MSU Extension Publication Archive

Archive copy of publication, do not use for current recommendations. Up-to-date information about many topics can be obtained from your local Extension office.

Lakeland: A New Winter Barley For Michigan Michigan State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service Research Report Cecil D. Nickell, John E. Grafius, Dimon Wolfe, Crop Sciences Issued January 1969 2 pages

The PDF file was provided courtesy of the Michigan State University Library

Scroll down to view the publication.



NEW PUBLICATION Order Immedigation 1969

EEB 1 7 1969

RESEARCH REPORT 82

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION EAST LANSING

FROM THE MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

FARM SCIENCE

Lakeland: A New Winter Barley For Michigan

By Cecil D. Nickell, John E. Grafius and Dimon Wolfe¹

LAKELAND IS A NEW feed barley developed for the Lnorthern fringe of the winter barley area of Michigan on the approximate latitudes of Ingham and Gratiot counties.

Winter barley is not as hardy as winter wheat, and although Lakeland appears to be a superior winter barley in this respect, it may still suffer winter injury in the absence of snow cover.

The five year yield average at East Lansing shows a 12 bushel yield advantage for Lakeland over Hudson (Table 1). This same difference was not found in southwestern Michigan (Table 2). Presumably spring recovery is not as important in this area. Consequently, we recommend Lakeland only for the northern edge of the winter barley area of Michigan.

Lakeland originated from a recurrent selection program and has a complex parentage C1 9574 [NY563a-26-7 x KYCC10-68-5 x Dicktoo]. It has been grown in the Uniform Winter Barley Nursery under the number 57-410-1-3-1 and has been assigned the number C1 13734. It has a semi-compact head (less compact than Hudson) which remains upright until ripe and then tends to nod. Other identifying characteristics are rough awns which are more than twice as long as the head, glume awns which are larger than the lemma, lemma which is sparcely toothed and rachilla which has long hairs.

Lakeland is more resistant to lodging than Hudson but slightly inferior in test weight. It does not have good malting quality pattern and will be used as feed.

¹Former Graduate Assistant, Professor and Technician, respectively, Department of Crop Sciences, Michigan State University.

Variety	Lodging %	Yield Bu.	Test Weight Lbs.	Height In.	Survival %
Hudson	14	70	52	32	76
Wong	11	51	48	34	49
Lakeland L. S. D. 5	0	82 5	51	33	79

TABLE 1 -Yield and other agronomic data for Lake-

⁵ Difference required to be of significance.

TABLE 2 —Yield and test weight for Lakeland vs. check varieties, southwestern Michigan, 1965-67

Variety	Yield Bu.	Test Weight Lbs.
Hudson	62	48
Wong	53	44
Lakeland	53	48
L. S. D. 5	7	

 5 Difference required to be of significance.

•