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Welcome!
New and Renewed SFMANJ Members

Currently we have 198 new & renewed members. In January 2011, SFMANJ mailed invoices for 2011 membership dues to all current members. If you did not receive an invoice, please contact us at 856.514.3179 or download the membership form available at www.sfmanj.org. Mail membership dues direct to SFMANJ, PO Box 205, Pennsville, NJ 08070.
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While many are looking to cut back on costs, Casey Parker, Director of Public Works, emphasizes the importance of fertilizing the township fields.

“A lot of people think it’s a vanity thing. It’s not just because we want our grass green. Safety is the core issue. Fertilization is a part of an overall component of the management of an athletic facility,” Parker said.

In March, there was a debate among committee members over the necessity of field fertilization leading to a 3-2 vote to pay the bills for TruGreen.

“After what we have invested in parks and fields we have a duty to the taxpayer to maintain them,” Mayor Gary Quinn said. “We all want to reduce dollars and save money for the taxpayers but let’s not do something tonight that we’ll all regret in five years.”

Committee members Sean Sharkey and Helen DelaCruz were opposed, stating that fertilization should be cut back and that if they were short money personally, they wouldn’t fertilize their lawns.

Residents have continuously voiced opposition to fertilizing the fields as often as the township does. In a recent “Question of the Day,” Patch asked, “Should the fertilization of township fields be cut?” Over 87 percent of the responses were opposed to the current fertilization plan.

“Most homeowners can barely afford to fertilize their own lawns once or twice a year. With proper mowing and watering and perhaps a twice a year fertilization, the grass will grow fine. The money really needs to go back to the residents by lowering their property taxes,” one resident said.

But athletic fields are a different type of turfgrass scenario compared to residential lawns due to the heavy traffic and use by athletes, said Bradley Park, Sports Turf Research and Education Coordinator for the Department of Plant Science at Rutgers University.

Park, also a member of the New Jersey Turfgrass Association (NJTA) added that nitrogen fertilization is a primary strategy for turf to recover from damage.

“You’re dealing with a playing surface and this playing surface is subject to use by athletes so the turf is going to decline in density and quality as a result of field play,” Park said. “In order to force that plant to recover from the damage that’s incurring due to use, fertilization is an important tool in the tool box to manage grass that is subject to wear and tear.”

Lacey Township uses a five-step program for their fields which includes the following:

1. Early Spring: Preemergence weed control
2. Late Spring: Preemergence and fertilization
3. Early Summer: Grub control and fertilization
4. Fall: Postemergence weed control and fertilization
5. Final: Fertilization and put turf to bed

The fertilization plan also includes slow-release nitrogen, a requirement in Gov. Chris Christie’s plan to protect the Barnegat Bay, which takes effect in 2012.

Parker said they have incorporated nitrogen slow-release into their plan for years and put the condition in the call for bids. Fertilization will contain no more than .75 pounds of Nitrogen.

Continued on page 7
All of us at some point in our careers find ourselves in that spot of uncertainty where it is hard to tell which way we are heading. Opportunities sometimes seem to dry-up. Those of us who have jobs find ourselves grateful to have a paycheck, yet sometimes we feel unfulfilled because whatever dreams or entitlements we thought we had just aren’t happening. One thing is certain; we have to take care of our physical, mental and spiritual health in order to keep moving forward.

Being an active member of the SFMANJ helps to keep me moving forward. My mind stays sharp because I am constantly learning things. Whether it is a session I attend at EXPO or one of the numerous baseball infield clinics I have attended, if I pay attention, I will learn something I did not know before, often from the most unlikely person.

What I like about attending our events is that I find myself surrounded by people who I have plenty in common with. I’m talking about people who know and understand what it takes to do our jobs well. People who can see and point out the things I am too close to, or too blind to notice. These are people who watch each other’s back. It is a wonderful network! I have introduced members of my network to each other. New alliances have been formed. The result has been good in many ways for all parties.

When I became active in this Association, I was asked to be of service. Giving service has really increased my confidence. When your confidence increases, you grow. For whatever service I have been privileged to give, the personal rewards have been much greater.

Perhaps the greatest gift of all has been the close friendships I have developed over the years with many of you. These are friendships that go far beyond what it takes to make a ball field better.

On behalf of the SFMANJ Board of Directors I cordially invite you to join us at our upcoming events. We have tried to make attending easier for you. Many (but not all) of our events such as field days and clinics are now absolutely free for members; all that we ask you to do is let us know if you are coming, mail, phone or email, it’s that easy. And it sure beats trying to get a purchase order! I hope to see you soon!

Don Savard is a Certified Sports Field Manager (CSFM) and Certified Grounds Manager (CGM); Director, Athletic Facilities and Grounds, Salesianum School; and President, SFMANJ.

