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Among the most damaging turf insect pests in northeastern United States are white grubs, the immature forms of several different scarab beetle species. White grubs feed on turfgrass roots and can cause serious damage to both residential and golf course turf when populations are high.

Within this group, commonly referred to as the white grub complex, Japanese beetle (Popilla japonica) grubs are one of the most important turf insect pests in central New York. Additionally, the grubs of European chafer (Rhizotrogus majalis) and Black Turfgrass ataenius (Ataenius spretulus) can also cause extensive damage to golf course turf in central New York, but the damage from these two scarab species tends to be less widespread and less consistent than damage from Japanese beetle grubs. Both the Japanese beetle and the European chafer have a lifecycle consisting of one generation per year, while the Black Turfgrass ataenius has two generations per year in New York State.
Grub control past and present

Historically, chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides, like Chlordane, were used to control Japanese beetle and European chafer grubs in turf. These products were relatively inexpensive and highly effective on a broad spectrum of grub species when first introduced, and remained active in the soil for several years.

Because of their extended residual properties and the breadth of their control, turfgrass managers could apply these chemicals at any time during the year with little regard for identifying the targeted species or the growth stage the species were in. They could be confident that the insecticide would remain active to control grubs for several years.

The chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides were lost as a tool for grub control in the late 1960s and early 1970s due to rapid development of insect resistance and EPA's concerns about human health and environmental effects.

The chlorinated hydrocarbon soil insecticides were replaced by a group of much shorter residual organophosphate and carbamate insecticides whose breadth of control was more species specific and whose effective soil life ranged from several days to a few months. This dramatic change in efficacy, spectrum of control and residual has placed a premium on knowing which scarab species is causing problems and what, when, and how to apply these insecticides.

Strategies for short-residual soil insecticides

In general, turfgrass managers have traditionally taken two different approaches to
managing grubs in turf when using short residual control products - curative and preventive.

The **curative approach** is to wait until the first signs of grub damage are obvious in early fall and then treat the damaged areas curatively. Signs of grub damage include direct damage to the turf from grub feeding (i.e., areas of turf in apparent water stress even under irrigation) or, more commonly, damage from skunks, raccoons, and birds digging for grubs and dislodging turf in the process. This approach has a benefit in that it tends to limit the total area treated with insecticides. But, treatment at this time often provides less than satisfactory control because the insecticide is applied to larger, more resistant grubs just prior to their migration down into the soil to escape winter temperatures at the soil surface. (The best time to apply a curative grub treatment is when the grubs are small.) In addition, the cost of repairing the dislodged turf and waiting for symptoms to appear has its downside.

Alternatively, the **preventive approach** uses short-residual insecticides applied before grub damage is obvious, usually in early to mid-summer in central New York. Such applications are often successful when label instructions are followed because the smaller grubs are feeding near the surface where they come in contact with the insecticide.

Furthermore, this early treatment strategy allows for an extended overlap of the insecticide’s residual and grub population feeding, prior to the grubs moving down into the profile for the winter.

However, since there are few early symptoms of feeding activity, it is often difficult to determine just what areas to treat using this approach. Some risk-adverse turf managers simply treat “wall-to-wall,” applying insecticide to all turf as insurance against grub damage.

This approach can be very expensive as the newest grub controls are often three to five times more expensive than the acceptance and use of new insecticides has led to a shift in research from mapping existing populations of grubs for spot treatment to predicting their location and intensity for accurate treatment.

---

**How to Recognize the European Chafer**

**European chafer**

*(Rhizotrogus majalis)*

**Range:** Northeast states minus Vermont and Maine plus Ohio and Michigan.

**Hosts:** Most cool season grasses.

**Site Damage Symptoms:** Areas of wilting turf under heat stress even with irrigation or adequate rainfall. Areas that dry out occasionally are first to show damage.

**Plant Symptoms:** Browned or wilted turf that can be easily pulled up and that show extensive root damage.

**Sites Vulnerable to Infestation:** Areas of full sun or areas that have greater than 60% sunlight and have consistent soil moisture early in the summer. Low maintenance areas or areas near trees, vertical surfaces, or nighttime illumination most vulnerable.

