ers) might distinguish bermudagrasses, thereby helping in quality control.

RAPD markers provide a relatively inexpensive genetic identification tool, but the method is prone to possible errors. To generate diagnostic banding patterns, varying-size fragments of sample DNA must be multiplied or "amplified" using "primers," short pieces of DNA that recognize a specific DNA sequences from the unknown grass. Because amplification is a sensitive step in the RAPD process, we have attempted to control error by selecting primers that are consistent, and we have exchanged primers and procedures between our two laboratories, at Gainesville and Fort Lauderdale. Morphological traits, including chromosome number, are being developed as a potentially faster and cheaper method of prescreening for genetic off-types.

Work Completed

We have completed the screening of 130 primers ("Stage 1" in the proposal), have tested their repeatability ("Stage 2"), have exchanged 11 primers between locations (beginning of "Stage 3"), and have completed the application of primers to a population of 26 grasses ("Stage 4," which was planned for the second year). We have further retested selected primers on six additional fairway samples. We have initiated a study of morphology ("Supplement"), including a replicated grow-out, and preliminary work on roottip chromosomes. (For an explanation, see the original proposal.)

Work Remaining

We will complete the retesting of exchanged primers on bermudagrass which can be distinguished ("Stage 3") and complete the morphological study of all 26 bermudagrasses, including chromosome numbers, and complete a final report by July 1997.

Results Thus Far

We found several primer-derived markers for distinguishing fairway offtypes, but few markers for distinguishing greens off-types. This is apparent in the image for primer AK18 (Fig. 1). The banding patterns for 18 greens bermudagrasses (Tifgreen, Tifdwarf, SFG2. . . Tifdwarf) were indistinguishable. The banding patterns for 8 fairway grasses (BRGC3, BRGC2...PCC1) varied. As another example, primer 719 (Fig. 2) showed that the dominant matrix grass on fairways of two golf courses was indistinguishable from the Tifway foundation, but two off-types from fairways of each golf course were not Tifway. Furthermore, the off-types matched across golf courses, indicating that they had been propagated and planted from a common source, possibly as a contaminant, and not through recent mutation or seedling variation. the genetically matched bermudagrasses were also similar morphologically, and the offtypes produced abundant pollen, so they must be tetraploids (2n = 36). We found that a uniform, desirable, fairway grass (T-20) from a third golf course matched the genetic signature of the dark-green







clustering based on morphological differences (internode length, stolon thickness, and number of inflorescences) brought unknown fairway bermudagrasses together in plausible groups, consistent with the original field observations (*Fig. 3*). Subsequent DNA profiling of six more fairway variants showed a repetition of similar patterns, which resulted in a clustering of genetic relationships (*Fig. 4*). The results from DNA complemented the morphology.

We found DNA patterns from six primers that may distinguish some greens grasses (including foundation standards, trade types, and off-types). The banding patterns for some primers were unstable among extractions from the same grass,

Fig. 3. Phenogram of genetic relatedness of 26 bermudagrass samples based on three morphologic traits (stolon thickness, internode length, and number of inflorescences per pot). Cluster analysis was performed on the matrix of Euclidean genetic distances by the unweighted pair-group method (METHOD = AVE, the CLUSTER procedure of SAS 6.03, The SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Bermudagrass samples with a genetic distance close to zero (e.g., Tifway T-1 T-56) were not statistically different. Grasses T-2 and T-58 branched close to the main trunk, which reflects heavy weighting for the prolific seedhead production of those two samples.

Fig. 2. Comparison of DNA profiles of nine bermudagrasses from PCR amplification with UBC primer 719. Ethidium bromide fluorescence intensity in an agarose gel was resolved at 75 dpi (dots per inch) in a digitized scan of an enlarged photograph, maximizing digital contrast. This is the second amplification in a series, hence the designation 719-2. The profiles of similar grasses (e.g., Tifway = T-35and matrix grasses T-1 and T-56) are superimposed. Another 17 grasses were indistinguishable from Tifdwarf, thus they are not represented. Towards the bottom of each panel are the profiles of the two molecular weight standards. Amplicon sizes of the sample bands were estimated as a log-quadratic function of migration distance. The function was derived by lest-squares regression of the known base pair sizes of the standards (831, 947, 1375, 1584, 1904 and 2027) on their respective migration distances. All peaks were fitted iteratively using a Gaussian amplitude curve with a smoothing coefficient of 11 (PeakFit, Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA):

off-type from the other two golf courses. Morphological data (e.g., leaf texture and pollen production) indicated that many other fairway bermudagrass accessions are not Tifway.

