VPI's Putting Greens
Test Modified Soils

By
R. E. SCHMIDT
Assistant Professor of Agronomy
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
Blacksburg, Virginia

GOLF is attaining greater popularity. National TV viewing, championship play, and
more leisure hours have helped it to become the country's number one participating sport. At-
testing to this is the National Golf Foundation's estimate that women and junior golfers alone
have increased over 40% since 1961. There is even a trend toward night golf under lights on
regulation-length courses to accommodate the increased play.

Greens that once were satisfactory now produce poor turf under this increased traffic.
Many greens were reconstructed by blending materials with put-
ting green soils to help lessen the compaction of heavy and constant traffic. Modification of
soil for putting greens was realized as a necessity quite early. Then, as today, soil modification
appeared to be generally a hit-or-miss practice. In 1950, H. B. Musser* reported a survey
showing that most superintendents were using modified soils with volume ratios of 1-1-1 or
2-1-1 of soil, sand, and organic matter. Neither type of soil, sand, or organic matter was
identified.

Many superintendents have modified soils to match the soil of satisfactory putting greens in
their area. This is a good method, provided similar management and traffic is applied. Du-
plicating soil mixtures from a completely foreign ecological environment may result in unsatis-
factory putting greens.

Only recently has any experimental effort been made to evaluate different soil mixtures. A
few colleges and universities now have studies underway. In 1964 the Weblite Corporation
awarded V.P.I. a grant-in-aid to evaluate their graded expanded shale, Weblite, for turfgrass soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% vol.</th>
<th>Porosity</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Percolation</th>
<th>Wilting</th>
<th>Clipping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mod.</td>
<td></td>
<td>content</td>
<td>resistance</td>
<td>resistance</td>
<td>wts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cap.</td>
<td>Non-cap.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percolation</td>
<td>Density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Color</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|          | SAND     |          |              |          |         |         |         |
| 24       | high     | low      | good         | fair     | good    | excellent | excellent | good     |
| 41       | high     | low      | fair         | fair     | good    | good      | excellent | good     |
| 54       | adequate | adequate | poor         | good     | fair    | fair      | fair      | good     |
| 68       | adequate | adequate | poor         | excessive| poor    | fair      | fair      | good     |
| 86       | low      | excessive| very poor    | excessive| very poor| fair      | fair      | good     |

| WEBLITE  |          |          |              |          |         |         |         |
| 24       | high     | low      | excellent    | good     | good    | excellent | excellent | good     |
| 41       | high     | low      | good         | good     | good    | good      | good      | good     |
| 54       | adequate | good     | good         | good     | good    | good      | good      | good     |
| 68       | adequate | high     | poor         | excessive| fair    | fair      | excellent | good     |

modification. We were looking for a modified soil that would have the following properties:

1. Resistance to compaction.
2. Adequate internal drainage and sufficient aeration after exposure to traffic.
3. Adequate moisture holding capacity.
4. Adequate nutrient holding capacity.
5. Adequate cation exchange capacity.
6. Firm, but resistant surface.
7. Economic feasibility of use.

Weblite is lightweight (bulk density 8.5), holds 15% of its weight in water, and can be uniformly graded so that 98% of the material will fall between the 10 and 60 mesh screens (medium to very coarse sand particle size). This material was evaluated in an experimental green by mixing it with various percentages of a Groseclose silt loam and 5% pinebark. The mixtures were then placed in 10 x 8 foot bins over a gravel and tile system, fumigated and seeded with Penncross bentgrass. Corresponding soil mixtures of a concrete sand with 90% of the particles between the 10 and 60 mesh screens were also incorporated into experimental greens.

A unique golfer with 15 spiked shoes, three hitting at a time, and weighing 450 pounds was employed in 1965 to compact greens. One trip was equivalent to ten golfers walking on the same surface.

After one season the following was observed:

1. Traffic reduced turf growth and quality on all mixtures.
2. Turf cover and color were satisfactory on all Weblite modified soils, but clippings, moisture capacity, and drought resistance were reduced when more than 54% Weblite was used.
3. On sand modified plots the density and color as well as clippings and wilt resistance were reduced when more than 54% sand was used.
4. Less Weblite than sand was needed to obtain similar results.
5. It appears that modified soils satisfactory for putting greens with heavy traffic should have the following physical properties:

   Total porosity 40-45%
   Capillary porosity 20-25%
   Non-capillary porosity 18-22%
   Percolation (in. per hour) 1-10

These physical properties are based on one season of field data and must not be considered absolute. Further evaluation is needed because, as workers at Penn State have indicated, after the second season of traffic their modified soils became increasingly compacted compared to the first season.

Construction of an experimental putting green at Virginia Polytechnic Institute for evaluating various soil mixtures adaptable to today’s heavy golf traffic.

say goodbye

to these weed and disease pests in your turf—

with Dacthal® herbicide you get pre-emerge control of these weeds and grasses:

Annual bluegrass Foxtail
Barnyard grass Goosegrass
Browntop panicum Lovegrass
Carpetweed Purslane
Chickweed Spurge
Crabgrass Stinkgrass
Fall panicum Texas millet
Florida pusley Witchgrass

with Dacamine® you can kill these weeds once and for all:

Bindweed Nettle
Bitterweed Pennycress
Broomweed Pennwort
Carpetweed Peppergrass
Chickweed Plantain
Chicory Poor Jo
Croton Puncture Vine
Dandellion Ragweed
Dock Shepherd’s Purse
Fan Weed Spurge
Knotweed Thistle
Kochia Wild Carrot
Mallow Wild Lettuce
Morning Glory Yarrow
Mustard ... and many, many other hard-to-kill weeds.

with Daconil 2787fungicide you can effectively control these turf disease organisms:

Sclerotinia dollar spot
Rhizoctonia brown patch
Piricularia gray leaf spot
Curvularia and Helminthosporium leaf spot

Blight—
Going out
Fading out
Melting out

All-out control of weeds and disease, all season long, from one source—Diamond Alkali Company, Agricultural Chemicals Division, 300 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44115

Diamond Chemicals

WEEDS TREES AND TURF, February, 1967