50	Medium	Subangular	0.68	2.72	8.89	35.4	1.94
Tour Grade 535	Medium	Subangular	0.57	1.82	2.76	30.7	1.24
Tour Grade Signature	Low	Angular	0.71	3.06	8.89	33.9	1.59
USGA Bunker Sand	Medium	Subrounded	0.63	2.35	8.41	30.9	0.98
White Bunker Sand	Medium	Subangular	0.63	4.76	2.65	34.6	2.80

† Geometric mean particle diameter (GMD) = calculated from the sand particle size distribution.

‡ Cu (Coefficient of uniformity) = where D60/D10; "acceptable value" = 2 to 4, higher value = less uniformity, optimum value = 2 to 3, a value < 2 less likely to pack tightly.

§ GI (Gradation index) = where D90/D10; lower values indicate a higher potential for surface instability, acceptable range 3 to 6, preferred range 4 to 5.



Talstar® Select insecticide and Talstar GC granular insecticide are the number one ant and surface-feeder brands that help you protect your course with confidence. From cutworms, webworms and armyworms to billbugs, chinch bugs and fire ants, these products provide long-residual control without damaging delicate grasses. When you want the most trusted brand in the industry on your side, it's Talstar – every time.

For more information visit
www.fmcproolutions.com.

Talstar. Trusted Because It Works.

Always read and follow label directions. FMC and Talstar are trademarks of FMC Corporation. © 2008 FMC Corporation. All rights reserved. FSP-072902 02/08/NK

Talstar
INSECTICIDES

Research

doubling of the sand's bearing capacity or a much firmer sand root zone surface (2, 3). For bunker sands that need to infiltrate and drain rapidly, the addition of significant fines would be risky because it might result in excess water retention

and make the sand more prone to erosion when installed on slopes.

In addition to particle size distribution, sand particle shape has a strong influence on playing quality and maintenance. Particle shape is

classified by examining the relative sharpness of particle edges and the overall particle shape, referred to as angularity and sphericity, or roundness. These characteristics can have a strong influence on surface firmness and resistance to erosion. For example, a low-sphericity, very angular sand generally has a high surface strength and would likely stay in place on bunker faces. By contrast, a high-sphericity, rounded sand is more likely to be soft and more prone to erosion during regular maintenance or following irrigation and rainfall events.

Complicating the bunker sand selection process are subjective qualitative characteristics, such as color or immediate cost, which often strongly influence the final decision with little thought being placed on the possible implications regarding long-term maintenance needs or costs.

The objectives of this laboratory study were to (1) characterize the physical properties of a wide variety of commercially available sand sized materials that are being used in golf course sand bunkers and (2) determine if certain physical properties can be used as predictors for sand surface hardness or resistance to golf ball penetration as measured using a modified pocket penetrometer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-six sand materials were collected from a variety of sand suppliers throughout the United States (Table 1). About one gallon of each sample was obtained, air-dried and mixed well before analysis. Subsamples (60 grams) from the center of each sand were removed and oven-dried to determine particle size distribution using the pipet method and dry sieving on three replicate samples. The remaining sand was used to determine firmness as measured by resistance to penetration with a modified pocket penetrometer.

Each sample was placed in a 15-cm-diameter round plastic vessel and compacted to a 10-cm depth. The modified penetrometer was inserted using even and steady pressure until one-half the depth of a USGA-approved golf ball was buried. The value was recorded, and the device reset. This procedure was replicated five times, the sand surface was resmoothed, and the test vessel was repacked to the desired depth between measurements.

To determine angle of repose, 20-gram samples of oven-dried sand were placed in a 26-mm-diameter plastic centrifuge tube with a



After 45 years of experience, Turfco® is the #1 brand of topdressers and material handlers.

THE WIDESPIN™ 1530 IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THE REASON WHY:

- **Patented 3-position switch.** Guarantees precise application and the new throttle switch reduces engine RPM for quieter transport.
- **Patented WideSpin technology.** The widest range of topdressing—from 15' heavy after aeration to 30' super light and every application in between.
- **Adjustable angle of spinners from 0-15°.** Allows for broadcast applications or to drive the sand into the turf.
- **Rust-free, galvanized hopper.** Worry-free durability—no paint to get sanded away.
- **Versatile.** Available as a tow-behind or truck-mounted for all popular turf vehicles.



