Vegetation Problems?

Spike is the tough one!

**Spike** lasts longer than most other herbicides with fewer pounds per acre!
When applied in accordance with label directions, commercial field use has demonstrated that Spike remains effective longer than other products tested, preventing regrowth and permitting lower application rates in succeeding years.

**Spike** resists lateral movement!
Spike is non-volatile, control stays where it is needed instead of “shifting” into unwanted areas, enabling specific placement.

**Spike** gets many vines, brambles and woody plants!
More than 5 years of development, testing and commercial use have proven Spike’s effectiveness against a wide spectrum of vegetation, especially the tough perennials, tenacious vines and so-called hard-to-control species, like mullein, pigweed, curley dock and kochia.

**Spike** gets many of the brush species the others leave behind!
The most persistent vegetation control problem is brush. Spike helps solve that problem almost any time of year.

**Spike** provides versatility and easy application!
Commercial use has demonstrated equal effectiveness for both of Spike’s principal product forms...wettable powder for spray application, or granular for mechanical application.

Wherever weed and brush control is the problem...in storage yards, parking areas, tank yards, around buildings and warehouses, along road shoulders and railroad spurs...the ideal remedy is SPIKE. It does what it promises!

Order Spike from your Elanco Distributor today. Spike should be the foundation of your vegetation control program.

Circle 113 on free information card

SPIKE is a registered trademark for Elanco Products
Tebuthiuron

Elanco Products Company, A Division of Eli Lilly and Company, Dept. E-455, Indianapolis, Indiana 46206 U.S.A.
Fran Leusner has the job of providing recreational and other services for the 20,000 residents of Cinnaminson, New Jersey, an eastern suburb of Philadelphia. As superintendent of public works, Leusner wanted to establish a convenient and useful park for the community of single family homes. Forty-four acres of farmland in the 7 1/2 sq. mi. township were purchased for conversion into a park facility.

"We had to excavate the entire area and put in roads, parking lots, baseball fields, football/soccer fields, an irrigation system, water fountains, a bike path, a jogging path, tennis and basketball courts, and all the turf and trees required to make the park attractive," says Leusner.

Today, Memorial Park in Cinnaminson has handsome gardens and the pond may soon provide fishing and canoeing to residents. Since much of the work was done by city work crews, the entire project cost only about $500,000 against an estimated $950,000 had the work been contracted out.

Maintenance of the park is also integrated into the city programs, but Leusner estimates that he has five or six men working at the park every day. He has a park foreman who supervises the maintenance efforts at Memorial.

When the park was first prepared for planting, Leusner applied a mixture of 50 percent municipal sludge with an equal amount of composted leaf mulch and spread it over the entire area. He had made arrangements with the local waste treatment facility to acquire all the wastes for use in this manner. The state has since halted his efforts but steps are being taken to work the matter out.

Leusner now acquires manure from the riding stables nearby and mixes it with the leaves for winter application throughout the park.

Then, in April, he applies a 10-4-4, 50 percent organic fertilizer to the park grounds. They are given a light shot in summer, and fertilized again in the fall. A preemergence crabgrass preventer is applied every spring. The combination soccer/football fields and baseball fields receive selective herbicides as needed. Leusner plans to institute a complete program of O.M. Scott & Sons products next year.

Most of the turf in the park is K-31 fescue. The baseball infield was sod
MALLINCKRODT OFFERS THREE WAYS TO GET MEAN ABOUT GREEN.

Winter wet can turn greens splotchy.

Get mean about it with Mallinckrodt’s well-proven controls.

Take your choice of CALO-CLOR for spray application, CALO-GRAN for dry spreader application, or CADMINATE, also effective against brown patch, copper spot and dollar spot.

All give you positive snow mold control that can last the whole winter season.

FROM THE GREEN GROUP AT

Mallinckrodt
MALLINCKRODT, INC.
ST. LOUIS
JERSEY CITY
LOS ANGELES
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Memorial Park

Extensive landscaping was done around a sandbox for small children.

Power Pack!

(its very own)

... save

with SOLO

SOLO'S TRAC-419 Mist Blower packs its very own 12.5-hp power ... a famous SOLO-built high-performance engine. Mounted on truck bed, trailer, tractor, ATV or jeep, TRAC 419 can be mated with a variety of formula tanks or tankers. Plus ... it will put defective, outdated air-blast spray rigs back to work at amazingly low cost.

