Managers & owners take heed: You may be liable for on-course injuries

of touring profes-

sional golfers. A good

example is Duffy vs.

Midlothian Country

was a spectator at the

1972 Western Open, a

Club. The plaintiff

regular PGA Tour

event co-sponsored

by the PGA Tour and

By GARY CRIST

Legal liability for personal injury and property damage due to an errant or "bad" golf shot seems to be a widely misunderstood concept among golfers, professionals, facility operators, insurance companies, and others involved in the golf industry.

The most common misconception is that the golfer who hits the shot is usually responsible for any resultant injury or damages. Often, however, it is the course operator, designer or tournament organizer who is ultimately found liable.

Reported cases holding the golfer liable for the results of a bad golf shot are rare. Most decisions support the proposition the golfer fulfills his or her duty of due care by:

• Not "hitting into" other players; and.

· Hollering "fore" if an offline shot heads toward other people.

Although it is understandable why course operators and insurers embrace the notion the golfer is responsible for the consequences of a wayward stroke, the law generally holds otherwise.

Liability in "bad golf shot" cases follows traditional tort liability principles. To recover, the plaintiff must show a breach of duty on the part of the defendant and damages resulting from the defendant's wrongful act. Interestingly, the courts have been reluctant to find any breach of duty or negligence in the mere hitting of a bad or wild golf shot, absent evidence the golfer either intended or should have foreseen the resultant harm.

A representative case is Rinaldo vs. Springville Country Club. In Rinaldo, the plaintiffs were driving a car along a road next to the 11th fairway. The defendants sliced their tee shots over trees separating the fairway from the road. In upholding the trial court's judgment favoring the golfers, New York's Supreme Court stated:

"The Court of Appeals [state supreme court] has held that the fact that a golfer hits a 'bad shot' that either slices or hooks is not sufficient to permit an inference of negli-

Gary Crist is an attorney based in West Palm Beach, Florida, who specializes in sports and entertainment law. He also serves as counsel for the National Golf Foundation. From 1989 to 1994, Crist was the senior director and general counsel for the PGA of America. This article is condensed by permission, Club Director magazine, August 1994. Copyright 1994, National Club Association; all right reserved. GOLF COURSE NEWS

gence. The mere fact that a ball does not travel the intended course does not establish negligence. Even the best golfers cannot avoid an occasional 'hook" or 'slice.' Rather, the Rinaldos must prove that defendants Vogel and McGovern failed to use due

care in striking the ball." Other courts have applied a

similar rationale, even in cases



Gary Crist Western Golf Association (WGA).

> The plaintiff was standing in the rough between the 1st and 18th fairways, near a conces-

sion stand, watching play on the 1st hole. The plaintiff was struck in the eye by Dow Finsterwald's hooked tee shot from the 18th hole. Although the plaintiff recovered damages from the tournament sponsor, the jury specifically exonerated Finsterwald under the rationale that even a PGA Tour-caliber golfer occasionally hits an off-line shot, and to do so is not in and of itself negligence.

So, who is responsible when

a wild golf shot causes damage or injury?

As indicated in Duffy, the defendant most likely to be liable is one who can be shown to have breached a legal duty. In Duffy it was the WGA, the tournament organizer, not Finsterwald, that permitted the plaintiff to stand in an area where it was reasonable for her not to be "on guard" against shots hit from the 18th tee. One wonders whether Mrs.

Continued on page 12



Treat Your Turf With POLYON® Controlled Release Potash This Fall...

Potash Helps Winter Survival

Turf researchers have long known the benefits of applying potassium to turfgrasses during the fall months. Potash helps turf to better withstand bitter cold winter weather which can result in severe turf damage, better known as winter kill. But until now, there has never been a choice in controlled release potassium fertilizers for a variety of turf applications.

Extended Potash Feeding

POLYON coated potash products have a unique osmotic release that slowly meters the nutrient to your turf over time, allowing for a significantly improved plant uptake of potash. Leaching therefore is virtually eliminated, which is better for the environment. But the real winner will be your turf, which will be able to better survive the cold months.

