# **MSU Extension Publication Archive**

Archive copy of publication, do not use for current recommendations. Up-to-date information about many topics can be obtained from your local Extension office.

Wheat Variety Performance in Michigan
Michigan State University Extension Service
MSU Ag Facts
L.O. Copeland, E. H. Everson, R.H. Leep, Crop and Soil Sciences; J.L. Clayton,
Department of Botany and Plant Pathology
Issued July 1980
8 pages

The PDF file was provided courtesy of the Michigan State University Library

Scroll down to view the publication.

# **Wheat Variety Performance** in Michigan

L. O. Copeland, E. H. Everson, R. H. Leep, and J. L. Clayton

WHEAT VARIETY PERFORMANCE trials are conducted each year at nine Michigan's locations throughout winter wheat production area. Entries to the trials include Michigan State University experimental lines as well as promising lines from neighboring state experiment stations. Commercial varieties from private seed companies are also included. The primary objective of this program is to provide agronomic data needed for release of new varieties to the public. A second objective is to provide comparative performance data on wheat varieties for Michigan growers.

#### Recommended and **Certified Varieties**

Historically, new varieties released from Michigan State University and recommended in Michigan are automatically eligible for certification. Such varieties must demonstrate superior production qualities. Similarly, superior varieties from other states may become eligible for certification. As new varieties are released to the public, old ones with inferior qualities are eventually removed from recommended and certifiable lists.

Some varieties may become eligible for certification without being recommended to Michigan producers. Most varieties in this category are those for which there is a substantial out-ofstate market (or sometimes instate) for Michigan produced seed. Such varieties are designated as "acceptable for certification." This designation also includes outstanding varieties with less than three years of performance data in Michigan or those whose performance is competitive, though not necessarily superior.

#### **Cooperative Extension Service Michigan State University**

#### Varieties Available to Michigan

Table 1 shows comparative information on most varieties of wheat available to Michigan growers regardless of their recommendation or certification. This information, with the yield data reported in Tables 2 through 10, provides wheat growers with the essential information needed to select the best varieties.

### Performance of Varieties

Table 2 shows comparative performance potential of different wheat varieties based on overstate yield trials conducted over several years. These comparisons are based on regression data from a large number of tests and provide a unique way of showing the yield potential of a variety in comparison with the average yield of all varieties at a given location. This system predicts how one variety will yield in comparison with other varieties at different expected yield levels. If the average expected vield at your farm is 75 bushels per acre, Augusta and Frankenmuth should produce 82 bushels/acre. whereas Yorkstar, Tecumseh, Ionia, Genesee, Abe, Arthur, S76 and S78 should produce 75, 70, 72, 70, 67, 67, 63 and 67 bushels per acre respectively.

Tables 3 through 10 show the actual performance data of different varieties at eight locations from 1973 to 1979. Study the data from the test sites nearest to your farm.

Although Michigan's research emphasis has been on soft winter wheat, some information is available on spring wheat varieties. Results of such tests appear in Tables 11 and 12. Such information is included because in the Upper Peninsula nearby markets exist in Wisconsin and Minnesota. High protein and gluten content are very important in the use of spring wheat, and premiums are often paid on the basis of these factors. No dependable seed supplies for spring varieties exist throughout Michigan. Growers interested in spring wheat seed should contact their regular seed dealer.

## **Production Tips**

- 1. Prepare a smooth, weed-free seedbed free of quackgrass.
- 2. Have your soil tested by a research-oriented laboratory and apply the recommended amounts of both lime and fertilizer.
- 3. Select one or more highperforming wheat varieties on the basis of performance trials shown in this bulletin. Consider new varieties; however, do not change varieties abruptly. Select one or two new highperforming varieties and plant up to 25 percent of your acreage to each new variety until you determine how it fits your management scheme.
- 4. Plant seed approximately 10 days after the fly-free date.
- 5. With the varieties Augusta, Frankenmuth and Tecumseh, topdress with 60 to 80 pounds of actual N in the spring while ground is still frozen or within three weeks after growth begins. Topdress other varieties with 40 to 60 pounds. If wheat is planted immediately after plowing down a medium stand of legume, nitrogen rates can be reduced to 40 to 60 pounds per acre. Soils with lower yield potential should receive less nitrogen than more productive soils.
- 6. Spray for broadleaf weeds when crop is fully tillered.
- 7. Harvest wheat when moisture reaches or drops below 14%. If the moisture forecast is for a wet harvest period, harvest wheat at 14-20% and dry to prevent moisture sprouting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Extension Specialist, Professor, and Extension Specialist, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences; and Senior Research Assistant, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology.