2012 Organic and Reduced Pesticide Input Turfgrass Management Courses
offered by
Rutgers University, NJAES Office of Continuing Professional Education

In an effort to reduce human exposure to synthetic pesticides used on public sports fields and grounds, municipalities across New Jersey have developed either an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) policy intended to reduce synthetic pesticide inputs or an organic turf care policy intended to eliminate synthetic pesticide use entirely.

According to the New Jersey Environmental Federation, 40 New Jersey towns have banned synthetic pesticides from their municipally-managed parks and grounds and subsequently labeled these properties as ‘pesticide free zones.’

Moreover, a bill was introduced by the New Jersey State Legislature in December 2010 called the Safe Playing Fields Act, which will, upon passage, ban synthetic pesticide use on grounds at day care centers, schools, and athletic playing fields within municipal, county, and state parks.

Rutgers University’s New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station (NJAES) Office of Continuing Professional Education (OCPE) is holding courses in 2012 to help municipalities and boards of education better understand their needs and goals related to organic and reduced pesticide inputs in turf management.

Organic Turfgrass Management is a ½-day course scheduled for Tuesday, January 31, 2012, on Rutgers University’s Cook Campus that will introduce and explain organic turf management theories and methods to students.

Differences in organic, organic-synthetic hybrid, and IPM methods of maintaining lawns and grounds can be confusing. Poorly-defined words and poorly-written statements in municipal resolutions mandating turf care policies can often lead to problems regarding the appropriateness products used by turf care contractors/managers. For example, certain ‘low-risk’ herbicides are being characterized by some as ‘organic’ but these are not approved as such in the USDA National Organic Program Standards or by the Organic Materials Review Institute. There is also disagreement over whether turfgrass fertilizers derived from sewage sludge should be allowed in organic turf care. Organic programs need to be clearly defined so that there is little question as to what can and cannot be applied; this course will clarify these issues.

Turfgrass selection and establishment of well-adapted varieties are critical components of an organic program. Improved disease

Continued on page 8
After a few successful Spring Events, Sports Field Managers Association of New Jersey (SFMANJ) is looking forward to the rest of 2011. This year, in addition to traditionally sponsored field day events and trade shows, the Activities and Education Committee is taking on some new challenges and working to establish new professional relationships. SFMANJ recognizes the legislative and budgetary issues that increasingly limit the resources we have available to us and threaten the professional cultural practices we use to efficiently manage our sports fields and grounds.

Once the snow melted in March, this year’s educational program began by targeting a relatively new audience in youth sports. Specifically, Branchburg hosted a Saturday little league field maintenance clinic. This first clinic was attended by an enthusiastic group of volunteers and coaches who share the Association’s goals of increasing playability and safety on the field. Several members of the SFMANJ Board of Directors were in attendance to share their knowledge and experience in field layout, infield surface drainage and moisture control. Clinic attendees were introduced to some effective tools of the trade and then took part in a step-by-step pitchers mound construction. At the end of the clinic, participants had constructed a high quality mound that they could be proud of and were given some tips on how to maintain its playability and aesthetics.

The basic format of the Branchburg clinic was duplicated the following weekend in Pine Hill, NJ. The highly dedicated Pine Hill Public School Maintenance Crew took the lead in demonstrating field maintenance techniques that clearly resulted in success. Additions to the Pine Hill Clinic included a turfgrass education segment featuring Brad Park, turfgrass research and education coordinator at Rutgers University. Attendees had an opportunity to learn about proper turfgrass selection and were given some timely tips for making effective agronomic decisions. The Pine Hill clinic also added an afternoon segment that focused on High School field maintenance procedures. Despite the cold March temperatures, several coaches and decision makers in Pine Hill attended the Clinics and came away with some very useful information.

As the weather warmed-up over the next few weeks, the activities committee made final preparations for the annual Spring Field Day held on April 20. The multi-site field day began at the Torpey Athletic Complex in Bridgewater, home of the 2010 SFMANJ Field of the Year. Attendees witnessed a hands-on field recovery demonstration by Torpey’s head groundskeeper Dave Kaczynski and had the opportunity to learn about the award-winning field maintenance procedures used by the Somerset County Parks Staff. The field day continued at the Torpey Athletic Complex for the remainder of the morning. Multiple trade show equipment demonstrations and a Soil Testing Procedure Seminar featuring Dr. James Murphy from Rutgers University were incorporated into the program. Dr. Murphy clarified many misinterpretations of nutrient management legislation and provided attendees with a multitude of necessary informational resources.

After lunch, the field day concluded at T.D. Bank Ballpark, home of the Somerset Patriots. Dan Purner, head groundskeeper at T.D. Bank Ballpark, provided an opportunity to tour a professional baseball facility and shared his insight. Fortunately, attendees were able to see field preparations being made for a game scheduled for later that day. Moving from a public facility with limited resources to a professional facility helped attendees to clearly see the similarities and differences in field maintenance procedures and management techniques.

