



also hesitation when it comes to deciding on what 'reasonable actions' are needed to eliminate, or reduce, the risks of injury. In my experience, if there is some doubt as to what actions

are needed to reduce a risk, a period of monitoring of the work or golf, or both, will soon provide the evidence needed. Monitoring is a valid process providing it is not open ended and the results are duly considered. However, it is also acceptable that monitoring can be extended for an additional period in order to gather additional data. Where it seems likely that seasonal conditions on the ground are a factor then monitoring could be extended for several years, but there would need to be justification for this and it is not used as an excuse for not making a decision!

Actions to reduce risk can be

Most golf clubs have some understanding of their duties under health and safety legislation

not only for their employees, but also to golfers and the public. However, it is also important to note that in this case the judgement against the golf club was made under Section 2 (1) the Occupiers Liability (Scotland) Act 1960 which states:

"2.-(1) The care which an occupier of premises is required, by reason of his occupation or control of the premises, to show towards a person entering thereon in respect of dangers which are due to the state of the premises or to anything done

as in all the circumstances of the case is reasonable to see that that person will not suffer injury or damage by reason of any such

There is a similar Occupiers Liability Act applying to England and Wales.

A golf club has duties to prepare a risk assessment for the golf course and to take reasonable actions to reduce the risks identified. The BIGGA/GCMA Safety Management System is available to assist with this important process and there are experienced expert independent health and safety professionals available to advise golf clubs to achieve the appropriate safety standards.

Jon Allbutt jon@jonallbutt.co.uk 01959-575575