Table 1 — Wheat variety comparisons.

		səţ	riet	t Va	Мреа	əti	ųM →	los				F 1	se	ieti	Var	reat	IW be	Et B	tos			
Variety	Arrow	Augusta	Frankenmuth	Fredrick	Genesee	Houser	Ionia	Tecumseh	Yorkstar	Abe	Argee	Arthur	Arthur 71	Beau	Downy	Oasis	Pioneer S-76	Pioneer S-78	Roland	Ruler	Sullivan	Titan
Origin	New York	Michigan	Michigan	Canada	New York	New York	Michigan	Michigan	New York	Indiana	Wisconsin	Indiana	Indiana	Indiana	Indiana	Indiana	Pioneer	Pioneer	Illinois	Ohio	Indiana	Ohio
Color	bronze	white	brown	white	bronze	white	brown	white	bronze	white	white	white	white	white	white	white	white	white	white	white	white	white
Straw Height (in)	36	39	07	39	45	34	77	37	39	34	35	36	36	35	32	36	33	31	34	36	40	39
Test Weight (1bs)	59.0	57.7	59.4	59.5	59.5	57.7	59.7	61.1	57.5	60.4	58.5	60.5	60.5	60.4	59.0	60.5	58.8	58.6	58.2	60.5	58.5	59.0
Hessian Fly Resistance	none	none	Races A & C	Races A & C	none	none	Race A	Races A & C	none	all known races	reaction unknown	all known races	none	none	reaction unknown	Races A, C & F	all known races	Race A				
Winter Hardiness	poog	poog	poog	poog	poog	poog	poog	very good	pood	very good	very good	very good	very good	very good	poog	very good	poog	poog	very good	very good	poos	very good
Lodging Resistance	very good	very good	very good	poog	poog	very good	poog	very good	very good	very good	very good	very good	very good	very good	poog	very good	very good	very good	very good	very good	poog	very good
Leaf Rust Resistance	susceptible	resistant	resistant	resistant	susceptible	resistant	resistant	resistant	susceptible	resistant	resistant	resistant	resistant	susceptible	susceptible	resistant	susceptible	susceptible	resistant	susceptible	resistant	resistant
Powdery Mildew Resistance	susceptible	susceptible	resistant	susceptible	susceptible	resistant	susceptible	resistant	susceptible	resistant	resistant	resistant	resistant	resistant	resistant	susceptible	susceptible	susceptible	resistant	susceptible	resistant	resistant

Table 2 — Expected yield of a variety when the average yield level of all varieties in the test was 45, 55, 65, 75, 85, 95 or 105 Bu/Acre.

Variety	Number	Environmental Productive Index (Bu/Acre (Average Expected Yield at Your Location									
(41100)	Tests	45	55	65	75	85	95	105			
Frankenmuth	50	48	58	69	82	90	101	111			
Augusta	50	48	58	69	82	90	101	111			
Yorkstar	50	45	55	65	75	85	95	106			
Tecumseh	50	42	51	60	70	79	88	97			
Ionia	50	43	53	63	72	82	91	101			
Genesee	50	42	51	60	70	79	88	97			
Abe	50	40	49	58	67	76	85	94			
Arthur	50	40	49	58	67	76	85	93			
Pioneer S-76	10	38	46	55	63	71	80	88			
Pioneer S-78	10	40	49	58	67	76	85	93			
Houser	10	47	57	68	78	88	99	109			
Arrow	10	40	49	58	67	76	85	93			

Table 3 — Ingham County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1973-1979.