**Life Cycle:** Grubs overwinter as larva down in the soil. They feed actively and stop only when the soil freezes. In the spring or during warmer winter spells, they move back up and feed near the soil surface. Adults emerge in mid-June to early July to mate in trees or near nighttime lights. Females deposit their eggs in turf areas that are near mating location. The eggs hatch and grubs start feeding in early August. They feed and molt twice over the next several months until the ground freezes.
How to Recognize the Black Turfgrass Ataenius

Black Turfgrass Ataenius
(Ataenius spretulus)

Range: Northeast and mid-Atlantic states plus Tennessee, North Carolina, Missouri, and Iowa. Also West except Wyoming and Colorado.

Hosts: Bentgrasses, annual bluegrass and ryegrass

Site Damage Symptoms: Areas of wilting turf in late spring to early summer in spite of irrigation or adequate rainfall.

Plant Symptoms: Brownd or wilted turf shows no signs of obvious insect feeding, but plants can be easily pulled up with a minimum of effort and show extensive damage to root structures at the plant/soil interface.

Sites Vulnerable to Infestation: Tees, greens, fairways, sports facilities or areas with high soil organic matter levels.

Life Cycle: Ataenius overwinter as adults (≈ one four inch long). In the spring, usually February through late April, they can be seen swarming on the surface of vulnerable turf sites. During the next several months, they hatch, feed, molt through three instars, pupate and emerge as adults to start the cycle anew. In areas with longer growing seasons more than one generation may occur. It has been reported that as many as seven generations per year can occur in areas of southern California and the desert Southwest.

Mapping and sampling grub populations

The apparent conundrum of balancing application and restoration costs versus damage has led to an increasing interest in the mapping of grub populations as a simple, cost effective and risk-free approach to determine which turf areas have grub populations high enough to require the application of short residual organophosphate or carbamate insecticides.

Mapping is the process of scouting vulnerable turf areas to locate, identify and count the number of grubs found in that area. Ideally, the best time to look for beetle grubs in the soil is usually in mid summer. At this time most grubs have hatched and, though they are usually small, they can be easily seen and identified. The early detection of heavy grub populations at this time will give managers adequate time to treat the grubs before they grow larger and more resistant or they begin to move down into the soil profile.

Systematic grub sampling is used to determine where the highest grub populations are found, which grub species are the most common, and the predominant developmental stage, or instar, of the grubs.

Systematic sampling can provide addi-
The Economics of Systematic Sampling and Mapping

Jennifer Grant, working with the New York State IPM Program, sampled and mapped 36 golf courses in Central New York for Japanese beetle and European chafer grubs each fall over a four-year period.

**How sampling was done** — Each fairway was sampled using a standard cup cutter. Four cores were taken equidistant across the width of a fairway at 30-yard intervals with sampling skewed toward the roughs on the wider fairways. The sampling team consisted of three to ten people, all trained to recognize grubs and larval stages and one or more persons capable of species identification.

Each six-inch-deep sample was broken apart working on a cardboard or wooden piece; the grubs were counted and identified; and the soil and turf were replaced and pressed back down. The findings were logged on a map or in a table along with their approximate location on the fairway.

By carefully monitoring the total time it took to map the golf courses, Jennifer determined that it took an average of two labor hours to sample and map a typical hole, or 36 hours to map a typical 18-hole golf course.

**Using site conditions to predetermine areas to sample** — Sampling was done on 300 home lawns in the Rochester area for Japanese beetle and European Chafer grubs. Researchers concluded that there were three factors that affected the level of damage that occurred from grub activity - shade, turf species, and age of the turf.

Any turf area that has direct sunlight for less than 60% of the day is less likely to have a significant grub population. Fine fescues or fine fescue and bluegrass mixtures were the most likely to harbor damaging grub populations. Older, established turf stands were less likely to have damaging grub populations than younger stands.

**Cost comparison** — Using the time estimates for sampling and mapping a typical golf course of approximately 36 labor hours, the annual cost of sampling would be $180 if workers were paid $5.00 an hour, $252 if they were paid $7.00 an hour, or $360 if they earned $10.00 an hour.

Contrast these estimated costs against the estimated cost of grub control insecticides. The cost for treating 25 acres of fairway turf could range from $1825-$3684, while treating 60 acres could cost between $4380-$8842 using standard grub insecticides. The cost of the newest grub control materials is higher.

