MSU Extension Publication Archive

Archive copy of publication, do not use for current recommendations. Up-to-date information about many topics can be obtained from your local Extension office.

Copper: An Essential Plant Nutrient Ag Facts Michigan State University Extension Service L. S. Robertson, D. D. Warncke and B. D. Knezek, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Issued July 1981 4 pages

The PDF file was provided courtesy of the Michigan State University Library

Scroll down to view the publication.

COPPER: An Essential Plant Micronutrient

By L. S. Robertson, D. D. Warncke and B. D. Knezek Department of Crop and Soil Sciences

Plants and animals do not grow normally with insufficient or excessive amounts of copper (Cu). Although Cu fertilizers sometimes increase crop yields and improve nutritional qualities of feed, they must be used with caution because of possible toxic effects.

COPPER IN ANIMALS

Copper toxicity in Michigan animals has been diagnosed almost as frequently as Cu deficiency. Ruminants are very sensitive to deficiencies. Deficiency symptoms vary greatly with both age and species, but color of hair may give a clue. With deficiencies, hair color fades, especially the brown and black colors. Animals suffering from Cu deficiencies also have blood deficient in hemoglobin, even though Cu is not a part of the hemoglobin molecule. Copper deficiencies are also related to slow growth, bone deformities and poor reproduction.

It is difficult to set accurate limits of concentration in diets which prevent either deficiencies or toxicities, especially with cattle and sheep, because interactions with other elements are likely to be involved. For example, if Molybdenum (Mo) levels in feed are high, extra Cu is needed to prevent a deficiency.

Manipulating Cu levels in all feeds is discouraged unless under supervision of recognized authorities.

COPPER IN PLANTS

The functions of Cu in plants have not been completely defined, but it is known that a deficiency interferes with protein synthesis, causing an accumulation of soluble nitrogen compounds. Generalized descriptions of visual deficiency symptoms are difficult, but copper deficiencies often cause plants to wilt and turn a yellowish color. Tips of leaves may be scorched in a manner similar to frost damage. Also, carrot roots, onion bulbs or wheat grain may be off-color due to poor pigmentation. Specific symptoms for numerous plant species are described in scientific literature.

An early symptom of Cu toxicity is retarded growth rate. When toxicity is acute, symptoms similar to iron (Fe) deficiencies develop.

Crops grown in Michigan can be grouped according to responses to Cu fertilizers (Table 1). Most crops are classed as medium or low responsive crops because, under most conditions, Michigan soils supply sufficient Cu even for the highest yields. Copper requirements vary with yield and crop grown. Plant uptake generally ranges between 0.01 and 0.10 lb Cu per acre.

Normal plants contain between 8 and 20 ppm Cu. The "sufficiency range" concept is useful in diagnosing both deficiencies and toxicity levels. Levels greatly outside of the ranges reported in Table 2 are good indications of problems. Interpretation of plant analysis is facilitated when specific plant parts are sampled at the suggested stage of development.

COPPER IN SOIL

The total Cu content of soil is not a good indication of Cu supplying power. Levels vary greatly, ranging between 10 and 200 ppm, while levels of "available" Cu are usually less than 5 ppm when the Cu is extracted with 0.1 N hydro-chloric acid (HC1).

Only the organic soils in Michigan which are low in ash are known to be Cu deficient. Copper toxicities are unknown in Michigan unless caused by the excessive use of Cucontaining fertilizers or a prolonged use of bordeaux mixtures.

Theoretically, deficiencies could develop in Michigan, especially on eroded acid, sandy soil that is intensively farmed and heavily fertilized. Average extractable (0.1 N HC1)

Table 1	1. Re	lative	response	s of se	lected	crops	to cop	per.
			A Designed of the second se			and the second		

Alfalfa	Spinach	
Lettuce	Sudan grass	
Oat	Table beet	
Onion	Wheat	
Medium Respon	sive Crops	
Barley	Celery	Sorghum
Blueberry	Clover	Sugarbeet
Broccoli	Cucumber	Sweet Corn
Cabbage	Corn	Tomato
Carrot	Parsnip	Turnip
Cauliflower	Radish	
Low Responsive	Crops	
Asparagus	Pea	Rye
Bean	Peppermint	Spearmint
Grass	Potato	Sovbean

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE • MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Table 2. Copper sufficiency range.*

Crop	Sample	Cu ppm
Corn	Ear leaf at first silk	6-20
Soybean	Upper fully-developed leaf prior to first flower	10-30
Navy bean	Upper fully-developed leaf prior to first flower	10-30
Alfalfa	Top 6 inches sampled prior to first flower	11-30
Wheat	Upper leaves sampled prior to initial bloom	6-50
Sugarbeet	Center fully-developed leaf — midseason	11-40
Vegetables	Top fully-developed leaf — midseason	8-20
Potato	Petioles of most recently matured leaf — midseason	7-30

*From MSU Ext. Bul. E-486

Cu levels were determined in samples from the profiles of soil at 135 locations in southern Michigan. From the data in Table 3, it is evident that sandy soils contain much less Cu than the fine-textured soils. Also, there is a strong tendency for Cu levels to be higher in the naturally poorly-drained "c" soils than in the somewhat poorly- and well-drained "b" and "a" soils.

Extractable Cu levels vary with soil depth, as reported in Table 4. The plow layer contains significantly more Cu than the subsoil. Levels in the parent material are exceptionally low, averaging only 0.41 ppm.

COPPER IN MANURE

Copper levels in livestock manure are relatively low, varying between 0.1 and 0.3 pounds per ton. This amounts to more than that removed by a crop so that, under average conditions, accumulation of Cu in the soil may be expected where high rates of manure are used. With the management practices used today, there is little need for concern about a build-up to toxic levels.