- Provides ideal coverage and saves
- through high-concentration, low-volume application
- Prepiped hoses, formula pump, return lines, and valves
- Economical discharge through 8 nozzles adjustable in flow rate and direction
- Add TELEBLAST nozzle for up to 50-ft. coverage in any direction
- Integral throttle control
- Weighs only 66 lb.

Send for free brochure or ask your dealer for SOLO.

SOLO MOTORS, INC.
Box 5030, Dept. 28
Newport News, VA 23605
In Canada: Box 444
Burlington, Ont. L7R 3Y3

DEALERSHIPS AVAILABLE IN CERTAIN AREAS
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ded with Merion Kentucky bluegrass. The infield is reseeded every year. The outfield is seeded on an as needed basis. Leusner reseeds the combination football/soccer fields every year, also.

Trees in the park are mulched twice a year and watered regularly. A quick coupler irrigation system provides a ready source of water.

Leusner recently switched to S&D Products Eeasy Gro Pakets to fertilize the trees. The fertilizer is slow release, applied 8-10 inches around the young trees and a little deeper around the larger trees. The trees shouldn't need additional applications for three years.

Trees in the park include Bradford pear, crab, cherry, Norway and Australian spruce, and white pine. Leusner maintains a nursery and rents a tree spade for transplanting.

When the park was first constructed, most of the trees were brought in bare root, even though plans called for balled and burlapped. Less than one-fourth were. The actual cost was $9000 against an original estimate of $55,000.

The trees are sprayed with insecticide routinely. Japanese beetle and scale insects are the major concerns in Memorial Park.

The county sprays the pond for mosquitoes, but Leusner tests once a week for larvae to make sure it doesn't get out of hand. There are fish in the pond now and that does help mosquito control somewhat.

Equipment for maintaining the park is integrated with that of the city. Leusner does have two large diesel Ford tractors and a Farmall equipped with a mower. Mowing units include a Mott and a 72-inch rotary. He also has a gang unit but it is seldom used except as a backup.

Budget figures are hard to break out in a situation where park management is integrated into the city budget. Leusner estimates that he gets about $5000 for fertilizer and seed.

He puts a bid out in March and then purchases as needed. He also purchases and applies lime according to soil tests, which are performed every year.

Community support for the park is tremendous, Leusner says. "It's a masterpiece as far as the people are concerned. They love it." WTT
PENNCROSS makes a POINT

Penncross costs less, seed for seed, than Annual Ryegrass.

Points on Penncross

1. **Penncross Creeping Bentgrass** required years of selecting and crossbreeding to develop. This unique grass is especially designed for the demands of golf courses.

2. **Penncross** is grown under a strict certification program by elite seed growers in Oregon’s Willamette Valley, where the highest quality seed is produced.

3. **Penncross** is a true polycross hybrid bentgrass developed for superior turf and greater disease resistance.

**But, did you know**, Penncross costs less, seed for seed, than annual ryegrass? For example, if you purchased a pound of annual ryegrass (approximately 200,000 seeds) for 30 cents, you would have to pay $12 for as many ryegrass seeds as you would receive in one pound of Penncross (approximately 8,000,000 seeds).

Write for FREE INFORMATION:

- Use of USGA’s film, “ABC’s of Putting Green Construction”
- Free copy of our new greens maintenance booklet “Problems or Progress”
- List of Penncross Co Marketers

PENNCROSS BENTGRASS GROWERS ASSOCIATION
1349 Capital St. NE
Salem, Oregon 97303

TEE2GREEN CORP.
World-wide distributor
1212 West 8th Street
Kansas City, MO 64101
816/842-7845

Be PENNy wise... PENNCROSS
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Healthy Turf Next Spring Starts With IBDU This Fall

Sure, there’s more to maintaining quality, disease-free turfgrass than a couple of fertilizer applications. But turfgrass scientists across the country are reporting that a fall application of IBDU (31-0-0) can produce turfgrass with better root development and less disease problems.

Dormant turfgrass plants continue to produce rhizomes and roots, even though vertical growth has stopped. During this time nitrogen should be made available to the turfgrass plant as carbohydrates are naturally accumulating. Thus, scientists say, the optimum timing for nitrogen applications is during the fall and early winter months.

IBDU (31-0-0) is ideally suited for dormant nitrogen fertilization. Because of its slow release characteristics based on hydrolysis, IBDU releases nitrogen later in the fall and earlier in the spring promoting better rhizome and root growth. A fall fertilizer program using IBDU should produce healthier more vigorous turfgrass plants and reduce the severity of several turfgrass diseases.

Remember. Healthy turf next spring starts with IBDU this fall.

