Recreational use

I must say, having just read Jeff Brauer's column ("Shouldn't future courses be shorter? page 26) in the June issue of Golf Course Industry that I agree with every word. Just why golf course architects think that they have to apply their trade to the best golfers around rather than the average golfer is beyond me.

Perhaps the placement of an extra one or two tee boxes would help out the situation. My wife and I both enjoy our golf but we are reluctant to play some of the better courses in our area simply because they are too long. We are both in our early 70's and still play a pretty good game, but we need some "senior tees" out there.

David Hughlock Pomona, Calif.

EDITOR'S NOTE

Check out the GCI online for the BLOGROLL, a comprehensive list of superintendent and industry-related blogs, as well as the Golf Course Industry Super Blog of the Month.

Brauer feedback

I just wanted to let you know that I read Jeff Brauer's column almost every month and, as an architect, appreciate his common-sense (slightly sarcastic) approach to design, working with nature, reducing maintenance, etc. etc. The June column ("Should future courses be shorter?" page 26) regarding course length really struck a chord with me, so I had to write to say keep up the good work. I enjoyed it and agree 100 percent with his comments.

Mark Miller, Golf Course Architecture Miller Desaign Arvada, Colo.

Moderation

The planet will be OK. The carbon agenda ("Can golf save the planet?" March 2010) has a big element of self-interested envirofanatics in charge. Moderation is key.

John Hagg Indian Wells, Calif.

The second control of the control of

SHOULD FUTURE COURSES BE SHORTER?

Kudos

One helluva job on the article with Armen Suny ("The Road Less Traveled," June 2010, page 20). Thanks.

Larry "Red" Elliott Standard Golf Co.