**Results of implementing grub sampling** — During the four years of this study, systematic grub sampling and mapping allowed 17 golf courses to avoid grub insecticide treatments altogether, 16 golf courses to use spot treatments when required, and only three golf courses required treatments on all fairways.

Depending on the size of the courses involved and their previous grub control strategies, the total cost savings over the four-year period for the 17 no-treatment courses alone was well over $100,000. Based on these estimates, the cost of annual systematic sampling and mapping compares quite favorably. All our research to date has pointed to the fact that decisions based upon current conditions are almost always less costly and produce better results than those based on past practices, history or convenience.

The average reduction in pesticide applications for those courses that implemented IPM practices, such as grub sampling and mapping, has averaged 52%, while overall course quality went up. Making control decisions based on a system that provides managers with the most current information possible is always best.
Figure 1. Cumulative Japanese beetle grub counts from Fairway 12 at Tuscarora Golf Course. Darkest shading represents 12-14 grubs per sample to no grubs per sample (lightest shading) from 1995 to 1998.

Figure 2a. Summary of the presence of Japanese beetle grubs from 1995 to 1997.

Figure 2b. Summary of the presence of black turfgrass ataenius grubs from 1995 to 1997.

Figure 2c. Summary of the presence of European chafer grubs from 1995 to 1997.
ional short-term benefits by indicating if a large number of the grubs are infected with natural bacterial or fungal pathogens; the condition, size and health of turf’s root system; the depth, density and condition of thatch; and the texture, compaction and moisture levels of the soil.

The longer-term benefits of systematic sampling are the identification of susceptible or favorable turf areas, the development of action or no treatment thresholds, and treatment efficacy when undertaken before and after the application of an insecticide.

**Determining thresholds**

Just as when sampling is not used to determine treatment scheduling, personal and site usage values should be incorporated in setting up control action and treatment thresholds. The population tolerance level (no action taken) or the action threshold (a population level that triggers a control action) are often very site-specific and depend on many factors:

• pest species or complex found
• pest population and developmental stage found
• turfgrass species and cultivar managed
• current and future turf use
• turf vigor, time of year, quality
• curative control options available
• budget

A population of a specific species of white grubs (i.e., 10-12 Japanese beetle grubs per square foot) may not trigger an action in a naturally wetter, low-use turf area, when a much smaller number (5-7 per square foot) in a high use, dryer area would.

Additionally, some managed turfgrass species, like high endophyte ryegrass and tall fescue varieties, seem to be able to tolerate higher grub population levels with little or no apparent damage.

**A new focus with the newest control products**

Sampling and mapping existing populations of scarab grubs is an ideal strategy when used in conjunction with the application of short-residual insecticides to areas heavily infested with grubs. However, the newest generation of scarab insecticides (such as imidacloprid) and the insect growth regulators (such as Mach 2) are more efficacious when they are applied as a preventative rather than a curative treatment.

The best results with these products are achieved when they are applied at, or just prior to, grub egg hatch. In other words, before managers can map a current year’s grub population in the field.

The rapid acceptance and extensive use of these products by turf managers has led to a major shift in the focus of our research efforts from mapping existing populations of grubs for spot treatment to predicting where (and at what level of intensity) grub populations will occur.

Although damage thresholds can be fairly arbitrary for scarab grubs in turfgrass, our historical understanding of the activity of the three targeted species we are focusing on make the commonly accepted and published control action thresholds fairly high:

• European chafer, 7-10 grubs/sq.ft.
• Japanese beetle, 10-15 grubs/sq.ft.
• Black turfgrass ataenius, 50-200 grubs/sq.ft.

Because of these widely published action thresholds, providing turf managers with an accurate understanding of environmental and site conditions most likely to harbor high levels of each grub species would help them anticipate which species to expect different sites based upon conditions.

Since turf managers rarely take the time to identify scarab species, and small grubs can be difficult to identify, mapping will improve the use of control action thresholds on golf course turf by identifying the conditions and locations of likely threshold populations of each species.
Mapping procedures used in this study

The population densities of Japanese beetle, European chafer and black turfgrass ataenius grubs were sampled on 10 fairways by locating a grid on each fairway. A four-inch diameter plug cutter was used to remove a 6-inch-deep core of soil and turf from each grid node from which larvae were counted.