For a demo, or to request product information, call 1-800-679-8201 or visit turfco.com.



THE LEADER. SINCE 1961.

Turfco's #1 ranking is according to the National Golf Foundation's Turf Brand Share Report.

www.golfcourseindustry.com/readerservice - #71

5-mm-diameter opening at the bottom that was mounted perpendicular to a standard microscope stage. On the microscope stage, a circular pad marked with a measurement scale (marked in mm) radiated out from a central point. The tube was placed flush in the center of the measurement scale, and the sand was installed. The tube was raised slowly and steadily until all sand exited. The distance from the center of the scale to the edge of the resultant sand cone was recorded at eight locations and the height of the sand cone measured using calipers to the nearest millimeter. This process was repeated three times, and the average radius and cone height were used to calculate angle of repose. Additionally, each sand was visually evaluated for overall particle shape and color using angularity/sphericity and Munsell color charts (data not presented), respectively.

The particle size distribution of each sand was used to calculate geometric mean diameter, coefficient of uniformity and gradation index (4, 5, 6). In addition to the bunker sand materials, three materials were included for general comparison. These standards included a medium-coarse putting green root zone sand, a medium-fine topdressing sand and rounded laboratory glass beads.

WHAT WE DISCOVERED

In the laboratory study, we evaluated a variety of commercially available sand products from several regions of the United States. The sands included naturally mined sands, screened and washed sands, as well as some manufactured sands generated by a rock-crushing process. In addition to the bunker sand products, three sand-sized materials were included for general comparison. These standards included a putting green root zone sand, a fine sand topdressing and laboratory glass beads. All sands were evaluated for visual characteristics such as particle shape and color, but also for their general physical properties (Table 1).

As expected, sand color varied widely ranging from white to cream, tan and brown (data not presented). Of all selection characteristics, color appears to be the most subjective criteria and should be one of the last factors considered when selecting a sand for bunker use.

Probably one of the more routine measurements conducted on sands is that of determining the sand's particle size distribution. Once the particle size distribution is determined, this data can sometimes be used to infer physical

performance characteristics. Three properties – geometric mean diameter, the coefficient of uniformity and gradation index – were calculated from the particle size distribution. As expected, there was a wide range in particle size distribution which resulted in quite a bit of variation in the associated calculated values.

For GMD, which is one method for distilling a PSD down into a single value and provides an overall sense for the relative coarseness or fineness of the sand, values ranged from 0.35 to 0.95 mm (Table 1). Although this is a convenient method for reducing a PSD down into a single manageable value, it can also be somewhat misleading. For example, the laboratory glass beads had a very narrow PSD with 100 percent of the particles in the 0.5 and 0.25 mm size classes and a GMD of 0.71. This value was identical to four other sand materials with dramatically different PSDs.

Based on the very narrow PSD of the glass beads, it would be predicted this material would be rather unstable or soft, simply because of the lack of bigger or smaller size classes necessary to fill in voids around the existing two size classes and increase surface stability. Generally, however, for a bunker sand, a minimum value greater than 0.5 mm would be desirable because below this value the sand may drain too slowly when installed in low-lying bunker bottoms.

For the coefficient of uniformity, which is a numerical expression of how uniform the particle sizes are and another value that could be used to predict how likely sand particles are to pack, the values ranged from 1.47 to 5.28. Some references suggest acceptable Cu values are between 2 and 4 (5). Generally, a higher value suggests less uniformity and a greater range of particle sizes. Cu values below 2 suggest a tendency for the particles to pack less tightly. Of the sands evaluated, 19 of the 26 sands fell within the acceptable range.

A similarly calculated property is the gradation index, for which values ranged from 1.91 to 8.89. For GI values, lower values indicate a higher potential for surface instability with a suggested acceptable range of 3 to 6 and a preferred range of 4 to 5. For these sands, 11 of the 26 fell in the acceptable range, while only three were in the preferred range and included Green Plus, Pro White bunker sand, and Sidley #1600.