POLYON **Coated Potassium Sulfate** 0-0-47 Micro Size

Choose Your Potash Source

Pursell's POLYON Technology offers three different potash sources in three different size ranges. Whether for greens, tees, fairways or roughs, POLYON Technology offers a potash source, available in potassium sulfate, potassium nitrate, or potassium chloride (fairways only). No other fertilizer technology offers so much versatility to the turfgrass professional.

Another Pursell Innovation

POLYON Technology was developed and is manufactured by Pursell Industries, the world's leading and foremost producer of coated controlled release fertilizers. Pursell is also one of America's leading producers of sulfur coated fertilizers. Pursell produced technologies are marketed world-wide by leading formulators and their distributors.

POLYON **Coated Potassium Nitrate** 12-0-42 Mini Size

POLYON **Coated Potassium Chloride** 0-0-57 Regular Size

(Also available in mini and regular sizes)

Pursell's and POLYON are trademarks of Pursell Industries, Ind Sylacauga, AL, 1-800-334-8583

(Also available in regular size)





Take heed of on-course liability

Continued from page 9

Duffy would have recovered against WGA if Finsterwald's shot had come from the first tee, i.e., the hole she was observing. Logically, those facts would produce a much tougher plaintiff's case in view of traditional notions of assumption of risk, i.e., arguably, spectators assume the risk of injury from shots they are or should be watching.

In sustaining the verdict, the

court stressed she was standing near a concession stand and reasonably believed herself to be out of harm's way. Consequently, the WGA's decision to locate the stand in an area reachable by wayward tee shots was a negligent act.

The lesson from these and similar cases is that course operators, designers, tournament organizers and sponsors need to anticipate wayward shots and take appropriate measures to eliminate or

reduce resultant liability. This is most often accomplished by maintaining liability insurance coverage and posting appropriate signage and warnings.

At PGA Tour events, measures to manage spectator injury risks are addressed through a standardized tournament liability insurance package and ticket "disclaimer" language designed to alert the ticket holder to the risk of being struck by a bad shot.

Generally, this insurance is purchased by the tournament sponsor to cover tournament-

specific incidents related to the public.

Regardless, the prudent course should periodically examine its own property casualty policy to ensure adequate coverage of regular activities, including memberguest events, charity outings, and daily play.

Here is an example of the ticket disclaimer language common at PGA Tour events:

Ticket holder acknowledges and expressly assumes the risk of injuries incidental to attending a professional golf tournament, including the risk of injury due

to errant or misdirected golf shots.

Your club should also adopt or review existing safety policies as part of its annual risk-management program. The operation of golf cars, the posting of warning signs, and the clearance of the course before a storm are a few areas which need reviewing and standard procedures.

Although yelling "fore" may fulfill the golfer's legal duty, facility operators and tournament organizers face a more complicated risk-management challenge.

Leslie comment

Continued from page 8

Tom Fazio? "My father designed and supervised construction of the course where I grew up. I remember watching him doing greens diagrams in preparation for the following day."

 For Jack Snyder, a Class AA member of the Golf Course Superintendents Association of America and a fellow of the American Society of Golf Course Architects, growing up on a golf course was one way to start at the bottom and work his way up.

He recalls how he did "all the nasty jobs around the place from cleaning sand traps on the course to cleaning grease traps in the clubhouse.'

Saying she has the advantage of understanding the superintendent's predicament, Beljan said: "I've had my share of chastisements by club members because this or that wasn't right on the golf course," she explained. " It's a little different story when you're responsible for a job, period, rather than when you have 300 bosses.

"If you are ever in that position, it makes it easy to understand why some superintendents are at a club for a very long or very short time: It depends on the members."

Phillips comment Continued from page 8

you the differences: More traffic at public-access courses; more profit motive; different staffing challenges. These issues and more will be addressed during the Expo's agronomy sessions.

Competition is the number one issue for owners and managers at daily-fee, municipal and resort facilities. That's why the Expo's management sessions feature seminars concentrating on marketing strategies, costcutting and creative purchasing.

Different needs. Different approaches to meet them.