In 1995, grid points were located at 15-foot intervals across fairways and at 45-foot intervals along fairways. In 1996, 1997 and 1998 samples were collected at the same grid points and from additional points midway between the 45-foot intervals. The increased sampling intensity was undertaken to evaluate the precision of the generated maps with twice as many samples. This intensive sampling of fairways and roughs from 1995 through 1998 allowed us to map the location of Japanese beetle, European chafer, and black turfgrass ataenius grubs.

Figure 1 gives a graphic representation of the total number of Japanese beetle grubs on the 12th fairway and rough of the Tuscarora Golf Club (Camillus, NY) from 1995 through 1998. White areas indicate 0 Japanese beetle grubs. The shading intensity increases as grub numbers increase. The regions with the darkest shades of gray have cumulative grub counts greater than 150 grubs/sq.ft.

Figure 2 provides a summary of the location of the three grub species over the three years of the survey without regard to absolute population numbers at each site (a “presence or absence” map). What is obvious from Figure 2 is that there are clear differences in where we find the various grub species on this fairway. The European chafer grubs are found predominately in the rough areas, the Japanese beetles are found mostly on fairways, and the black turfgrass ataenius grubs appear to be in sites not occupied by the other two species.

As part of this study, the site characteristics of soil texture, organic matter, vegetation and slope were also taken. Figure 3 shows the percent organic matter and percent clay content found in soil samples col-
lected from the 12th fairway and rough of the Tuscarora Golf Club in 1998. During July 1996, 1997 and 1998 the spatial pattern of adult Japanese beetles was estimated at each fairway. This was done by mapping each woody or upright herbaceous plant or groups of plants around the border of the fairway and then rating the size of the plant(s) as well as the abundance of adult's beetles on the plant(s).

Conclusions of the grub study

Based on these studies and information found in the research and extension literature, the following generalizations can be made.

Preferences of Japanese Beetles

Japanese beetle adults crawl out of the ground in late June and early July and fly to find food sources and mating partners. Although they can fly as much as a half a mile a day Japanese beetles females tend to prefer well managed irrigated turfgrass that is close to vegetation suitable for adult feeding — they feed on the foliage of over 300 different species of plants. The beetles mate, and the females are ready to lay as many as 20 mature eggs about one week after emergence. In many cases, females lay a high number of eggs close to the turf from which they emerged.

Laboratory studies have documented that Japanese beetle females will discriminate among oviposition (egg laying) sites differing in soil texture, soil moisture, and percent organic matter.

Shade and Soil – Interestingly, we found very few Japanese beetle grubs directly under trees, possibly because adult beetles seem to prefer areas in full sun rather than heavy shade leading to lower oviposition under trees (see Figure 4). A second possibility might be that Japanese beetle grubs have high mortality in shaded areas with poor turf quality.

Japanese beetle females did not lay eggs in completely dry soils. As soil particle size increased, soil moisture requirements for oviposition decreased.

Soil texture and organic matter analysis suggests that highest Japanese beetle grub populations are found in sites with loamy textured soils with moderate levels of organic matter. Eggs and young grubs are sensitive to temperature and moisture extremes. Under extreme environmental conditions eggs will not hatch and young grubs will not survive.

The ideal soil for egg laying appears to be one that is well drained, non-compacted and loamy. Such a soil does not flood in rainy periods nor dry completely during drought.

Japanese beetle grubs are also found in higher numbers in the irrigated fairways rather than unirrigated roughs perhaps due to improved turf quality.

Figure 4. The influence of a host plant of Japanese beetle adults on the probable distribution of grubs at various distances from the tree. Very few grubs are found directly under the tree crown, due partly to heavy shading. Grub densities decrease as the distance from the tree increases. Unfavorable soil factors will alter this pattern.
Preferences of Other Grubs

European chafer and black turfgrass atae
tnius grubs were found in much lower num-
bers than were Japanese beetle grubs in Tus-
carora. In comparison to Japanese beetles, 
European chafer grubs were found in lower 
maintenance turf sites without irrigation 
and with sandy, well-drained soil textures.