primer, CG119, showed banding pattern variation among more than three greens grasses. One dwarf-type grass, T-74, was distinguishable, using any of four primers, from Tifdwarf and all other greens grasses. However, this result awaits confirmation at Fort Lauderdale. Therefore, 36 new samples were recollected from the same golf course, in the expectation that we might be able to show the repeated occurrence of T-74 across different greens. While the RAPD patterns for fairway bermudagrasses are strong and consistent, we need to cautiously retest those on greens bermudagrasses, because of repeatability problems inherent in the RAPD method. Among green bermudagrasses, there were differences in stolon thickness (P < 0.05) and internode length (P < 0.0001). Surprisingly, the foundation Tifdwarf and foundation Tifgreen clustered together, while several trade types and off-types clustered together (Fig. 3). These results are very interesting and encouraging.

producing spurious results. Only one

Possible Significance

While this work is ongoing, several possible conclusions are anticipated:

1. The sparseness of RAPD markers which distinguish among greens bermudagrasses, e.g. Tifdwarf and its offtypes, is consistent with their possible origin as point mutations. PCR-based DNA profiling, such a RAPDs markers, may not presently be a practical means of identification for greens bermudagrasses.

2. Morphological variations were detectable among greens bermudagrasses, at the 0.01% probability level, thus real genetic differences exist. In other words, based on preliminary data, not all products labelled as Tifdwarf are really Tifdwarf.

3. The abundance of RAPD markers which distinguish among fairway bermudagrasses, their contrasting morphology, and the presence of abundant pollen in the off-types, is consistent with their origin as seedling variations.

4 The recurrence of matching genetic off-types on fairways from different golf courses is consistent with their having been planted, not arising after planting. If we can show the same for greens, then it will support the idea of a need for stepped-up quality control in the expansion of plant material.

5. At this time, an absolute assurance of genetic purity does not exist; rather, the Greens Committee should be aware



that off-type variations typically are noticed several years after bermudagrass areas are planted, even after the most diligent research by those involved in the purchase of planing material. Once noticed in established playing areas, offFig. 4. Phenogram of genetic relatedness of 15 bermudagrass samples based on 25 RAPD markers and five common bands derived from seven primers. A pairwise index of genetic distances was obtained (Nei and Li, 1979) from a presence-absence matrix. Cluster analysis was performed on genetic distances by the unweighted pair-group method. Bermudagrass samples with a genetic distance of zero (e.g., Tifway = T-35, T-1, T-56, T-7 and T-16) were identical for the presence or absence of all 25 RAPD markers. T-17 was relatively close to the cluster of T-3, T-57, T-20 and T-6, differing in the presence or absence of only 3 bands. Bermudagrass samples which branched far to the left of the main trunk (e.g., Tifdwarf = T-43, genetic distance = 1.733) had many (between 12 and 18) band differences from all other samples.

types ten to become more prevalent over time.

6. It may be possible to use this technique to distinguish genetic variants that are superior to Tifway for use on Florida golf courses.



Response of 'Tifdwarf' Bermudagrass to Seaweed-Derived Biostimulants

BY M. L. ELLIOTT AND M. PREVATTE

Edited by Joel Jackson from a paper accepted by the refereed journal HorTechnology.

Summary. "Tifdwarf" hybrid bermudagrass grown on a putting green in southern Florida was treated for two years with two seaweedderived biostimulants, Kelpak and PanaSea' Plus. No significant treatment difference were observed in turfgrass quality (44 observation dates) or root weights (eight collection dates) or not weights (eight collection dates). On only 1 of 22 collection dates for clipping weights was a significant difference obtained among treatments. Although the biostimulants did not enhance plant growth or quality, neither were they harmful to the turfgrass.

The primary concerns of golf course superintendents in southern Florida are the short lengths of hybrid bermudagrass roots and periodic declines in turfgrass quality that can be observed on putting greens year around. Most putting greens in southern Florida are maintained at a height of 4.7 mm or less. This places a stress on the plant since little leaf tissue is present to support photosynthesis. The photosynthetic rate is reduced even further by the reduction in light intensity during overcast, rainy weather typical during summer and fall. As demonstrated for common bermudagrass, low light intensity reduces biomass allocation to rhizomes, an effect that is stronger for short plants, such as those on golf greens. High soil temperatures also increase the shoot:root ratio of bermudagrass. Due to the subtropical climate, the bermudagrass does not become dormant during the winter, but its growth is reduced if extended cool temperature periods occur.