Yes, Golf Course Expo is another trade show. But the industry needs another trade show to serve this emerging new market.

It's now November 1995. The time is right for Golf Course Expo.

GOLF COURSE NEWS

The Andersons Leads The Nation In Providing DowElanco Products For Professional Turf Care Markets

The Andersons, manufacturers of TeeTime® turf care products for golf course superintendents and Andersons Professional Turf® products for lawn care operators and other groundskeepers, is now a leading provider of high-quality/ high-performance DowElanco product formulations.

Having forged their business relationship over a time span in excess of 20 years, The Andersons has incorporated a broad range of DowElanco products to prevent or solve specific turf care problems. High-quality products have also been developed for use on ornamentals.

The following chart provides a basic product offering guide but The Andersons is quick to point out that their technical capabilities for custom blending other formulations are virtually limitless in their state-of-the-art turf care products plant. Fully detailed product information is available.

Fertilizers & DowElanco	Herbicides		
Fertilizer with 0.47% Dursban	Treflan Granular	5.00%	
Fertilizer with 0.52% Dursban	Team Granular	2.00%	
Fertilizer with 0.65% Dursban	Balan Granular	0.58%	
Fertilizer with 0.71% Dursban	Balan Granular	0.92%	
Fertilizer with 0.92% Dursban	Balan Granular	1.72%	
Fertilizer with 0.75% Surflan	Balan Granular	2.50%	
Fertilizer with 1.00% Surflan	Confront	4x1 gal.	
Fertilizer with 0.57% Team & 0.57% Dursban	Confront	30 gal.	
Fertilizer with 0.87% Team & 0.58% Dursban	Gallery 75DF	4x1 gal.	
Fertilizer with 1.15% Team & 0.57% Dursban	Snapshot TG	50 lb. bag	
Fertilizer with 0.57% Team	Surflan	12x1 qt.	
Fertilizer with 0.87% Team	Surflan	5x1 gal.	
Fertilizer with 0.92% Team	Surflan	2x2.5 gal.	
Fertilizer with 1.00% Team	Turflon II Amine	2x2.5 gal.	
Fertilizer with 1.15% Team	Turflon D*	2x2.5 gal.	
Fertilizer with 1.25% Team	Turflon Ester *	2x2.5 gal.	
Fertilizer with 1.50% Team	XL2G*	50 lb. bag	
Fertilizer with 1.54% Team			
Fertilizer with 0.92% Balan	Incontinidan		
Fertilizer with 1.02% Balan	Insecticides		
Fertilizer with 1.04% Balan		States and the second	St. Carlos
Fertilizer with 1.15% Balan	Dursban Granular	0.50%	
Fertilizer with 1.28% Balan	Dursban Granular	0.97%	
Fertilizer with 0.46% Balan & 0.92% Dursban	Dursban Granular	1.00%	
Fertilizer with 0.86% Balan & 0.86% Surflan	Dursban Granular	1.16%	
Fertilizer with 0.57% Balan & 0.57% Surflan	Dursban Granular	1.20%	
Fertilizer with 0.38% Gallery	Dursban Granular	2.32%	
		O FOOL	

2x0.5 gal.

Cutlass 50W* Rubigan AS*

ANDERSONS AL TURF" PRODUCTS

Call for a complete product selection guide.

The Andersons welcomes inquiries regarding any of its turf care formulations including those incorporating DowElanco products. Call us toll-free and ask for a free copy of our product selection guide for TeeTime golf course products or for Andersons Professional Turf products.

Call Toll-Free I-800-225-ANDY

the professional's partner®



©1994. The Andersons. ®Dursban, Surflan, Team, Balan, Gallery, Cutlass, Rubigan, Treflan, Confront, Snapshot, Turflon II, Turflon D, Turflon Ester, XL2G, and Pageant are registered trademarks of DowElanco. CIRCLE #107

Fungicides 4x8 oz.

Pageant* * Limited quantities available

Dursban Granular

2.50% **Dursban Turf** 2x2.5 gal. **Dursban Turf** 4x1 gal. 55 gal. 6x2 lb. Dursban Turf Dursban 50 WSP 6x5 lb.