The European chafer grubs are also 
commonly found surrounding small trees 
that serve as aggregation sites for mating 
pairs. European chafer adults usually 
emerge slightly earlier in the spring than 
Japanese beetles emerging at dusk and 
attracted to lights and vertical objects in the 
landscape, often forming large swarms 
around small trees.

Adult European chafers do not feed, 
staying above ground just long enough to 
mate. Mating pairs of European chafers fall 
from the trees and crawl back into the turf 
where females lay several dozen eggs over 
several days.

Black turfgrass atae
tnius grubs are 
found almost exclusively on high organic 
and turfgrass with heavy thatch. It is possible that the use of organic 
additions may increase the likelihood of 
black turfgrass atae
tnius populations.

It is possible that the use of organic amendments may increase the likelihood of black turfgrass atae
tnius populations.

It is possible that the use of organic amendments may increase the likelihood of black turfgrass atae
tnius populations. It is possible that the use of organic amendments may increase the likelihood of black turfgrass atae
tnius populations.

High Organic Matter – Although the 
overall populations of black turfgrass atae
tnius grubs were low in our sampling sites 
(1995 through 1997), those grubs that we 
found occurred in areas of dense thatch and 
in soils with high organic matter. This high organic matter soil condition can pose additional problems for grub control. Heavy dense organic matter layers (i.e., thick thatch) can physically prevent the movement of insecticides to the soil surface. Additionally, the organic matter in the soil itself may adsorb insecticides, further restricting the downward movement of insecticides.

Modern soil insecticides must move 
through the thatch zone rapidly to be effective because of their short residual activity (often less than one month). In general, those insecticides that are least water-soluble (i.e., chlorpyrifos) have the greater chance of being bound to thatch while more soluble materials (i.e., trichlorfon) are less affected. An exception to this general rule is bendiocarb, which is relatively insoluble but is less sensitive to thatch than are other, more soluble, materials.

While high levels of soil organic matter or thatch will result in significant tie-up of any insecticide, chlorpyrifos has the highest affinity for organic matter making it unsuitable for use as a grub control agent in organic soils. For this reason, and the swarming habit of black turfgrass atae
tnius on turf during mating, the adults, rather than the grub stage, are usually targeted for control with insecticide applications.

Recommendations

Even if turf managers are using older shorter-residual organophosphate and car-
barnate insecticides, systematic sampling of vulnerable areas for targeting control is still a very viable alternative to blanket “wall-to-wall” applications of grub control.

This technique, along with information developed in our earlier research (see “The Economics of Scouting”) can provide managers with the accurate information about grub populations, species and growth stages needed to make more precise control decisions. Systematic sampling can also provide additional information regarding the condition, size and health of turf root systems; thatch depth and density; soil texture, compaction and moisture levels; and many other valuable inputs in the overall management process.

For managers who have embraced the newest grub control materials, knowing the site conditions of vulnerable areas, combined with specific information about scarab grub activity tendencies, can help ensure the efficacious use of these materials.

M. G. Villani is an entomologist with Cornell University in Ithaca, NY.

Keep the following basic information regarding scarab beetle grubs in mind even if you don’t have the resources to carry out sampling and mapping practices:

- Well managed, irrigated locations near vulnerable vegetation will tend to harbor Japanese beetle grubs, often at very high populations.
- Dryer, low-maintenance locations near vertical surfaces or sources of nighttime illumination will tend to harbor European chafer populations.
- High organic content or heavily thatched soils will tend to harbor populations of black turfgrass ataenius.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Spring Transition of Bermudagrass
A Review of Information

By Robert Green¹, Grant Klein¹, F. Merino¹, J. Evans¹, Mike Henry² and Steve Cockerham¹.

The spring transition of overseeded bermudagrass back to a monostand of bermudagrass can be a concern and a challenge for golf course superintendents and turfgrass managers of sports fields, parks and other turfgrass areas in southern California. Spring transition is a common concern of turfgrass managers across the southern regions of the United States.

Numerous articles concerning the overseeding of warm-season turfgrasses have been published in technical and trade journals. A review of selected reports, organized by topic and including a brief description of the work and the findings is presented below.

Importance of Healthy Bermudagrass

A strong, healthy bermudagrass is essential for a rapid spring transition. Healthy