

INTRODUCING A NEW CREEPING BENTGRASS CULTIVAR THROUGH INTERSEEDING: DOES IT WORK?

Karl Danneberger

**Department of Horticulture and Crop Science
The Ohio State University**

The release of several new creeping bentgrass cultivars with higher shoot density, finer texture, and greater tolerance to environmental stresses, has lead many golf course superintendents to think how best to introduce these new cultivars into their existing creeping bentgrass greens. The most effective means is through total renovation. This consists of killing, or removing the existing turfgrass and then reestablishing with a new cultivar. The downside to this approach is the requirement to close the greens until the turf is established. This could last as long as several months during which significant loss of play would occur.

An alternative method to total renovation of greens, is a practice that is known as interseeding. In interseeding, the new, desired cultivar is introduced into an established stand of creeping bentgrass over a period of time. The desired result is a gradual conversion of the existing putting green surface of an older cultivar to one containing the new cultivar without serious disruption to the green.

Many of the practices used in interseeding are similar to or adapted from practices used to overseed bermudagrass greens with a cool season turfgrass during the autumn. Generally, interseeding practices consist of trying to provide the best seed-soil contact possible without totally destroying the existing turf surface. Verticutting, coring, and topdressing are used in combinations in interseeding programs. The intensity of these practices is generally not severe enough to disrupt or limit play. Practices that attempt to limit the competitiveness of the existing turf, such as using plant growth regulators, or mowing at a shorter height of cut may also be used.

Following the mechanical preparation, the new cultivar is broadcast or slit-seeded into the stand. The seeding rate, in many cases, is higher than the normally recommended for establishment. Within a few weeks of seeding, superintendents often observe small seedlings germinating. However, after the turf fills in, how do we know how much of the new cultivar is present?

Assessing the Effectiveness of Interseeding

We looked at the effectiveness of one method of interseeding for incorporating new bentgrass cultivars over a four-year period. The putting greens used in this study were located on a golf course and established to "Pennncross" creeping bentgrass. The greens had little if any thatch at the time of interseeding and had full canopy cover. Over the four-year period from 1994 to 1997, the greens were interseeded once with "Providence" and "ProCup" and four-times with "G2". The procedure for interseeding the greens was similar all four years.

Prior to seeding, greens were aerified with ½ inch or ¼ inch tines. Plugs were removed. Greens were then topdressed and dragged. Seed was applied between 0.25 and 0.37 pounds per 1000 square feet. The greens were then verticut or dragged to work the seed into the coring holes and turf. A second seeding at 0.25 to 0.37 pounds per 1000 square feet was applied. Verticutting or dragging was again used to incorporate the seed. The greens were immediately watered, fertilized, and maintained to promote seedling establishment. The greens were initially cut at 5/32" with no baskets. After interseeding G2, mowing heights were gradually reduced to 1/8" of an inch height over the next 6-8 weeks.

In November of 1997, we took 28 individual plant samples from a green. We used RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA) markers, a molecular marker technique useful in fingerprinting genotypes, to determine the proportion of each cultivar on the green.

DNA was extracted from seedlings of Providence, ProCup, and G2. After four months of screening primers we identified one that produced a RAPD marker in 75% of the Pennncross and ProCup seedlings, but not in Providence or G2 seedlings.

We amplified DNA from 28 individual plant samples from the green in question using the primer that identified Pennncross. Eighteen of 25 (several samples had poor amplification and were not used in the calculations) or 73% of the samples had the distinguishing RAPD marker for Pennncross. Based on probabilities, the remaining seven plants are also likely to be Pennncross. Since the identifying marker was not prevalent in Providence or G2, we concluded that these

cultivars were not present. Although we can't rule out the possibility that a portion of the plants on the green might be ProCup, there is no evidence that ProCup would be any better at establishing than G2 or Providence. We feel confident in the conclusion that there is little, if any, of the newly introduced creeping bentgrass cultivars. Based on our work on this golf course, the greens are probably still Penncross.

Our study assessed the results of one method of interseeding new bentgrass cultivars into existing stands of bentgrass and may not be applicable when other methods are used to enhance establishment. Also, a more comprehensive sampling of various greens from other golf courses undergoing interseeding would be justified. However, in the context of plant competition, our data are very compelling. Actually, it would be more difficult to explain a shift from Penncross to one of the interseeded creeping bentgrass cultivars.

The introduction of a cultivar into an existing stand of the same species would result in an extremely competitive situation. This competition is driven by the fact that individuals of the same species share the same requirements for resources. In other words, individuals of the two cultivars are so closely related and differentiation for a niche is so small that exploiting niche differences is difficult. Given that the individuals of the existing cultivar are more mature and already established, they have a distinct advantage in capturing resources such as light, water and nutrients over the seedlings of the new cultivar. Almost all, if not all the advantages lie with the existing creeping bentgrass cultivar.

We would suggest that for interseeding to be successful, the existing creeping bentgrass would need to be severely stressed and a significant amount of the turfgrass canopy removed. Practices such as a severe scalping of the turf may reduce the competitiveness of the existing bentgrass to a level that the new cultivar would have a chance. Practices that destroy the turf canopy and create open spaces could reduce competition from the existing creeping bentgrass plants and allow the new seedling to develop. Further studies need to be conducted to develop effective procedures for interseeding. But given our results and current interseeding procedures, introducing a new cultivar is best accomplished through total renovation.

Acknowledgements: Adapted from the article that appeared in the USGA Greensection Record, September/October issue 1998.