MSU Extension Publication Archive

Archive copy of publication, do not use for current recommendations. Up-to-date information about many topics can be obtained from your local Extension office.

Clothing Fires Concern Education Prevention Home and Family Series Michigan State University Cooperative Extension Service Bernetta Kahabka, Extension Specialist, Clothing November 1970 2 pages

The PDF file was provided courtesy of the Michigan State University Library

Scroll down to view the publication.



By Bernetta Kahabka, Extension Specialist in Clothing

When flame is present, clothing acts as a connector between the flame and the wearer. Clothing fires and resultant injuries occur often in the home where young children and the elderly are the most frequent victims. Clothing flammability is very complicated and even an emotional problem. There is no easy solution—and no one solution. Choosing clothes which won't burn easily might seem like a simple solution, but let's see if it's really that easy.

THE RATE AT WHICH CLOTHES BURN DEPENDS ON:

FIBER	FABRIC	DESIGN
Most fibers in their natural state will	Fabric structures affect the rate of	Clothing design and fit influence the
burn or melt and cause burns.	burning, for the same fiber in different	amount of air that can cause the fire to
Celluose based fibers (especially	fabric constructions may flame and	spread more rapidly.
rayon and cotton) will burn quickly un-	burn more or less quickly.	Skirts, sleeves, and slacks which flare
less treated for flame resistance.	The more air each fiber is exposed	or extend away from the body allow
Wool is the safest natural fiber	to, the more available oxygen there is	more air circulation which spreads fire
because it burns slowly and extinguishes	for burning.	quickly. Other features such as flares,
itself.	Lightweight, loosely woven fabrics	ruffles, and sashes can extend from the
Synthetic fibers are generally more	and brushed or napped fabrics contrib-	body to connect with sources of igni-
difficult to ignite, but they melt.	ute to more rapid burning. Fabric	tion.
Glass is the only fiber considered	blends of different fiber and fabric	And the more fabric fullness and
flame-proof but it is not used in cloth-	finishes will influence potential flam-	length, the more potential area there is
ing because of its scratchy texture.	mability.	for burning.

WHAT ARE POSSIBILITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT?

Flame-proof Fabric: will not ignite when exposed to flame.

Flame-retardant Fabric: will burn when exposed to flame but at a lower level; will self-extinguish when flame source is removed.

The two main methods for making fabrics flame-proof and flame-retardant are (1) flame-proof, flame-retardant, or high temperature-resistant fibers; and (2) flame-retardant finishes.

WHAT ARE THEIR LIMITATIONS?

Research and development costs are high for these fabrics, so clothing made from them will cost more too. Fabric "hand" will be less soft. Fabric will weigh more. Colors will be limited. Continued laundering and dry cleaning may weaken the flame retardant finish. So, while technically possible, flame-proof and flame-retardant clothing offers no simple solution.

TOWARD GREATER SAFETY

A balanced effort between industry, government, and the public will help prevent injuries and deaths from clothing fires.

THE INDUSTRY	THE GOVERNMENT	THE PUBLIC
(manufacture)	(regulation)	(education)
Government regulation, public con- cern, and the increasing social con- science of big business promote research and development of safer products- products such as non-flammability does not stand alone. It needs consumer ac- ceptance. We need to combine appear ance (style, color, texture), comfort (weight, hand surface, absorbency) and care (launder and drycleanability) is such a way that fabrics will be accept able to consumers at a price they are willing to pay.	 The Federal Government is empowered by law to: A. conduct flammability research B. investigate clothing fires to determine their cause C. set standards by which the flammability of clothing and home furnishings fabrics can be measured and regulated. Example-A standard might be established for a particular kind of garment (sleepwear) for a particular age group (babies and children up to size 6X). This involves a compromise of different and sometimes opposing interests. 	 Consumer's attitude toward safety influence: A. how careful he is about fire hazards. Clothing will not burn unless a source of ignition is present. Human carelessness can't be legislated or manufactured away. B. how important clothing flammability is in relation to appearance, wear, and care. Consumers should know which factors are most important to them and which factors should be most important to them. C. the degree of commitment and form of action they'll take to prevent fires.

WHOSE RESPONSIBILITY?

Is the general public willing to remain passive and let the government, the textile industry, and others respond to the problem of incidents from burning clothing? Are non-burning fabrics the only issue involved? Will governmental or voluntary controls to make "safer" fabrics available eliminate the problem?

HOW ABOUT YOU?

Are you as concerned as legislatures, governmental agencies, public safety organizations and the medical profession? How concerned are you about fabric flammability, about buying "safer" fabrics? Do you really care about having clothes that will not burn? Do you really care enough to pay the added cost?

ISN'T PREVENTION, NOT PANIC, THE ANSWER?

How many opportunities for dangerous flame source are there in and around your home? Will you help children understand the potential dangers of fire? Will you be more watchful of older or disabled people who cannot react quickly to emergencies? You, as the consumer, are a very important factor in the problem of clothing fires.

Acknowledgement

The assistance of Mrs. Nancy Harries, Dept. of Human Environment and Design, MSU, in providing helpful information and review is very much appreciated.

Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, acts of May 8, and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, G.S. McIntyre, Director, Cooperative Extension Service, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mich. 1P-11:70-20M-UP