MSU Extension Publication Archive

Archive copy of publication, do not use for current recommendations. Up-to-date information about many topics can be obtained from your local Extension office.

Cass: A New Winter Barley For Southwestern Michigan Michigan State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service Research Report Cecil D. Nickell, John E. Grafius, Dimon Wolfe, David Smith Crop Sciences Issued January 1969 2 pages

The PDF file was provided courtesy of the Michigan State University Library

Scroll down to view the publication.



January 1969

EEB 1 7 1969

REPORT 83

FARM SCIENCE

FROM THE MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION EAST LANSING

Cass: A New Winter Barley For Southwestern Michigan'

John E. Grafius, Cecil D. Nickell, Dimon Wolfe and David H. Smith'

 \mathbf{C} ASS IS A NEW WINTER BARLEY which has malting possibilities. Laboratory tests and limited plant scale tests indicate that Cass may have a satisfactory quality pattern. More testing must be done but results to the present are favorable enough that the strain 414-80 has been named and will be given a limited release.

To get the quality characters necessary in a malting variety it was necessary to sacrifice some in lodging resistance and winter hardiness. It is not as winterhardy as Hudson (Table 1), but is satisfactory in southwestern Michigan (Table 2). The yield values for Ingham County (Table 1), are a direct reflection of winter survival. Without doubt, Hudson is agronomically superior to Cass for this area.

In the area of Cass and Kalamazoo counties, however, no significant yield advantage for Hudson over Cass was found. Indeed the yields of the two varieties were equal in 1965. Hudson for some unknown reason was vastly superior to everything in the nursery in 1966, having a yield of 97 bushels vs. 75 bushels for Cass. In 1967 the tables were reversed and Cass outyielded Hudson by 5 bushels.

Cass originated from a recurrent selection program and has a complex parentage of C19574 x [NY 563a-26-7 x (KYCC 10-68-5 x Dicktoo)]. The cereal index number is C1 13735.

¹ The authors wish to acknowledge the generous financial support of the Malting Barley Improvement Association. Without the active support of the malting and brewing industry these tests would not have been possible. We would also like to acknowledge the assistance of Stuart Hildebrand, Extension Specialist; Fred Sackrider, Cass County Extension Agent. and a group of dedicated Cass County farmers. ² Professor, former Graduate Assistant, Technician and former Graduate Assistant, respectively Department of Crop Sciences, Michigan State University.

The botanical description is as follows: lax head of variable length; awns more than 2 times the length of head; rough awns; glume awn longer than glume; light red nerves on the glume; aleurone color, white mixed with blue; long rachilla hairs. Without malting quality there would be no reason for release. However, it is satisfactory agronomically for southwestern Michigan and, because of its malting potential, is recommended for release. It is the first of its kind.

TABLE 1 –Agronomic data from Ingham County for Cass barley in comparison with the standard check varieties, Hudson and Wong, for the 3 years, 1965-67

Variety	Yield Bu/Acre	Test Wt. Lbs/Bu	Lodging %	Winter Survival %	Ht. In.	Seed Wt. Mg.
Hudson	74	51	26	63	39	31
Cass	61	50	18	45	40	35
Wong L. S. D. ⁵	$\begin{array}{c} 49 \\ 7 \end{array}$	48	16	32	43	28

⁵ Difference required to be of significance.

TABLE	2	-Agronor	nic	dat	a for	· Cass	bar	ley	from
		southwe							
		mazoo							
		Hudson 1965 - 67	ar	ıd	Wong	g for	the	3	years
		1909-01							

Yield Bu/Acre	Test Wt. Lbs/Bu	Winter Survival %	Ht. In.	Seed Wt. Mg. 33
62	49	72	35	
57	51	75	32	36
50 5 6	48	65	30	30
	Bu/Acre 62 57 50	Bu/Acre Lbs/Bu 62 49 57 51 50 48	Yield Bu/AcreTest Wt. Lbs/BuSurvival $\%$ 62 4972 57 5175 50 4865	$\begin{array}{c cccc} Yield & Test Wt. & Survival & Ht. \\ Bu/Acre & Lbs/Bu & \% & In. \\ \hline 62 & 49 & 72 & 35 \\ 57 & 51 & 75 & 32 \\ 50 & 48 & 65 & 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$

⁵ Difference required to be of significance.