The more I learn about the ecoradicals running what is now considered the "mainstream" environmental movement, the more diabolical and calculating they seem, rather than naive and ignorant about

> the real world as I once thought most of them to be.

"Naive" best describes the rest of us — the legions of Americans who have financially supported these environmental organizations and believed that we were really helping to save the planet. Saving it for whom, or for what, seems today's most relevant question.

It was downright chilling to listen to Dr. Coffman's tapes from a meeting of the Environmental Grantmaker's Association and hear what low regard they have for people and their problems, and their utter disdain for "wise use" strategies.

As Dr. Coffman puts it, the "Unholy Trinity" to radical environmental leadership is risk analysis, unfunded mandates, and property rights. For those of you who missed Dr. Coffman's seminar last May at the Poa Annua in Naples, I highly recommend you purchase his book, Saviors of the Earth?.

These people are the bad news, and they are not going to go away. They are persistent and well-funded, and hold positions of great power (one of them even got elected to the vicepresidency of the United States). There is, however, some good news, which I would like to share with you.

First and foremost, some members of Congress seem to finally be getting the message that unnecessary environmental regulation is strangling this country's economy.

Last year the Senate passed an amendment which would require EPA to utilize "risk assessment" to quantify the relationship between environmental risks and regulatory costs.

All this means is that each potential environmental regulation would be thoroughly analyzed so that we would know what all the costs were expected to be for enactment of the regulation, as well as an explanation given of the anticipated benefits.

Led by freshman Rep. John Mica of Florida, a similar amendment was presented to the House of Representatives in February, but was rejected by a vote of 227 to 191 on technical points and sent back to the Rules Committee.

EPA Director Carol Browner and Vice President Al Gore lobbied hard for its defeat, so it can be considered a small victory that the amendment still has a chance of getting passed. Though risk assessment seems like just plain old common sense and sound economics, it is opposed by the hard-core environmentalists who fear the American public just might reconsider their priorities if a legitimate price tag is assigned to their environmental conscience.

Continued on Page 96

## Are seeds of common sense sprouting in government?

### Mark My Words



Mark Janell

Mark Jarrell, CGCS

Take a little fictional imagination and mix it with a lot of factual science and... NASA received this radio transmission from deep space last month. The decoded message is being released here in this column:

"Greetings from the planet

# The mole cricket chronicles

Envirotron, home of the Steinernema. My name is Java the Nut. I am a Nematode. I am the spokestode for the Steinernema. We have taken The Nematodic Oath to seek out and destroy mutant mole crickets that have spread across the Bermudan Galaxy. Sensor scans of your planet indicate that

the vile mole cricket is attempting to overrun your planet's turf areas.

"Quite frankly, we do not care for humans in general, but you Earthlings have developed a process that will benefit our quest to seek out and destroy our mortal enemy, the mole cricket, so we have agreed to tolerate you. We have drawn up a set of fairly rigid, but simple, parameters which you must follow if we are to cooperate with you to solve your mole cricket problem.

"First, you must not under any circumstances use nematicides in any area that you want us to attack. Nematicides are just as lethal to us as they are to our earthly descendants, the Sting, Lance, Ring, and Root Knot nematodes. Unfortunately, those nematodes have chosen to join the mole crickets and also feed on your turf. So, you must make a management decision with regard to our services.

"Second, we have found the most beneficial time to attack is in the early spring and fall when the populations of adult mole crickets are at their highest levels. We are only capable of inhabiting and destroying the adult forms. By destroying the adults, we can reduce the populations of newly hatching nymphs, and thus reduce the amount of labor and pesticides formerly required to combat the mole crickets.

"Third, you must apply us at night when it is cool. Solar radiation is also deadly to our kind. You will need to provide some irrigation water to help us move down into the soil so we can find the mole crickets. We may be applied with your regular spraying equipment, but as a consideration, please be sure it has been cleaned out to get rid of any residues that may be harmful to us.

In return for observing these conditions, we will agree to do the following: We will look for adult mole crickets to destroy for nearly three of your Earth's lunar cycles, even if none are present when we first arrive. Once we have found and infected a mole cricket, he will die within one or two days. Our offspring will devour his remains and then search for others to destroy.

Continued on Page 96

### Green Side Up



Joel Jackson, CGCS

## Jarrell: Seeds of sense seem to be sprouting

#### **Continued from Page 92**

To quote Rep. Mica: "The Rules Committee is now scrambling to issue a new rule to bring the EPA bill to the floor. Beware. The power brokers are working behind the scenes to concoct substitute language for real risk and cost analysis. I hope the taxpayers — and my colleagues — see through these brazen attempts to misdirect reform."

It is extremely encouraging to read Rep. Mica's words, and we should open up a dialogue between his office and our association and encourage his efforts.

Another promising development is the nomination of Stephen Breyer to the Supreme Court. Judge Breyer has the reputation of being critical of government's mismanagement of risk. His confirmation may turn out to help balance some of PresidentClinton's other extreme green appointments.

We all have been concerned, and some even directly affected, in recent years, about individuals claiming "chemical senLet's hope these optimistic developments spur us on to grander accomplishments on behalf of our industry.

#### sitivity."

With no clear medical guidelines, and plenty of extremists willing to use any means to halt pesticide use, this issue has had the potential of becoming a nightmare for all of us in the golf industry.

The good news here is that a blue ribbon panel of scientist recently concluded in Dallas that reproducible studies are needed to determine whether exposure to chemicals actually causes multiple chemical sensitivity (MCS), and many of those doctors don't even acknowledge the existence of MCS as a human ailment. Getting declared "chemically sensitive" should be a little harder than getting an excuse to miss a phys ed. class.

The rest of the good news is about our own industry organizations to bring golf's positive environmental story to the public. The USGA, the GCSAA, the FTGA, and our own FGCSA all have significant projects in progress that demonstrate our good stewardship. The level of support from manufacturers and distributors continues to grow.

And Tim Hiers has won the first-ever John James Audubon Steward Award from the New York Audubon Society! There is no more deserving individual, and all superintendents can take pride in Tim's accomplishment and the fact that a golf course superintendent has earned such a high honor. Congratulations Tim!

Let's hope these optimistic developments spur us on to grander accomplishments on behalf of our industry, rather than lull us into a false sense of security.

1

## Joel Jackson: The mole cricket chronicles

**Continued from Page 94** 

"We bring you the technology of Envirotron to help Earth live in harmony with the universe. Our presence on your planet will provide you with natural alternative pest management tools. You may integrate us with selective chemical programs necessary to maintain levels of mole cricket activity acceptable to your species.

"We will gladly join forces with our cousins, the Red Eyed Flies of Antar and the Parasitic Wasps of Patagonia in a coalition of natural mole cricket deterrents that you humans call bio-controls." . . . End of message.

Bio-controls are just one of many areas of scientific research funded by golf course superintendents and other allied groups that support the wise use and preservation of the earth's natural resources.