PAR EX® and IBDU® are registered trademarks of Swift Agricultural Chemicals Corporation.
COSTS AND RETURNS OF MARYLAND SOD PRODUCTION

By J. Thomas Gilbert, Jr. and Billy V. Lessley

This is the final in a series of three articles dealing with the structure and costs and returns for sod production and marketing in Maryland. The first article introduced the Maryland sod industry's characteristics for the 1976 crop year and the second provided costs and returns per acre for sod produced and marketed on an unharvested basis. The purpose of this article is to describe, develop and present costs and returns for the various vertically integrated options observed for the Maryland turfgrass industry in 1976. These options include different harvest techniques employed to lift the sod and different transportation methods used to deliver the harvested product. Production costs for this analysis were reported in the second article and are shown in Table 1. All data are based on a research project conducted through the Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station.

Thirty-four of the 56 producers who cooperated in the study performed integrated services such as cutting, cutting and loading, delivery, and/or installing Maryland turfgrass. Of these 34, 23 reported delivering and/or installing turfgrass. In general, those individuals who harvested also delivered and installed the turfgrass. These individuals were producers or were a part of a landscape company who had contracted the acreage. A few producers cut only, or cut and loaded sod for other contractors. Generally, landscapers and sod installation companies possessed their own equipment and manpower to harvest the turfgrass and did not desire to pay a premium price for the sod if the producer wished to harvest it himself.

Totally vertical integrated operations were the exception rather than the general rule for several reasons. First, since sod is a highly perishable product once it is lifted (cut) and loaded, har-

Table 1. Average Total Costs of Production for Various Sizes of Turfgrass Farms, Maryland, 1976

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Less Than 100 Acres</th>
<th>100-150 Acres</th>
<th>151-300 Acres</th>
<th>Greater Than 300 Acres</th>
<th>All Growers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— Dollars Per Acre, Two-Year Production Period —</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>68.12</td>
<td>48.10</td>
<td>37.64</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>41.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs</td>
<td>34.06</td>
<td>24.05</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>20.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Structures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>14.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisory Services</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>15.14</td>
<td>26.05</td>
<td>13.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate Tax</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>9.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Fixed Capital</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>38.26</td>
<td>30.44</td>
<td>29.78</td>
<td>35.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Rental Rate</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Fixed Cost</td>
<td>271.95</td>
<td>219.68</td>
<td>198.64</td>
<td>204.93</td>
<td>213.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>78.40</td>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>84.32</td>
<td>76.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td>32.96</td>
<td>33.40</td>
<td>29.12</td>
<td>37.06</td>
<td>33.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-dressing</td>
<td>84.12</td>
<td>79.26</td>
<td>72.52</td>
<td>77.64</td>
<td>80.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbicides</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>20.85</td>
<td>14.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel and Oil</td>
<td>32.27</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td>26.77</td>
<td>31.55</td>
<td>31.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Labor</td>
<td>63.65</td>
<td>60.39</td>
<td>45.44</td>
<td>59.58</td>
<td>59.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Variable Capital</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>25.47</td>
<td>24.40</td>
<td>28.65</td>
<td>27.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Variable Cost</td>
<td>348.17</td>
<td>314.84</td>
<td>301.75</td>
<td>354.48</td>
<td>339.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Total Cost</td>
<td>620.12</td>
<td>534.52</td>
<td>500.39</td>
<td>559.41</td>
<td>552.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vesters must be guaranteed a final market prior to harvest. This is especially difficult for producers who do not possess the resources or desire to search out and transact key sales or who do not choose to be involved with managing a harvest-delivery-installation operation.

A second factor contributing to limited vertical integration in the industry is the constraint imposed by the capital outlay for equipment necessary to harvest, deliver and install turfgrass. The high capital costs of this specialized equipment, coupled with the high annual costs of operation,

### Table 2. Average Labor Requirements, Wage Rate and Labor Cost for Harvesting Turfgrass by Various Methods, Maryland, 1976

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of Harvest</th>
<th>Hand-Directed</th>
<th>Tractor-Powered</th>
<th>Palletizer Handling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hand Rolled</td>
<td>Hand Rolled</td>
<td>Handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Labor</td>
<td>$288.11/acre</td>
<td>$247.32/acre</td>
<td>$154.47/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Required</td>
<td>6.26 cents/yd</td>
<td>5.37 cents/yd</td>
<td>3.36 cents/yd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Harvest One Acre (Hours)</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Hourly Wage</td>
<td>$3.02</td>
<td>$2.92</td>
<td>$3.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

are too expensive to be considered economically feasible by many Maryland turfgrass producers.

