## Organic Matter Dynamics in the Surface Zone of a USGA Green: Practices to Alleviate Problems

**Dr. Robert Carrow** 

## **University of Georgia**

## Goals:

- Determine the effectiveness of selected fall/spring-applied cultivation on enhancement of bentgrass root development, water infiltration, and soil oxygen status during spring and fall root development periods.
- Determine the effectiveness of selected summer-applied cultivation, topdressing and wetting agent practices on bentgrass root maintenance and viability, water infiltration, and soil oxygen status during the summer months when root decline occurs.
- The best treatments from the objectives above will be combined to develop an integrated year-round program for maximum root development and maintenance during stress periods.

Organic matter in the surface 0 to 2 inch zone of a USGA green increases from an initial level of 1.0 to 5.0 percent (by weight) at establishment to 8 to 12 percent after two years. Organic matter accumulation occurs even under excellent management and regardless of construction method. The two proposed problems are:

- I. Summer Bentgrass Decline in Response to Root Deterioration and Plugging of the Macropores that are Important for Soil O<sub>2</sub> and Infiltration of Water. A project was initiated in late spring 1996 to investigate the influence of treatments (summer cultivation, sand topdressing, sand substitutes, wetting agents) on maintaining infiltration, soil O<sub>2</sub> status, and root viability. This field study will continue until fall 1998. Observations to date are:
  - Percent organic matter (OM) by weight ranged from 10.1 to 10.2 percent for the untreated control in the surface 0 to 3.0 cm zone. Core aeration with sufficient topdressing to fill the holes in March was the only treatment to reduce percent OM content (i.e., to 4.1 and 7.7%).
  - High OM content in the surface 0 to 3 cm zone resulted in the following soil physical properties relative to USGA recommended specifications (in parenthesis): total porosity of 74.2 to 76.7 percent (35 to 55 percent); aeration porosity of 17.3 to 22.5 percent (15 to 30%); capillary porosity of 54.1 to 56.9 percent (15 to 25%).

- The surface zone resulted in saturated hydraulic conductivities (SHC) of 53 to 304 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> for the control (minimum recommended is 120 mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) and oxygen diffusion rates (ODR) of less than 0.20 μg O<sub>2</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> (threshold for O<sub>2</sub> stress) on all readings in 1996 for 2.5 to 26 hours after irrigation. In 1997, ODR readings were occasionally less than 0.20 for 26 to 50 hours after irrigation.
- The major effect of treatments was on SHC at 1 to 7 days and 17 to 26 days after cultivation (DAC). At 17 to 26 DAC, the most effective treatments for maintaining SHC were: HJR (Hydro-Ject run in raised position for ¼ inch diameter hole) with sand topdressing (S), wetting agent (WA), or bio-stimulant (B); (468 to 548 mm hr -1 versus control of 139 mm hr -1). The next most effective treatments were HJL (Hydro-Ject lowered position); HJR; HJR + Sand + WA; and HJR + Sand + WA + B; (385 to 405 mm hr -1).
- Treatments resulting in the greatest percentage (in parenthesis) of visual ratings greater than the control for all shoot parameters were HJL; HJR; HJR + WA; and HJR + B; (11 to 27% readings > control).
- II. Inhibition of Root Development (in Spring/Fall) from the Zone of High Organic Matter Content. A second project was initiated in winter 1996 to investigate the influence of selected cultivation procedures, that are non-disruptive, on root development. Wetting agent and sand substitute treatments were also included. The goal is to determine

- whether better root growth/depth can be achieved by increasing macropores in the surface 0 to 3 cm zone without conducting the traditional spring/fall core aeration operation. This field project will continue through spring 1999. Observations to date are:
- High O.M. content (18.8%, wt.) in the surface 0 to 3.0 cm zone reduced aeration porosity to 8.6 to 10.5 percent and caused SHC values of 9 to 125 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> (control) with lowest SHC occurring in November through May. Apparently as adventitious roots develop in the fall, surface macropores become plugged with live roots and SHC markedly declines.
- ODR values at 3 cm and 10 cm were frequently less than 0.20 μgO<sub>2</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> at 2 to 31 hrs after irrigation. ODR values at either depth were only occasionally improved by HJR or HJR + WA treatment.
- The primary treatment influence was on SHC where the most effective treatments for maintaining SHC at 24 to 41 DAC were HJR + WA; HJR; HJR + G + WA (G = 70% sand + 30% Greenschoice topdressing); AW (Aerway Greens Slicer, Fine Tines); (168 to 239 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> versus 63 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> control). Quad tines (solid, ¼ inch diameter) with or without G topdressing resulted in SHC values of 52 to 72 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> at 24-41 DAC.
- Treatments resulting in least shoot injury (i.e., ratings similar to control) were:
   HJR + G; HJR; HJR + WA; HJR + G + WA.

Table 14. Bulk density, organic matter, and mineral matter content in the surface 0 to 3 cm zone in June and August 1997. University of Georgia, Griffin Experiment Station.

Treatment and Contrast <sup>‡</sup>	Bulk Density		Percent Organic Matter		Organic Matter Content <sup>§</sup>		Mineral Matter Content <sup>i</sup>	
	6 Jun	18 Aug		18 Aug	6 Jun	18 Aug	6 Jun	18 Aug
	g cm <sup>-3</sup>		% (wt.)		The date set and law dat sale \$2 and date shall have set that		**************************************	
Control <u>vs</u> . CA (Mar) HJL HJR	0.51 0.70** 0.54 0.48	0.62 0.69 0.58 0.60	10.2 4.1** 9.7 11.5	10.1 7.7* 10.2 11.7	7.5 4.4* 7.7 8.3	9.3 8.8 9.1 10.0	67.5 99.1** 69.8 62.6	84.4 105.7* 81.7 75.7
HJR + Sand HJR + Greenschoice HJR + WA HJR + B	0.57 0.56 0.59 0.52	0.63 0.54 <sup>†</sup> 0.58 0.59	9.4 7.4 9.1 8.4	10.6 10.2 10.0 10.1	7.7 5.8 7.6 6.2	9.7 9.0 9.3 9.7	74.9 73.5 80.2 70.2	82.4 79.2 85.5 88.2
HJR + Sand + WA HJR + Sand + WA + B	0.51 0.52 0.52	0.59 0.60 0.54 <sup>†</sup>	10.1 8.5 10.0	11.1 11.4 11.1	7.7 6.4 6.9	9.3 10.2 9.4	68.4 69.4 68.8	75.7 79.2 76.1
LSD (.05) = F-test CV (%)	0.52 0.12 † 15	0.10 0.20 11	4.3 † 33	2.2 † 14	3.0 0.39 31	1.3 0.56 10	18.9 * 18	16.8 * 14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Contrast versus Control based on LSD.

\*\*,\*, † Significant difference at  $P \le 0.01$ , 0.05, 0.10.

§ Weight is grams per 50 cm<sup>2</sup> surface area X 3.0 cm deep.