In addition to analysis of data associated with the PSD, visual inspection of the sand particles resulted in a substantial variation. For sphericity or roundness, the sands ranged from low to

Never call 'mulligan' on moss again.



QuickSilver® herbicide is the best solution for control of silvery thread moss on bentgrass greens and tees. It's proven to reduce up to 90% of moss in a multiple application management program. Best of all, this product is extremely gentle on turf and most creeping bentgrass varieties. QuickSilver makes fast work of moss to help keep your greens fast and flawless.

For more information visit
www.fmcprosolutions.com.

QuickSilver. Real Moss Control.

Always read and follow label directions. FMC and QuickSilver are trademarks of FMC Corporation. © 2008 FMC Corporation. All rights reserved. FSP-069701 02/08NK


QuickSilver
HERBICIDE



Get the look of a champion.

The best recovery shot on the course, Tournament-Ready® Soil Surfactant is the ideal wetting agent for dry spot problem areas. And the Pro-Ap® hose-end liquid applicator features an adjustable product rate setting for quick, consistent application. Get a free Pro-Ap with qualifying purchase.



Tournament-Ready®
SOIL SURFACANT

www.kalo.com



Research



No Water? No Sewer?

No Problem.

The Clivus Multrum M54 Trailhead is an odorless composting toilet system with handicapped accessible bathroom structure. Ideal for mid-course, the Trailhead structure is available in single or double-stall configurations and a variety of finishes. And like all Clivus systems, the Trailhead is odorless and does not pollute.

Call Clivus today to learn more about why the Trailhead is the Natural Solution for your golf course restroom.



800-425-4887

www.clivusmultrum.com

www.golfcourseindustry.com/readerservice - #74

high, with most sands possessing a medium sphericity. The laboratory glass beads were highly spherical. For angularity, the sands ranged from subangular to very angular, with the majority of sands possessing a subangular shape. Generally, a more angular and less rounded sand has a higher tendency to pack tightly and result in a desirable firm sand characteristic.

One additional measurement that might help laboratories predict sand firmness is the angle of repose (Table 2). This measurement, which is a calculation expressed as degrees, is derived from measuring the mean diameter of the base and apex height of a dry sand cone. Coarser textured, more angular sands with wider PSDs are more likely to stack higher, resulting in a narrower base and taller cone apex and ultimately a greater angle of repose. For the sands evaluated in this study, the angle of repose values ranged from 21.8 to 35.4 degrees. The lowest values occurred for the rounded laboratory glass beads and the highest value was associated with Tour Grade 50/50. Most sands had an angle of repose between 31 and 32 degrees.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES AND FIRMNESS

Besides the highly subjective characteristic color, one of the most important bunker sand properties is firmness manifested as resistance to golf ball penetration or the sand's ability to avoid producing a buried golf ball lie. The values for the modified pocket penetrometer ranged from 0.1 to 3.32 kg cm⁻², with higher values being more desirable (Table 2).

When interpreting this data, the scale most often used is presented in Table 3. Of the sands evaluated, only five sands had a penetrometer value greater than 2.0 kg cm⁻², while the majority of the sands were between 1.2 and 2.0 kg cm⁻². As expected, the rounded laboratory glass beads with a narrow particle size distribution produced a penetrometer value of 0.1 kg cm⁻² and was softest. Generally, a value greater than 2.2 kg cm⁻² would be desirable as it's purported to have only a slight tendency to produce a buried golf ball lie.

NO STRONG INDICATOR

When evaluating all the physical data for these bunker sands, no single measured or calculated property was a strong indicator or predictor that could be correlated with penetrometer

weedalert.com

The Turf Professional's Free On-line Source for Weed ID and IPM Control Recommendations

- Weed ID Photos
- Regional Alerts
- Control Options
- Turf School Links

TEST YOUR WEED IQ! WEEKLY CONTEST-

Correct ID
Can Win This
Magic Mug
With Disappearing Ink




An Industry Service From



An Employee-Owned Company

www.golfcourseindustry.com/readerservice - #75

You Can't Control the Weather. Why Let It Control Your Nitrogen?