There were three methods of harvest observed on Maryland turfgrass farms. These varied widely in the degree of mechanization and, subsequently, labor use. The first method, used mostly by small-scale harvesters, involved using a hand-directed machine which cut the sod in segments 15 inches wide and three to four feet long. The sod was then rolled into balls and hand loaded onto trucks. The second method involved using a tractor-powered sod cutter which lifted the sod. The sod was then rolled and hand loaded onto trucks. The final method, observed on turfgrass farms where large acreages were harvested, was characterized by use of a palletizer mounted and secured on a tractor. The palletizer lifted the sod and transferred it up a conveyor belt while rolling it into a ball. At the end of the conveyor, and stationed on the back of the tractor, one or two men received the rolled ball and loaded it on a pallet. The pallet was dropped at the rear of the tractor when it became full. Extra pallets were carried on the side of the palletizer so very little time was spent waiting for extra pallets. Full pallets were then loaded on waiting trucks by a forklift.

Costs and returns for harvested turfgrass are presented on both an acre and a square yard basis. Cost and return figures developed on a per acre basis were converted to a square yard figure by using a harvest rate of 95 percent, or 4,600 square yards per acre.

Twenty-three harvesters supplied detailed information concerning the varied methods of harvesting turfgrass. Labor costs for the three methods are reported in Table 2. These costs include labor for lifting, rolling and loading turfgrass. As shown in Table 2, total labor hours and total labor cost decreased as the degree of mechanization increased.

Total labor cost for the hand-directed, hand rolled method was $288.11 per acre, 16 percent greater than the labor cost of $247.32 for the tractor-powered, hand-rolled method. Use of the palletized system cut labor cost by 38 and 46 percent, respectively, when compared to the tractor-powered and the hand-directed, hand-rolled systems of harvesting and loading turfgrass (Table 2). However, the advantages of labor savings and decreased harvest time associated with the palletizer method were partially offset by increased equipment investment (palletizer, replacement pallets, tractor, forklift) and associated annual fixed and variable costs for the more sophisticated system of harvesting and loading turfgrass.

### Table 3. Average Cost of Harvest Machinery and Equipment by Various Methods of Harvest, Maryland, 1976

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Hand Directed, Hand Rolled</th>
<th>Tractor Powered, Hand Rolled</th>
<th>Palletizer Handling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$/acre cents/yd²</td>
<td>$/acre cents/yd²</td>
<td>$/acre cents/yd²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>49.41 1.074</td>
<td>48.69 1.058</td>
<td>60.19 1.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs</td>
<td>15.44 0.336</td>
<td>15.21 0.331</td>
<td>18.81 0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>1.85 0.040</td>
<td>1.83 0.040</td>
<td>2.26 0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>15.75 0.342</td>
<td>15.52 0.337</td>
<td>19.18 0.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Fixed Cost</td>
<td>82.45 1.792</td>
<td>81.25 1.766</td>
<td>100.44 2.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas and Oil</td>
<td>6.20 0.135</td>
<td>25.54 0.555</td>
<td>40.74 0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blades</td>
<td>27.50 0.598</td>
<td>27.50 0.598</td>
<td>27.50 0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement Pallets</td>
<td>33.70 0.733</td>
<td>53.04 1.153</td>
<td>110.51 2.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Total Cost</td>
<td>116.15 2.525</td>
<td>134.29 2.919</td>
<td>210.95 4.586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHY
BROUWER harvests 85% of U.S. turf.

- Operates off uncut turf, preventing tracking and turf damage.
- Standard tractor parts; Maneuverable, simple, easy to operate and maintain.
- Performs efficiently in wet, dry, soft, hard, rough and weak conditions.
- Rolls, Slabs, or Folds.
- Choice of pallet sizes from 36” to 60” wide.
- Harvests up to 1500 square yards per hour in widths of 15”, 16”, 18”, & 24”.
- Now the new Model A3A offers even more production, economy and dependability.

ACT NOW AND GUARANTEE:
Best possible price, trade in allowance, prompt delivery and dollar value.

BROUWER
TURF EQUIPMENT LIMITED

THE ULTIMATE IN RELIABILITY, VERSATILITY & ECONOMY

Manufacturer: Woodbine Avenue, Keswick, Ontario, Canada L4P 3C8 Telephone (416) 476-4311
Average fixed costs for hand-directed and tractor-powered methods of harvest are approximately equal. This was true even though the tractor-powered method was more capital intensive. This resulted from producers using the tractor-powered method to harvest about three times as many acres of turfgrass as those producers who used the hand-directed method. Average fixed cost for the palletizer was not offset by the increased acreage harvested and averaged $100.44 per acre, or approximately 24 percent more than the average fixed costs per acre for the tractor-powered hand rolled method of harvest.