Variety	1973	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Frankenmuth	48.9	56.2	70.3	79.3	65.7	87.9	68.1	107
Augusta	46.4	54.6	66.0	81.3	70.3	86.1	67.5	106
Houser				82.2	59.0	84.8		104
Fredrick	53.3	54.7	57.7	80.7	69.5	77.3	65.5	103
Yorkstar	42.5	48.7	60.7	74.5	59.1	83.7	61.5	97
Ionia	49.9	58.0	57.3	65.1	62.9	72.2	60.9	96
Tecumseh	48.3	48.4	65.8	69.8	59.4	68.3	60.0	94
Genesee	45.4	53.9	57.6	70.1	56.7	73.7	59.6	94
Titan						83.1		105
Downy				74.4	46.4			97
Ruler	49.2	53.7	54.2			68.2		93
Arthur	43.9	58.7	54.5	73.7	56.8	60.8	58.1	91
Abe	49.8	60.2	56.6	68.3	57.1		57.8	91
Beau		54.2	59.5	63.8	54.9	62.8		88
Sullivan			59.4		57.2	59.1		86
Pioneer S76					48.7	58.1		
Pioneer S78					50.5	56.4		
Environmental Index (Average yield of					30.3			
30 varieties)	46.0	53.7	64.6	76.8	62.2	78.8	63.7	100

Table 4 — Ionia County soft winter wheat comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1973-1979.

Variety	1973	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	50.6	44.1	70.7	81.3	46.0	78.9	61.9	109
Frankenmuth	44.5	50.7	65.6	79.3	42.7	77.7	60.1	105
Fredrick	42.0	45.1	65.5	78.7	52.2	75.2	59.8	105
Houser						75.2		102
Yorkstar	50.6	48.7	70.7	74.5	32.4	70.0	57.8	101
Ionia	39.1	44.8	55.7	65.0	47.4	69.8	53.6	94
Tecumseh	38.7	43.8	59.1	69.8	42.1	62.3	52.6	92
Genesee	38.6	44.8	55.7	70.1	40.1	61.7	51.8	91
Titan			64.5	64.2	51.1	81.4		101
Sullivan					51.6	52.2		96
Ruler	34.2	45.6	65.1			58.2		91
Downy				74.4	34.2			91
Abe	39.2	40.4	56.1	68.3	44.2	57.2	50.9	89
Arthur	32.9	39.5	57.9	73.7	41.3	48.9	49.0	86
Beau	***			63.8	44.0	58.6		86
Pioneer S76					34.8	50.6		
Pioneer S78					35.9	55.2		
Environmental Index (Average yield of								
30 varieties)	39.2	43.5	65.9	76.8	42.6	73.7	57.0	100

Table 5 — Tuscola County soft winter wheat comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1974-1979.

Variety	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	58.2	64.4	56.8	78.0	71.5	69.8	66.5	108
Frankenmuth	59.6	62.6	55.4	77.8	66.2	68.1	65.0	106
Yorkstar	57.8	61.7	60.1	77.0	57.8	62.5	62.8	102
Fredrick	51.2	63.6	56.0	75.3	66.1	62.7	62.5	101
Houser				77.6	54.7	66.2		100
Tecumseh	53.8	58.6	51.3	73.2	60.0	56.3	58.9	96
Ionia	53.9	58.5	57.4	59.8	59.6	60.6	58.3	95
Genesee	53.5	58.2	42.2	61.0	54.6	57.4	54.5	88
Titan						62.4		97
Beau				56.8	61.2	57.8		91
Ruler	50.9	53.8	52.0			54.9		90
Arthur	54.5	60.6	54.4	54.3	61.0	47.6	55.4	90
Abe	53.4	59.8	51.7	49.7	56.9	55.6	54.5	89
Sullivan					55.6	54.4		88
Downy				59.9	48.8			88
Pioneer S76					48.2	55.3		
Pioneer S78 Environmental Index					52.0	54.9		<del>-</del>
(Average yield of								
30 varieties)	54.3	59.2	56.2	72.7	60.8	64.6	61.6	100

Table 6—Huron County soft winter wheat comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1974-1979.