Continued on page 15
The fertilization done by TruGreen in 2011 will cost $25,800 while fertilization by Meticulous Landscaping in 2012 will cost $26,250.

**Parker** explained that they do not do anything during the summer months to control fungus or weeds, which most golf courses and some field management do.

“It’s not excessive. It’s probably average. It takes care of our needs and it provides enough feeding and nutrients to sustain a reasonably good stand of grass,” **Parker** said.

**Park**, took into account the amount of events held at the township parks and agreed that the field fertilization strategy is not unreasonable.

“High traffic athletic fields require high maintenance. The maintenance that goes into those fields has to fit the use characteristic for that field;” **Park** said.

The fields would decline in quality if fertilization were cut back, both **Parker** and **Park** said.

A field could deteriorate after one football game played in adverse weather conditions, **Park** said.

**Parker** added if field management is limited, other plants would begin to take over and the fields would deteriorate quickly.

“It’s kind of the domino effect if you start by eliminating the feeding process,” **Parker** said.

When feeding and weed control is stopped, weeds are more aggressive, **Parker** said. The weeds don’t need water or nutrients; they thrive on the environment.

Once the weeds take over, they choke the grass causing bare spots to develop, **Parker** said. Then the ground becomes hard and compact, losing drainage qualities and limiting play on the fields. The fields would become uneven and rutty with holes, which are in turn hazards.

“Cutting the fertilization schedule or the frequency is not in our best interest,” **Parker** said.

If fields are let go, they could be shut down for as long as a year while the township rehabilitates them, **Park** said.

The fertilization is just a part of the turf management process in Lacey Township, **Parker** said. Public Works cuts grass and does core aeration and irrigation in-house.

While other townships may do fertilization in-house, Lacey Township’s Public Works outsources fertilization because of liability with licensing, storage of materials and application equipment, **Parker** said.

The Barnegat, Berkeley, and Brick Township recreation directors did not immediately return calls to comment about their fertilization programs.

In 2003, Lacey Township received an award from the NJTA recognizing their facilities and in 2009 the township won “Field of the Year” from the Sports Field Managers Association of New Jersey (SFMANJ).

“I visit a lot of fields throughout the state, and I would say … in terms of field complexes and turf quality, he’s got some of the best facilities in the state,” **Park** said.

**Park** has seen fields be let go. In North Jersey, **Park** said many fields are bare soil, with a lot of weeds, and poor quality playing surface.

“Those towns that do nothing but mow, paint lines, and groom infields, their fields are in the poorest condition,” **Park** said. “**Parker** is doing a very good job maintaining Lacey’s public assets. If some of the community saw other facilities and saw how poorly maintained they are, they might have another viewpoint.”

One board of education let their fields go and called **Park** in for advice. **Park** said he recommended seeding, fertilization, mowing, a better job irrigating, and an increase in management inputs.

“I was very impressed with Hebrew Park. It would be unfortunate to see that field go in the other direction,” **Parker** said.

“All this work that we’ve been doing for the last 18 to 20 years, what are you going to do, just let it go? At what price,” **Parker** said.

Elaine Piniat is Editor, Lacey Patch (www.lacey.patch.com).
and insect-resistance is essential to any policy that eliminates synthetic pesticide use. These recommendations will be covered in the course.

Sound soil management, mowing, irrigation, and fertilization practices are the foundation of an organic turf management program. Unfortunately, institutions often implement ‘organic turf management’ as nothing more than once-per-week mowing. These turfgrass stands typically have limited grass cover and are riddled with weeds. A goal of this course is to emphasize that ‘organic management’ does not mean ‘no management.’ Soil management, mowing, fertilization, irrigation, and overseeding will be discussed.

The Organic Turfgrass Management course will also examine organic and ‘low-impact’ pesticides. Materials described as ‘organic’ and ‘low-impact’ often differ greatly in cost, efficacy, and product handling and application methods compared to their synthetic counterparts. The advantages and disadvantages of these products need to be fully understood before an organic turf care program can be properly implemented.

Rutgers University will also be offering its popular ½-day course on Reduced Pesticide Inputs and Organic Options for Sports Turf. The class will be held on Tuesday, February 21, 2012, on Rutgers’ Cook Campus. Increasingly, organic fertilizers and pest control options suitable for small-area home lawns are being recommended by consultants and marketed by organic turf care companies for sports fields and large-acreage general grounds. Sports fields present unique challenges unlike home lawns for turf managers implementing organic or reduced pesticide input management. Many municipalities and Boards of Education have many acres of sports field surfaces that are subject to intense traffic. Budgets for these grounds are frequently inadequate (regardless of whether organic or synthetic) to avoid loss of turf cover, which subsequently provides the opportunity for weeds like crabgrass, goosegrass, and prostrate knotweed to overtake fields.