Average variable costs for the palletized method of harvest accounted for much of the difference in average total cost for the three methods. The cost of additional gasoline, oil and replacement pallets accounted for the difference in average variable cost between the palletizer and the other two methods. Blade expense was constant for each method of harvest since deterioration of the blade was affected by the soil condition and not so much by the method of harvest. An average of one blade per acre harvested was used as the basis for this cost. Average variable cost for machinery and equipment (forklift, palletizer, tractor, pallets, fuel and oil) for the palletizer method was $110.51 per acre or 228 percent more than the $33.70 per acre cost for the hand-directed, hand rolled system and 108 percent more than the $53.04 per acre cost for the tractor-powered, hand rolled system of harvest.

Average total cost for machinery and equipment for the palletized method was $210.95 per acre or 82 percent more than the $116.15 total per acre cost for the hand-directed, hand rolled system and 57 percent more than the $134.29 cost for the tractor powered, hand rolled system of harvest (Table 3).

Individuals who perform harvest and delivery operations of turfgrass are continually charged with the responsibility of securing an adequate market for their product and services. Sales and administrative costs of performing this responsibility in the form of advertising, secretarial and bookkeeping services, office and utility expenses were $207.04 per acre harvested, or 4.501 cents per square yard of harvested turfgrass.

Total harvest cost (including sales and administrative costs, labor and machinery costs) was $572.46 per acre (12.445 cents per square yard) for the palletizer method. Individuals who used the hand-directed, hand-rolled system had the highest total harvest cost of $611.30 per acre, or 13.289 cents per square yard, while the tractor-powered, hand-rolled method had total harvest costs of $588.65 per acre, or 12.797 cents per square yard.

The average cost for two methods of delivery of turfgrass is shown in Table 4. Costs for each method were based on the assumption that each delivery was made at maximum truck capacity to a single destination. Although most individuals reported this to be the usual case, some sent trucks that made more than one delivery stop and/or trucks that were partially loaded. Both of these conditions would increase the calculated average cost per yard for delivery of turfgrass for any single trip.

**Table 4. Delivery Expense: Average Cost of Transportation by Alternative Methods, Maryland, 1976**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Method I</th>
<th>Method II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>$2.195</td>
<td>$2.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>1.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (Tags)</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Fixed Cost</td>
<td>5.463</td>
<td>5.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>3.129</td>
<td>2.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas and Oil</td>
<td>2.177</td>
<td>1.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Variable Cost</td>
<td>5.306</td>
<td>3.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Total Cost</td>
<td>10.769</td>
<td>9.298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The trucks used for delivery were valued at $10,975 and $24,425 for Methods I and II, respectively. Depreciation was based on an expected useful life of five years, with 30 percent salvage value. Interest was charged at 8.5 percent of average investment while repairs, taxes and insurance were computed from grower responses. Method I transported 350-400 yards of sod and Method II transported 650-700 yards of sod. Most palletized sod was transported under Method II, but each method could transport either rolled or palletized sod. Method II was equipped with a stationary boom to facilitate unloading.*

Costs for each segment of the integrated turfgrass industry including production through transportation were developed for various sizes of farms and methods employed in producing, harvesting and marketing turfgrass. Average total cost for each combination of production, harvest and transportation including the options to purchase by the acre, sell by the acre, or sell harvested f.o.b. at the farm is reported in Table 5.

Although all possible combinations are reported in Table 5, several represent unlikely combinations of farm size and harvest technique. For example, costs reported for the smaller farms employing the highly mechanized harvest techniques may be understated and may lead to inflated estimates of the return to management. As described in footnote 4, costs for the various harvest practices were based on stated acreages that may not be attained each year by the smaller producers. However, some could reach the required size by increasing harvested acres through custom work for other farmers. Also, to produce turfgrass of comparable quality as that found on farms with greater than 300 acres, producers with farms of 100-150 acres and 151-300 acres would have to increase many of their variable production inputs. Table 1 shows that variable inputs for seed, fertilizer and herbicide were applied on the largest turfgrass farms at a greater expense per acre than on farms with 100-150 or 151-300 acres. Producers did this to insure adequate growth as well as improve the appearance of their product in order to command a premium price. Increasing the variable inputs used on the smaller farms to levels used on the largest farms would increase total costs.