Variety	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Frankenmuth	80.6	67.9	74.8	100.4	84.3	89.1	82.9	108
Augusta	73.6	66.8	76.9	95.6	90.2	83.0	81.0	105
Houser						87.0		105
Yorkstar	76.8	68.1	70.2	87.0	76.8	83.4	77.1	100
Fredrick	82.2	70.5	69.9	89.5	73.5	76.9	77.1	100
Tecumseh	73.8	63.9	74.4	77.6	74.4	80.5	74.1	97
Ionia	75.5	64.0	66.5	84.9	75.4	79.2	74.3	97
Genesee	70.9	59.3	64.8	80.6	75.6	75.6	71.1	93
Titan						84.9		103
Downy				87.9	75.7			97
Beau				85.1	79.5	77.1		96
Abe	70.8	63.4	65.4	83.5	80.4	65.7	71.5	93
Arthur	75.6	65.6	57.7	82.8	72.7	68.7	70.5	92
Ruler	-					68.6		83
Sullivan					75.6	67.5		82
Pioneer S76					69.5			
Pioneer S78					76.2			
Environmental Index (Average yield of								
30 varieties)	73.2	63.4	72.2	88.8	80.3	82.6	76.8	100

Table 7 — Monroe County soft winter wheat comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1974-1979.

			1.04					
Variety	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Frankenmuth	58.6	67.2	64.9	71.7		85.0	69.5	112
Augusta	54.1	51.0	60.5	75.8		86.4	65.6	106
Houser						78.8		105
Fredrick	56.7	49.1	66.5	69.5		80.5	64.5	104
Yorkstar	62.1	49.3	64.2	65.6		68.9	62.0	100
Genesee	53.1	49.0	59.9	60.1		70.2	58.5	94
Ionia	44.7	52.2	57.8	64.2		68.8	57.5	93
Tecumseh	45.1	42.7	56.7	68.0		71.0	56.7	91
Ruler						73.2		97
Arthur	43.7	45.3	56.4	71.7		72.6	57.9	93
Abe	48.7	44.1	45.2	70.8		65.7	54.9	88
Titan						65.5		87
Beau						64.0		85
Sullivan						63.7		84
Environmental Index (Average yield of								
30 varieties)	52.9	47.8	64.3	70.1		75.4	62.1	100

Table 8 — Lenawee County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1974-1979.

Variety	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	68.9	48.0	56.5	62.1	58.7	67.9	60.4	107
Frankenmuth	69.5	50.6	51.5	65.7	61.1	63.9	60.4	107
Yorkstar	71.2	45.6	55.7	63.8	57.8	57.0	58.5	103
Houser						57.3	<del></del> _	102
Fredrick	67.8	49.3	52.8	63.2	53.5	53.6	56.7	100
Ionia	65.1	52.9	47.3	61.5	52.5	54.4	55.6	99
Genesee	61.7	53.5	46.1	53.1	52.9	58.2	54.3	96
Tecumseh	57.6	48.7	48.6	55.7	49.6	47.1	51.2	90
Titan						55.1		98
Abe	66.1	57.1	38.3	60.1	49.8	37.2	51.4	91
Arthur	54.7	47.4	39.4	56.2	47.8	44.5	48.3	85
Beau				54.1	51.6	40.6		82
Downy				52.7	47.2			82
Sullivan					47.8	43.6		80
Ruler						43.5		77
Pioneer S76					41.9			
Pioneer S78					47.1			88 <b></b> 33
Environmental Index (Average yield of								
30 varieties)	61.6	48.4	51.2	64.3	57.9	56.3	56.6	100

Table 9 — Kalamazoo County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1975-1979.

