Field techniques Understanding soil test reports

By Christopher Sann

S oil test reports vary considerably from one testing lab to another. Rather than use a particular lab's test report as an example, we have incorporated features from several labs' reports for the following sample report.

Each test result is listed with its unit of measurement and a recommended action for each result. There is a key explanation at the end with a brief description of each result.

Explanation of a typical soil test report

1. Soil pH is the active acidity of the sample. It measures the hydrogen ion concentration in the soil solution, and it allows you to estimate the availability of all nutrients and the distribution of the major cations held on exchange sites.

2. Buffer pH is the reserve acidity of the sample. It measures the hydrogen ion concentration on the exchange sites and indicates how resistant the soil is to pH change.

3. C.E.C. or cation exchange capacity is a numerical expression of the quantity of

TYPICAL SOIL TEST REPORT

Run For: Al Ways Ready, Supt. Site name: 9th & 10th Fairway 14th Lost Ball Road Species: Bentgrass Big Swing, PA State name: State name:
Test Result Units Range Recommendations
1. Soil pH 5.9* 6.3-6.5 30 lbs. calcitic limestone/1000 ft.2/year
2. Buffer ph 6.8* 6.9-7.1 See soil pH recommendations
3. C.E.C. 12.3 meq/100g 3.0-40+ Loamy to sandy
4. Phosphorus 22* ppm 28-32 1.0 lb. P2O5/1000 ft.2/year
5. Potassium 65* ppm 120-200 2.5 lbs. K2O/1000 ft.2/year
6. Magnesium 215 ppm varies by C.E.C. see soil pH recommendations
Calcium 850* ppm varies by C.E.C. See soil pH recommendations
Sodium 32 ppm >50 none
7. % H Base Saturation 30.5* % >5% See soil pH saturation recommendations
% K Base Saturation 01.8 % varies by C.E.C. See potassium saturation recommendation
% Ca Base Saturation 45.5* % 65-75% See soil pH saturation recommendations
% Mg Base Saturation 20.0 % 15-20% None
% Na Base Saturation 02.2 % 0-5% None

*Needs addressing

meq/100g = milli-equivalents per 100 grams per soil

ppm = parts per million

9

cations held in the soil matrix. C.E.C. measures the soil's nutrient holding capacity and is a strong indicator of soil texture and fertility.

4. Phosphorus is the amount of plantavailable phosphorus in the sample at the reported soil pH. Phosphorus may be held in other unavailable and insoluble forms in the soil matrix, but plant-available is the only important number.

5. Potassium measures the amount of potassium that is plant-available in the soil solution and held on the exchange sites. Like phosphorus, potassium can also be held in other nonavailable forms.

6. Magnesium, calcium and sodium report the amounts of each of these elements in soil solution and held on exchange sites. These elements are the main components of the alkaline portion of the soil, and, although you can estimate pH from this information, a better picture can be obtained by looking at the percent base saturation for these elements.

7. Percent (%) Base Saturation (P.B.S.) for hydrogen, potassium, magnesium, calcium and sodium represent the distribution of each element relative to the total cation exchange capacity. These five major elements — combined with the minor elements — represent the total exchange capacity of the soil. From a practical standpoint, P.B.S. indicates how efficiently the plant-available forms of these elements are becoming available for plant use.

8. Soil pH recommendations (see tables below) are actually based on the buffer pH readings. The actual material recommended will depend on the balance that exists between calcium and magnesium. The amounts of these elements that are available at differing C.E.C. levels is less important than the ratio of calcium to magnesium.

Since magnesium is a stronger alkaline material than calcium, and calcium is more necessary than magnesium both for plant

Correcting pH and related deficiencies

1. LIMING RECOMMENDATIONS

If buffer pH is	Add calcitic limestone*	Or hydrated lime
6.7-6.8	25 lbs./1000 ft.2	12.5 lbs./1000 ft.2
6.5-6.6	50 lbs./1000 ft.2	25 lbs./1000 ft.2
6.3-6.4	75 lbs./1000 ft.2	37.5 lbs./1000 ft.2
6.1-6.2	100 lbs./1000 ft.2	50 lbs./1000 ft.2
5.9-6.0	125 lbs./1000 ft.2	62.5 lbs./1000 ft.2
<5.8	150 lbs./1000 ft.2	75 lbs./1000 ft.2

2. CORRECTING MAGNESIUM DEFICIENCES

...WITH SOIL PH GREATER THAN 6.0, USING EPSOM SALTS If % Mg base sat. is... Add lbs. magnesium* Timing <10% 1.0 lb /1000 ft 2 spring and fall

10-15%	0.5 lb./1000 ft.2	spring and fall
>15%	0	not applicable**
*Actual pounds of epsom sa	ts applied per 1000 ft.2 will v	ary, depending on the percentage of
magnesium in the epsom sal	ts.	

** Usually with soil pH greater than 6.0 but less than 7.0, low calcium levels require gypsum applications.

10

3. CORRECTING CALCIUM DEFICIENCIES

...WITH SOIL PH GREATER THAN 6.0, USING GYPSUM**

If Ca base sat. is... And %Mg base sat. is... Add gypsum

<800 ppm 20% 10-15 lbs.

<600 ppm 20% 15-25 lbs.

<500 ppm 20% 25 lbs.*

*May require multiple applications and should be monitored closely.

** Corrective application can be made anytime two weeks prior to, or after, a fertilizer application.

4. CORRECTING HIGH SOIL PH

... GREATER THAN 7.0, USING SULFUR

If soil pH is	And turf length is	Add sulfur
> 7.0	very short	100-200 lbs./A
>7.0	short-tall	200-400 lbs./A*
100	and a second	

* Do not exceed 200 lbs./acre per application on sandy soils.

** Make multiple applications if necessary, and monitor soil pH two to four weeks after application. Do not apply during a period two weeks before or after a fertilizer application, as a rapid pH change can cause some nitrogen sources to volatize.

Reprinted from TurfGrass Trends, November-December 1992.

nutrition and soil stability, a ratio of 6-8 parts calcium to one part magnesium is desirable. Soils low in soil pH, calcium and magnesium will require a dolomitic limestone. Soils low in soil pH and calcium, but with good magnesium levels will require calcitic limestone or hydrated lime applications. Soils with good soil pH, but low in either calcium or magnesium will require gypsum or epsom salts applications respectively.

Timing spring and fall** spring and fall**

—Editor's note: This is reprinted from Turf-Grass Trends, November/December 1992.