Weed encroachment and turf damage caused by insects (e.g., the white grub complex of Japanese beetle, Oriental beetle, etc.) may be acceptable in some home lawns; however, sports fields are playing surfaces and the tolerance for turf damage, particularly at higher levels of play, is much lower than the tolerance considered acceptable in general lawn care.

The Reduced Pesticide Inputs and Organic Options for Sports Turf course will discuss these challenges and emphasize strategies such as overseeding and soil management that must be priorities in any budget for sports turf management, particularly those that are adopting organic methods or significant reductions in synthetic pesticides use.
Let's suppose your facility follows an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program and has in place a written an IPM policy. This policy which describes how the plan will be implemented, offers a guideline for what the thresholds are for insect populations, disease pressures, even damages such as traffic wear or drought stress. These thresholds might be based on economics (the cost of treatment vs. the cost of the pest damage) or aesthetics (when it looks bad enough). The document might even specify what control measures will be utilized or when and how they are to be implemented. Now it is your job to scout the landscape for pest problems. Where do you start?

The site survey and inventory.

Before you begin scouting, you must develop a site map showing prominent features such as buildings, pavement, turf areas, trees, and bodies of water. Indicate where North is and show how water drains on to and off the site. This map will help you see the big picture especially if you are trying to diagnose a problem that doesn’t have any easy to find clues. All of the prominent features can have a direct or indirect effect on plant health. Shade, heat from pavements, and bodies of water all influence microclimates which have an effect on plant or pest viability.

Next, identify and list all of the plant materials on your site including those that have been installed and those growing naturally. Indicate their location on your map. Note the age and condition of the plant material. You really have to know what you are looking at, it’s culture and especially know what healthy is supposed to look like. Obtain the square foot measurements for the turf, tree and planting areas as this information will be useful in case you must take some kind of corrective action. Begin to record the local weather conditions such as temperature, humidity, precipitation, wind speeds and cloud cover. Keep before and after pictures of your site for additional documentation.

What are you looking for?

You will be looking for abnormalities in the plant growth or health. It you know what healthy (for a particular plant) is supposed to look like, finding the abnormalities will become more apparent for the observant IPM scout. Abnormalities in plant health can be triggered by either (or a combination of) biotic or abiotic factors. A Biotic factor is any living component that affects another organism. Biotic causes of damage include insect (or other organism pests), bacterial, viral and fungal diseases. Abiotic factors are non-living chemical and physical components in the environment. This would include soil problems, weather related causes of damages (such as heat, cold, wind, sun, shade, drought and flooding), mechanical injury (such as traffic, wear, cultivation and other physical phenomenon).

How to scout for problems.

First, step back and consider the “big picture “of the site as a whole and observe whether or not it is well cared for and healthy or not. For every observation made, pose the question “why or why not” As you begin to examine the plant materials, look at its micro environment and be sure to examine the plants from all angles including both sides of the leaves. If you subscribe to a weekly IPM scouting publication offered by your local cooperative extension services, you can zero in on specific targets and pests for that time period. The idea is to move systematically and efficiently through the site. Record what you see. Because your IPM plan should include economic or aesthetic thresholds, your records will provide quantifiable data to support whether or not an action should be taken.

Don't be fooled!

The presence of insects does not necessarily indicate that there is a problem. In a balanced ecology, there is a place for all of the creatures, both predators and prey. When this delicate balance is disturbed plant health will eventually become compromised.

Are you sure that the insect that you observe is not a beneficial insect. For example, big eyed bugs (Geocoris spp.) are a beneficial predator often confused with the chinch bug (Blissus spp.), which is a pest in turf. Capture, identify and confirm that the moths flying over the turf in a zigzag pattern are indeed sod webworm adults laying eggs in the turf and not some benign flying insect.

Very often unhealthy looking turf and plant materials appear to have insect or disease damage when in fact the damage was of an abiotic cause. For example, the irregular off color patterns in turf may not be a fungal disease but rather an indication that an irrigation head might be functioning improperly. Finding the real source of the problem is your objective. Keep in mind that plants may not necessarily succumb because of just one cause of death, but often to secondary and tertiary causes. Keep an open mind and be observant!

Essential tools you will need for IPM investigation.

For examination:

- 10X Hand lens, (Binoculars for looking into trees)
- Flashlight
- Thermometers, (soil and ambient air)
- Measuring tape
- Sharp Knife and Pruning shears
- Soil probe, Spade or Trowel
- Bucket, Soil screen sieve
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PHOTO RECAP OF SFMANJ SPRING FIELD DAY