Biostimulants are products that are non-nutritive promoters of growth. Growth can be promoted by stimulating nutrient uptake, chelating nutrients, providing plant growth hormones or enhancing plant hormonal activity. Biostimulants that contain plant growth hormones can be produced synthetically or obtained from natural plant extracts. the latter are primarily obtained for the brown algae family Phaeophyceae, commonly called seaweed or kelp. Applications of seaweed preparations have increased plant growth , including root growth. This plant response is often associated with the presence of plant hormones, but the seaweed extract may also act as a nutrient chelator.

Biostimulants that contain plant growth hormones have benefited coolseason turfgrass under drought stress or salinity stress. They also enhanced growth of creeping red fescue and Kentucky bluegrass seedlings and Kentucky bluegrass sod. Although these biostimulants darken bermudagrass leaf color in temperate climates in the fall, no research has examined the effects of the plant-derived biostimulants on bermudagrass putting greens in a subtropical climate. Our study conducted in southern Florida, evaluated two commercially available seaweedderived biostimulants, Kelpak and PanaSea' Plus, for their effect of 'Tifdwarf' bermudagrass quality, clipping weight and root weight.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted from May 1992 through April 1994 at the Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center on an established 'Tifdwarf' bermudagrass research golf green built with a root-zone ix containing 80% sand and 20% Canadian spaghnum peat moss. The area was vertically mowed and topdressed approximately once per month, with the depth of vertical mowing depending on thatch layer thickness. Topdressing material was the same as the root-zone mix. The turfgrass height was maintained at 4.7 mm by mowing six times weekly. The area was irrigated as needed to maintain the best possible quality.

The area was fertilized every two weeks using a fertilizer blend containing IBDU[™], potassium magnesium sulfate, iron sulfate and manganese sulfate. For both nitrogen (N) and potassium (K), a total of 879 kgha⁻¹ of each nutrient was applied per year. Phosphorus was applied twice each year at 122 kg P·ha⁻¹ per application. This is similar to the average fertility program used in southern Florida.

Treatments included an untreated control, Kelpak applied at 6 wk intervals and PanaSea' Plus applied at 2 wk intervals and at 4 wk intervals. Kelpak contains 0.3N:0.7P:0.6K and is derived from the brown alga Eclonia maima from which several indole compounds have been identified. PanaSea' Plus contains 0.2N:1.3P:1.7K and is derived from numerous seaweeds including Laminaria spp., Chondrus crispus, Porphyra spp., and Ascophyllum nodosum. Both products are liquids.

Biostimulants were applied according to the manufacturers' directions. The first Kelpak application was made as a drench with 1.4 ml·mm⁻² Kelpak applied in 500 ml·mm⁻² deionized water; all subsequent Kelpak applications were made as broadcast sprays at 0.3 ml·mm⁻². PanaSea' Plus treatments were applied as broadcast sprays at 1.3 ml·mm⁻². Broadcast sprays of both biostimulant products were made in 100 ml·mm⁻² deionized water. Each plot was 2 m x 3 m with four replicated per treatment in a randomized completeblock design

Turfgrass quality ratings were deter-

mined based on observation of grass color and density using a scale of 1 to 10 with 10 representing turf with a dark green color and uniform dense stand. The plots were rated approximately every two weeks, one week after each nitrogen application.

Turfgrass clippings, from plots that had not been cut for 48 hr, were collected once each month from a 1 m² area in the center of each plot. Clippings were dried at 60C for 72 hr and then weighed. When possible, we tried to rate and collect clippings on the same day or subsequent days.

Root weights were obtained every three months. At each sampling date, two 15 cm diameter by 10 - cm deep samples were obtained from each end of each plot for a total of four sub samples per pot. Root samples were not collected randomly with the plot because samples collected from the center would have resulted in voids that would have interfered with clipping weight evaluations. A 1.25 cm cap was cut from the top of the sample and then discarded to remove leaf tissue and the majority of the thatch layer. Samples were then processed with a commercial root washer using 760 µm primary and secondary sieves. The accumulated material was dried at 80C for 36-48 hr and then weighed. Weights from the four sub samples of each plot were added together to obtain the total weight per plot. Resulting "holes" from sampling were filled with topdressing material.

Data were analyzed using the ANOVA procedure; the Waller-Duncan k-ratio t test was used to separate means.