Record High Temps in March
Abnormally Cool Temperatures Persist
Highs only in the upper 40s

Rain Expected to Continue
At least six more days of wet weather predicted

UMAXX
STABILIZED NITROGEN FERTILIZER

Greener, Faster, Longer™



University research findings are available
online: www.stabilizednitrogen.com

UMAXX® *StabilizedNitrogen™* puts you in control of your results and doesn't rely on microbial action or specific weather conditions to start working. And UMAXX doesn't stop working after heavy rains. The patented Stabilized Nitrogen technology in UMAXX keeps nitrogen in plant-available form for a longer period of time, reducing or eliminating the potential for volatilization, leaching, or denitrification. UMAXX provides consistently better results in every category:

- Better color & quality
- Increased nitrogen efficiency
- Extended nitrogen availability
- Environmentally sound for soil & turf

When comparing nitrogen fertilizers, demand research results. Talk to your peers. Run your own trial. See the UMAXX difference!

UMAXX is a registered trademark of AGROTAIN International, LLC.

values. Although five sands had penetrometer values greater than 2.0 kg cm^{-2} , the GMD, Cu, GI and angle of repose data was highly variable. For example, crushed limestone had the highest penetrometer value, 3.32, but a Cu that was less

than 2.0 and a GI of 3.53. This indicates that other properties might influence sand surface hardness such as particle surface roughness. The two firmest sand products, crushed limestone and Pro Angle with penetrometer values of 3.32

and 2.84 kg cm^{-2} , respectively, were mechanically crushed products. This process might affect surface roughness and allow the particle surface architecture to bridge or link with adjacent particles better than naturally mined materials.

When evaluating sands for golf course bunker use, enlisting the assistance of an accredited testing laboratory is highly recommended. These laboratories can run a variety of physical tests and be extremely helpful during the selection process. In addition to the tests run in this study, these laboratories can also assess other properties like crusting potential and infiltration rate. Additionally, these laboratories probably are familiar with many of the existing, regionally available sands that might already have been characterized.

To date, data most helpful for determining surface hardness is the modified pocket penetrometer test. This test, however, has met with some criticism because of perceived reliability and variability in measurements among users. Other quantitative methods are under evaluation at several research laboratories. **GCI**

Cale Bigelow, Ph.D., is an assistant professor of agronomy at Purdue University in West Lafayette, Ind. Douglas Smith, Ph.D., is an associate professor with the USDA-ARS, National Soil Erosion Research Laboratory in West Lafayette, Ind.

Literature cited

1. Beard, J.B. 2002. Turf management for golf courses. 2nd ed. MacMillan, New York. (TGIF Record 73347)
2. Crum, J. R., T. F. Wolff, and J. N. III Rogers. 2003. Agronomic and engineering properties of USGA putting greens. *USGA Turfgrass and Environ. Res. Online* 2(15):1-11. (TGIF Record 91782)
3. Henderson, J. J., J. R. Crum, and J. N. III Rogers. 2005. Effects of particle size distribution and water content at compaction on saturated hydraulic conductivity and strength of high sand content root zone materials. *Soil Sci.* 170(5):315-324. (TGIF Record 105595)
4. Hillel, D.H. 1998. *Environmental soil physics*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
5. Hurdzan, M.J. 2004. Root zone sampling, testing and evaluation. Pages 223-254. In *Golf Greens: History, Design and Construction*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. Hoboken, NJ. (TGIF Record 97782)
6. Mazurak, A.P. 1950. Effect of gaseous phase on water-stable synthetic aggregates. *Soil Sci.* 69:135-148.
7. Moore, J.F. 1998. How to select the best sand for your bunkers. *USGA Green Section Record* 36(1):9-12. (TGIF Record 41527)
8. United States Golf Association. 1993. USGA recommendations for a method of putting green construction. *USGA Green Section Record* 31(2):1-33. (TGIF Record 26681)

SANDSTOR™
The Only Solution
FOR STORING
TOP DRESSING SAND

MERIDIAN
 MANUFACTURING GROUP
 WWW.MERIDIANMFG.COM

800-437-2334

www.golfcourseindustry.com/readerservice - #77

FREE FAST

GOLF COURSE INDUSTRY

Name _____
 Title _____
 Company _____
 Address _____
 City _____ State _____ Zip _____
 Phone _____
 Fax _____
 E-mail Address _____

For faster service fax to 330-659-4043

Check off the reader service numbers that you're interested in and mail in this card.