COPPER IN WATER

Very little is known about Cu levels in irrigation water. A survey by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources showed great variability among streams within a year. Average levels ranged between 1 and 35 ppm; the amounts of Cu in an acre foot of water would be less than 0.11 pound or about equal to crop uptake.

COPPER IN MUNICIPAL SLUDGES AND WASTEWATER

A summary of total Cu levels in 57 Michigan sludges indicated extreme variability, ranging between 84 and 10,400 ppm. This range is slightly narrower than that reported from other states.

While not all Cu in sludges is available to crops, the level in some is high enough for concern about toxicities, not only from Cu but from other heavy metals. Therefore extreme caution is advised when using municipal sludges in crop production.

Municipal wastewaters contain much less Cu than sludges but, with long-term use of wastewaters, heavy metals including Cu may accumulate and become toxic to soil organisms and field crops.

COPPER CARRIERS

There are a number of materials that can be used to supply Cu. The most common sources are reported in Table 5.

In Michigan the most common materials used are the

Table 5. Average extractable copper levels in southern which gain son as related to texture and natural dra	Cable 3.	Average extractable copper	levels in southern	Michigan soil as related	to texture and natural drains	ge.
---	----------	----------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------	-----

			Natural Drainage		
Dominant Profile Texture	Soil Management Group	Well Drained	Somewhat Poorly Drained	Poorly Drained	Mean
	Symbol	a	Ь	C	
			ppm **		
Clay & clay loam	1 & 1.5	1.14	1.38	2.76	1.76
Loan & sandy loam	2.5 & 3	0.64	0.88	1.95	1.16
Loamy sand & sand	4&5	0.70	0.55	0.63	0.63
	Mean	0.83	0.94	1.78	1.18

*Data from MSU Agr. Exp. Sta. Res. Rpt. 384

* *Extracted from 0.2 N HC1

			Natural Drainage		
Horizon		Well Drained	Somewhat Poorly Drained	Poorly Drained	Mean
	Symbol	a	Ь	C	
			ppm * *		
Plow layer	Ap	1.09	1.03	3.06	1.73
Subsoil	В	1.07	1.51	1.64	1.41
Parent Material	С	0.32	0.27	0.64	0.41
	Mean	0.83	0.94	1.78	1.18

Table 4. Average extractable copper levels in southern Michigan soil as related to profile depth and natural drainage.*

*Data from MSU Agr. Exp. Sta. Res. Rpt. 384

* *Extracted from 0.1 NHC1

oxides and sulfates. Both have been effective in field tests. While there is only limited data with other materials, including the chelates, all are reported to be effective.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FERTILIZER COPPER

Copper recommendations are now made in Michigan only for those crops in the highly responsive group (Table 1) which are grown on organic soils. Where soil tests are in the low range (Table 6) 6 pounds of copper are recommended as part of a banded fertilizer.

On virgin organic soils or where copper has never been used on organic soil, the recommended rate should be doubled.

Because Cu is easily fixed and is not easily leached, fertilizer Cu tends to accumulate in the soil. For this reason, no extra fertilizer Cu is needed on organic soil after a total of 20 pounds has been applied for low responsive crops, 40 pounds for highly responsive crops, or the soil test level exceeds 20 ppm Cu.

Where Cu deficiencies are suspected during the growing season, foliar sprays have been successful. One-half to one pound of Cu per acre as basic copper sulfate (copper sulfate monohydrate) (CuSo₄·H₂O) or copper oxide (CuO) in 30 gallons of water has been successful.

SUMMARY

Copper is an essential element for both plants and animals but is required in very small amounts. Excessive levels are easily obtained unless great care is used in supplying supplemental Cu.

In plants, Cu deficiencies are not expected in Michigan except on organic soils. Some crops are responsive to fertilizer Cu when grown on such soil. Best results have been obtained when Cu was banded.

Table 5. Carriers of copper.*

Carrier	Formula	Percent copper
Basic copper sulfate	CuSo ₄ ·H ₂ O	13-53
Copper (ic) ammonium phosphate	Cu(NH ₄)PO ₄ ·H ₂ O	32
Copper Chelate	Na ₂ CuEDTA	13
Copper Chelate	NaCuHEDTA	9
Copper Chloride	CuC1 ₂	16.9
Copper Frit	Frit	40-50
Reax Copper	CuMPP	5-6
THIS Copper	CuMPP	5
Copper Silviplex	CuMPPP	6
Copper Sulfate monohydrate	CuSO ₄ .H ₂ O	35
Copper Sulfate pentahydrate	CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	25
Cupric Oxide	CuO	75
Cuprous Oxide	Cu ₂ O	89
Rayplex Cu	CuPF	5-6.7

*From the Fertilizer Handbook — The Fertilizer Institute

Table 6. Copper recommendations for band application on organic soils.*

Soil Test ppm Cu	1.0 N HC1 Extraction ** Plant Response	Recommendation lbs/A
Below 9	Probable	6
10-20	Possible	3
21-160	None	0
Above 160	Excessive (harmful)	0

*From MSU Ext. Bul. E-486

**Note that extraction strength is different than reported in Tables 4 and 5 on mineral soil.

Livestock manure and irrigation water contain limited amounts of Cu, while some municipal sludges and wastewater effluents contain enough to be phytotoxic to plants after extended use.

Cu supplements should not be used unless deficiencies have been diagnosed.

MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution. Cooperative Extension Service programs are open to all without regard



This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply endorsement by the Cooperative Extension Service or bias against those not mentioned. This bulletin becomes public property upon publication and may be reprinted verbatim as a separate or within another publication with credit to MSU. Reprinting cannot be used to endorse or advertise a commercial product or company. *IP-5M-7:81-UP-LW, Price 15 cents. Single copy free to Michigan residents.*

0-12990 Michigan State University Printing