Results and Discussion

Quality ratings were obtained on 44 dates. Except for eight dates, there were no quality differences among any plots of any treatment; the entire experimental bermudagrass area was uniform in color and density. Differences among treatments for those eight dates were not significant (data not shown). Clipping weights were collected on 22 dates, but significant treatment differences were obtained only on 27 January 1993 (Table 1). However, there were no quality rating differences among any plots of any treatment on that same date. Root weights were collected on eight dates, and no significant differences were obtained among any treatments on any date (data not shown).

Although other research has demonstrated that turfgrasses respond best to hormonal biostimulants when the turf is under environmental stress, no benefits were observed in our experiment during stressful periods such as extensive rainfall or cool temperatures. For example, 112.5 cm rain was received between 1 June 1993 and 31 October 1993. The turfgrass quality gradually declined during this time period, but no quality rating difference were observed among any treatments.

Researchers who have worked with both cool-season and warm-season turfgrasses have indicated that warm-season turfgrasses do not respond to hormonal biostimulants as well as cool-sea-

If You're Looking for Improved Pest Control . . . Du Cor has an Easier Solution!

New Du Cor LEMWET can help improve your pesticide spray application! LEMWET is a lemon extract attractant and surfactant for use with most pesticides. LEMWET attracts nearby pests to your spray. In addition, it's non-ionic surfactants improve spray penetration and bring your pesticide into close contact with pests!

For over 35 years, Du Cor has been coming up with products to fit our customers needs. From liquid micronutrients, humates and fertilizers, to specially dyes, surfactants, odor counteractants and more, we take pride in finding special solutions that help our clients.

So if your looking for something special to attract a nuisance, or maybe just a different solution to help you grow, give Du Cor a call. We may already have just the solution you need!



Du Cor International Corporation P.O. Box 593298, Orlando, Florida 32859

407-859-4390 or Toll Free 1-800-382-6735

	Application			1992				1993			
Treatment ^y	Interval	29 July	27 Aug.	23 Sep.	28 Oct.	27 Nov.	30 Dec.	27 Jan.	24 Feb.	7 Apr.	21 Apr
PanaSea Plus	2 weeks	7.47	5.20	5.07	1.84	4.10	4.25	2.71 a	3.18	6.42	4.65
PanaSea Plus	4 weeks	8.09	5.45	5.00	1.71	4.22	3.47	2.74 a	3.17	6.05	4.08
Kelpak	6 weeks	7.59	6.18	5.79	1.63	4.00	3.78	2.28 b	2.84	6.27	4.30
Control		7.28	5.47	5.23	1.59	4.19	3.96	2.65 a	3.28	6.62	4.58
Pr>F		0.89	0.43	0.51	0.47	0.96	0.23	0.05	0.84	0.88	0.84

PanaSea Plus application rate was 1.3 ml·m-2; Kelpak application was 0.3 ml·m-2

²Values are means of four replicated plots. F values were too small to conduct mean separation teston al dates except 27 January 1993. Mean separation for that date by Waller-duncan k ratio t test (P=0.05)

 Table 1. Effect of Seaweed-derived biostimulant application on 'tifdwarf' bermudagrass clipping weights during the first year of the study (May 1992 through April 1993)

son turfgrasses, and that the responses are highly variable. In our experiment, a consistent lack of response was observed over the two year study period. Although the seaweed-derived biostimulants did not enhance plant growth or quality, neither were they ever harmful to the turfgrass. Before a golf course superintendent applies these products to all of the putting greens on the course, it would be advantageous to only treat half of two or three greens to determine if a response will be observed. This would save both time and money if there is no response.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported, in part, by the Florida Golf Course Superintendents Association. We thank Scott Chasse and Anthony McCall for technical support.



"Servicing South Florida"

STEWARDSHIP



Hole-in-the-Wall is unique in South Florida. it is a golf course adapted to its natural surroundings. Nature and golf are to be enjoyed equally.

Don't hurry, don't worry, and be sure to smell the flowers along the way

BY SHELLY FOY USGA Green Section

From: The introduction of Hole-inthe-Wall Golf Club: A History

"Hole-in-the-wall" is unique in South Florida. It is a golf course and only a golf course.

It is adapted to the natural surroundings. The flora and the fauna remain intact. Nature and golf are to be enjoyed equally. "

"You're only here for a short stay

Don't Hurry, Don't Worry,

And be sure to smell the flowers along the way."

Located a couple of miles north of the center of Naples, Hole-in-the-Wall is 200 acres with an 18 hole golf course and no houses on property. There are 90 acres of play area, 20 acres of non-play area, 30 acres of roughs, 50 acres of swamps and woodlands and 8 to 10 acres of open fresh water. There are no natural streams or creeks, however, the Gordon River, although not visible, runs along the eastern boundary of the property.