Augusta 47.8 42.5 42.0 35.4 76.9 48.9 Frankenmuth 47.0 42.2 43.7 35.8 68.9 47.5 Yorkstar 46.1 36.0 43.8 36.2 69.2 46.3 Houser 67.3 Fredrick 46.5 35.4 36.1 34.6 67.3 44.0 Ionia 48.1 39.9 41.6 32.5 55.3 43.5	
Frankenmuth       47.0       42.2       43.7       35.8       68.9       47.5         Yorkstar       46.1       36.0       43.8       36.2       69.2       46.3         Houser          67.3          Fredrick       46.5       35.4       36.1       34.6       67.3       44.0         Ionia       48.1       39.9       41.6       32.5       55.3       43.5	ercent Inde
Frankenmuth       47.0       42.2       43.7       35.8       68.9       47.5         Yorkstar       46.1       36.0       43.8       36.2       69.2       46.3         Houser          67.3          Fredrick       46.5       35.4       36.1       34.6       67.3       44.0         Ionia       48.1       39.9       41.6       32.5       55.3       43.5	108
Yorkstar       46.1       36.0       43.8       36.2       69.2       46.3         Houser          67.3          Fredrick       46.5       35.4       36.1       34.6       67.3       44.0         Ionia       48.1       39.9       41.6       32.5       55.3       43.5	105
Houser 67.3 Fredrick 46.5 35.4 36.1 34.6 67.3 44.0 48.1 39.9 41.6 32.5 55.3 43.5	103
Fredrick 46.5 35.4 36.1 34.6 67.3 44.0 Ionia 48.1 39.9 41.6 32.5 55.3 43.5	103
Ionia 48.1 39.9 41.6 32.5 55.3 43.5	98
	97
Tecumseh 45.8 45.6 41.1 31.7 52.0 43.2	96
Genesee 42.5 42.4 36.0 35.0 59.8 43.1	96
Titan 69.1	105
Ruler 68.6	105
Abe 44.7 34.0 33.9 29.1 47.4 37.8	84
Beau 37.0 31.7 48.8	84
Arthur 40.8 30.5 32.1 28.8 54.2 37.3	83
Sullivan 37.7 45.0	82
Downy 38.2 26.3	81
Pioneer S76 36.3	
Pioneer S78 40.3 Environmental Index (Average yield of	
30 varieties) 44.0 40.8 40.0 35.2 65.6 45.1	100

Table 10 — St. Joseph County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield—Bu/Acre) trial, 1974-1979.

Variety	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Frankenmuth	46.8	39.3	57.8	32.0	35.8	54.4	44.4	106
Augusta	51.4	39.8	47.6	34.3	35.4	55.1	43.9	105
Yorkstar	46.3	41.1	53.6	33.3	36.2	47.3	43.0	103
Houser						52.0		103
Genesee	43.0	40.2	53.1	31.1	35.0	46.8	41.5	99
Fredrick	51.3	35.0	50.9	32.6	34.6	43.3	41.3	99
Ionia	42.0	41.4	44.6	31.7	32.5	47.5	40.0	95
Tecumseh	40.2	40.5	37.0	29.7	31.7	39.9	36.5	87
Titan						49.4		98
Ruler	-		-	-		46.2		92
Beau				28.0	31.7	46.3		90
Sullivan					30.6	40.9		84
Arthur	37.9	32.3	37.1	27.8	28.7	45.4	34.9	83
Downy				26.1	26.3			78
Abe	36.8	32.6	20.4	27.1	29.1	45.6	31.9	76
Pioneer S76					30.4			
Pioneer S78 Environmental Index					28.8	<del></del>		
(Average yield of 30 varieties)	45.0	37.2	51.0	32.4	35.2	50.4	41.9	100

Table 11 — Summary of Michigan performance trials of spring wheat 1968-1970 in six nurseries in Ingham, Bay and Saginaw Counties.

	1968 3 Nurseries Yield Bu/A	1969 2 Nurseries Yield Bu/A	1970 1 Nursery Yield Bu/A	Mean of 6 Nurseries Bu/Acre
Waldron	45.8	33.8	28.3	38.9
Red River 68	40.8	40.3	21.1	37.3
Chris	34.8	31.0	22.6	31.5
Selkirk	32.6	31.0	17.3	29.5

Table 12 — Spring wheat variety comparison (Menominee County) 1977.

Variety	Yield* Bu/Acre	Test Weight lbs/bu
#-64-27	47.7	56.7
ND 75-165W-237	47.5	56.7
Era	46.7	55.6
Kitt	42.6	54.0
01af	42.5	56.2
77-100-28	41.9	54.3
Kitt	41.8	54.9
Ellar	40.6	56.1
ND 75-307	40.1	50.5
77-100-12	39.9	53.5
Butte	39.3	56.7
72-101-A	39.0	56.2
Newesta	36.6	55.2
W 444 Variety PROT	35.6	53.8
Normal Bluyle Y-76	35.2	51.2
ND 75 Era-Newesta	30.9	55.4
77-100-13	29.5	55.7

<sup>\*</sup>Each value represents the average of four replications.

This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply discrimination or indorsement by the Cooperative Extension Service. Cooperative Extension Service Programs are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, or sex. Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, acts of May 8, and June 30, 1914 in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Gordon E. Guyer, Director, Cooperative Extension Service, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, MI 48824.

1P-10M-7:80-UP, Price 20 cents. Single copy free to Michigan residents.