Or visit www.golfcourseindustry.com.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120
121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140
141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160
161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180
181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200
201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220
221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240
241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260
261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280
281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300
301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320
321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340
341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360

March 2008

GOLF COURSE INDUSTRY

Do you wish to receive/continue to receive a **FREE** subscription to Golf Course Industry?

Yes No

Signature _____
 Date _____
 Name _____
 Title _____
 Company _____
 Address _____
 City _____ State _____ Zip _____
 Phone _____
 Fax _____
 E-mail _____

GIE Media Inc will only use your email address to contact you with relevant industry or subscription information. If you do not want to receive email from trusted 3rd parties, please check here.

What is the best way to contact you for future renewals?
 _____ email _____ fax _____ telephone

Please allow 6-8 weeks for delivery of first issue. Incomplete forms will not be processed. Publisher reserves the right to reject all non-qualified subscription requests.

1. How Do You Want to Receive Your Subscription?
 1-Digital and Print
 2-Print Only
 3-Digital Only (No Print Copy Received) (a valid e-mail address is required for digital delivery)

2. What is your primary business at this location? (check one)
 21-Public Golf Course
 22-Private Golf Course
 23-Semi Private Golf Course
 24-Municipal/City/State Golf Course
 25-Hotel/Resort
 26-Par3/Executive Golf Course
 27-Practice Facility
 29-Other Golf Course
 30-Golf Course Management Company
 31-Golf Course Architect
 32-Golf Course Developer
 33-Golf Course Builder
 39-Supplier/Sales
 99- Others (please describe)

3. What best describes your title?
 A-Golf Course Superintendent
 B-Green Chairman
 C-Director of Golf/Head Pro
 D-Club President
 E-General Manager
 F-Golf Course Owner
 G-Builder/Developer
 H-Architect/Engineer
 I-Research Professional
 K-Assistant Superintendent
 L-Golf Course Management Company Executive
 Z-Others (please describe)

4. Number of Holes: (check one)
 A-9 Holes
 B-18 Holes
 C-27 Holes
 D-36 Holes
 E-Other

5. Total Annual Maintenance Budget: (check one)
 1-Less than \$50,000
 2-\$50,000-\$99,999
 3-\$100,000-\$249,999
 4-\$250,000-\$499,999

5-\$500,000-\$749,999
 6-\$750,000-\$1,000,000
 7-\$1,000,000+

7. Total Course Acreage _____

8. Course Renovation Plans for the Next 12 Months
 1-Full Reconstruction
 2-Partial Reconstruction
 3-Greens
 4-Tees
 5-Fairways
 6-Irrigation System
 7-No Renovations Planned

9. If Only a Partial Reconstruction is Planned, Please Indicate the Number of Holes _____

10. What is the Name of the Architect Who Designed the Course? _____


11. What Year was the Course Built? _____

12. Is this course part of a
 1-Resort Chain
 2-Golf Course Management Company
 3-Municipal Course System
 4-None of the above

13. What is the name of the Resort Chain, Golf Course Management Company, or Municipal Course System? _____

14. What turf do you maintain on fairways?
 1-Bentgrass
 2-Poa annua
 3-Poa/Bentgrass mix
 4-Bermudagrass
 5-Bluegrass
 6-Ryegrass
 7-Overseeded Rye/Bermuda
 8-Other (please describe)

15. What turf do you maintain on greens?
 1-Bentgrass
 2-Poa annua
 3-Poa/Bentgrass mix
 4-Bermudagrass
 5-Paspalum
 6-Other (please describe)



Postage
Required
Post Office will
not deliver
without proper
postage.

GOLF COURSE
INDUSTRY

PO BOX 532
RICHFIELD, OH 44286-0532



Postage
Required
Post Office will
not deliver
without proper
postage.

GOLF COURSE
INDUSTRY

PO BOX 2191
SKOKIE, IL 60076-7891