Ron Sanborn, Golf Course Superintendent, gives a little history of the golf course: "Back at the turn of the century, what is now Naples and its surrounding areas was divided by a swamp known as "The Wall" because it could not be crossed by land traffic. Eventually a path was built through the swamp and became known as "The Hole in the Wall."

In 1944, Ed Frank purchased 200 acres

which was named "Hole-in-the-Wall Ranch".

Mr. Frank was responsible for many things around town, including his invention, the swamp buggy.

At the time, the Naples Beach Hotel and Golf Club was the only golf course in town.

The course was used primarily by hotel guests, but annual memberships were sold to local residents. At the same time, Port Royal was being developed with only a social club and no golf course. As Naples continued to grow, it was apparent that one golf course would not accommodate everyone.

In 1957, Naples watched the birth of



Hole-in-the-Wall Golf Club. Dick Wilson was hired as the golf course architect. The club opened in 1958 as the first private golf course in Naples. The members today play a Par 72, 6,286 yard course."

On January 4, 1994, Hole-in-the-Wall Golf Club became the 11th golf course in the country and the 2nd in the State of Florida to become a fully certified Audubon Cooperative Sanctuary. Becoming fully certified was only the beginning for Hole-in-the-Wall however, and they continue to implement the principles of the Audubon Cooperative Sanctuary Program (ACSP) as part of every day operation of the golf course.

Constant and committed leadership

Every project needs a leader, and in the case of Hole-in-the-Wall, Fred Yarrington has really made a difference. Since joining the program in January, 1992, Hole-in-the-Wall Golf Club has had a constant and dedicated leader of the Audubon Cooperative Sanctuary Program. Mr. Yarrington is a former Green



PREMIUM QUALITY TURFGRASSES IN THE ANHEUSER-BUSCH TRADITION

Nutri-Turf, Inc.

ONE OF THE ANHEUSER-BUSCH COMPANIES



- Golf Course Quality (DNA Tested)
 Athletic Fields and Sports Complexes
 - "Quickstand" Common
- Big Roll Sod for Fast, Economical Installations
- Greens Height Tifdwarf for Instant Playability
- Volume Discounts

16163 LEM TURNER ROAD JACKSONVILLE, FL 32218 (904) 764-7100 • TOLL FREE: 1-800-329-TURF



Environmental Waterway Management... "Preserving Your Liquid Assets"

Golf Courses have Lakes, Ponds, Canals and Water Everywhere! Many of these water bodies are used for irrigation, fishing swimming, drainage or simply as hazards to the navigating Golfer on your course. If you allow Algae, Grasses and other noxious weeds to grow unchecked, they will rapidly take over your precious waterways. Don't allow your waterway problems to get you in over your head. Just call the experts at Environmental Waterway Mangerment.



Chairman and Board Member of Hole in the Wall Golf Club. He is a current member of the U.S. Junior Championship Committee and former Director of the Connecticut State Golf Association.

According to Mr. Yarrington, "I think it would be difficult to generalize on how to become certified in each of the categories. So much is dependent on the characteristics of each individual golf course. We're lucky to be located in an area friendly to wildlife and with no housing bordering the golf course.

We have been amazed at how little cost and effort there can be in a program of making a golf course more compatible with the environment. To anyone considering the program, I would point out that they should expect a great deal of interest and support from their membership.

Although it is difficult to evaluate the program in dollars and cents, I am certain by following Audubon suggestions, we will save much more long term from the program than any of the modest expenditures we have made directly. The plus in all of our efforts is that raising the level of environmental consciousness is truly cost effective in the long term."

Mr. Yarrington is generous in his willingness to share information and help other courses get involved with this program. He stays in regular contact with several other ACSP member courses in the Naples area. He serves as ACSP Resource Committee Chairman and has put together an enviable committee who have worked together to make Hole-inthe-Wall an even better place for golfers and wildlife. Other members of the Resource Committee are listed in the accompanying sidebar.

The property is surrounded by Royal Poinciana Golf Club to the north and east, and Wilderness Country Club to the south. One quarter of the total property is unused and the majority of this is maintained in a natural state. The large acreage of cypress swamps, woods, water and open space on the property provide a great diversity of wildlife, as evidenced by the wildlife inventory seen at Hole-inthe-Wall. This in addition to the open space provided by the surrounding golf