

## TURFGRASS PHYSIOLOGY, HERBICIDE, AND PGR RESEARCH UPDATE

**James H. Baird and Ronald Calhoun**  
**Department of Crop and Soil Sciences**  
**Michigan State University**

This was a busy year for turfgrass physiology research program. Dr. Jim Baird, formerly of Oklahoma State University, arrived in East Lansing in May. A full compliment of graduate students and a new baby daughter have kept him busy ever since. Graduate Assistants Ryan Goss, Beau McSparin, and Geoffrey Rinehart acquainted themselves with the turf center and started their thesis projects over the summer. Ronald Calhoun was hired in the spring as the research assistant for Dr. Baird. Ron is a veteran of the turf center and we feel very fortunate to have him as a part of our program. Without the efforts of the graduate students and support staff it would be impossible to conduct the field research and report these results.

The following report summarizes much of the activities in turfgrass herbicide and PGR research conducted in 1997. It should be noted that the data contained in this report represent only one year of research. It is difficult, and perhaps risky, to make conclusions from only one season of research.

### **Herbicide and PGR Research**

The general preemergence crabgrass evaluation was initiated on April 21, 1997. Split treatments were applied on June 16, 1997. Evaluations of phytotoxicity on Kentucky bluegrass were made at 2 and 4 weeks after treatment. No differences among treatments were observed at either rating date. Turfgrass quality was rated on May 9, 1997. All plots received acceptable turfgrass ratings and were rated for color from 1-9 where 9=best turfgrass color (Table 1). Percent crabgrass cover was recorded on three dates. All treatments, with the exception of the untreated check and the fertilizer blank, provided excellent control crabgrass in this study (Table 1). It should be noted that 1997 was a mild crabgrass year in East Lansing and throughout much of Michigan. In the three preemergence crabgrass studies that we performed this year, nearly all products provided acceptable control.

A study comparing non-selective herbicides was initiated on June 30, 1997. Applications of Finale, Roundup and Roundup Pro were made alone and in combination with ammonium sulfate. A complete list of treatments is shown in Table 2. Evaluations of burndown of annual and perennial vegetation were made 2, 3, 7, 14, 22, 28, 31, 36, and 44 days after application. Ammonium sulfate provided minimal synergistic effects on herbicide performance. For the purposes of comparison, treatments applied with and without ammonium sulfate were combined in the analysis. The rate of burndown is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2 for both annual and perennial vegetation, respectively. Overall, there were no significant differences in vegetation control between the old and new formulations of Roundup. Perennial vegetation control was best achieved with formulations of Roundup.

The postemergence crabgrass control trial was started on July 24, 1997. Acclaim, Acclaim Extra, Dimension, and Drive (quinclorac) were included in this study. Treatments were made to 3-4 leaf and 3+ tillered crabgrass. All products were safe on desired turf and provided excellent crabgrass control for both treatment timings (Tables 3 & 4).

The growth regulator Primo (trinexapac-ethyl) applied as a IEC will reduce clipping yield and increase quality ratings of creeping bentgrass from 14 to 35 DAT. Primo is primarily foliar absorbed. If granular formulations of Primo provide equivalent results, less expensive equipment could be used for application. The objectives of this study were to determine the effect of granular vs. sprayable formulations on clipping yield of fairway height creeping bentgrass and to determine the change in efficacy, if any, when applying granular Primo to a wet or dry turf canopy. Eighteen treatments were applied on May 21, 1997 to a 3-year old 'Providence' creeping bentgrass fairway. Granular formulations were applied with or without a fertilizer carrier. Granular products were formulated to apply Primo at 0.12 lb ai/A. Sprayable Primo was applied at 0.28 lb ai/A. Turfgrass quality ratings were taken at 1, 3, 4, and 5 WAT. Turfgrass quality ratings were increased by all treatments. Clipping yield was measured at 1, 3, and 5 WAT. Sprayable Primo applied to a dry turf canopy reduced clipping production by 50%. Sprayable Primo applied to a wet turf canopy reduced clipping production by 15%. Application timing did not affect the granular treatments. Granular applications reduced clipping production by 27%. Granular Primo formulated with fertilizer did not reduce clipping yield.

**Table 3. Postemergence crabgrass control (pre-tillered).**

#	TREATMENT	FORMULATION	RATE Lbs ai/A	TIMING	CRABGRASS CONTROL (%)	
					14 DAT	21 DAT
1	Acclaim	1 EC	0.25	3-4 LEAF	74	90
2	Acclaim Extra	0.57 EW	0.125	3-4 LEAF	78	100
3	Drive	75 DF	0.75	3-4 LEAF	92	95
4	Dimension	1 EC	0.5	3-4 LEAF	93	93
5	Control				7	4
	LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>				13	10

**Table 4. Postemergence crabgrass control (post-tillered).**

#	TREATMENT	FORMULATION	RATE Lbs ai/A	TIMING	CRABGRASS CONTROL (%)		
					14 DAT	21 DAT	42 DAT
1	Acclaim	1 EC	0.25	3+ Tillers	73	93	98
2	Acclaim Extra	0.57 EW	0.125	3+ Tillers	85	90	90
3	Drive	75 DF	0.75	3+ Tillers	83	88	90
4	Dimension	1 EC	0.5	3+ Tillers	33	93	93
5	Control				0	0	0
	LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>				33	25	23

**Table 5. Primo G for growth suppression of creeping bentgrass on fairways.**

TREATMENT	FORMULATION	QUALITY RATING <sup>1</sup>				COLOR <sup>2</sup>
		1 WAT	3 WAT	4 WAT	5 WAT	5 WAT
Control		7.3 A	4.6 D	4.2 D	5.0 E	6.1 D
Fert Check		7.3 A	6.4 ABC	4.8 CD	6.0 D	6.8 C
Primo	1 EC	5.7 C	4.9 D	7.3 A	7.7 A	7.9 A
ANDPR97-55	G	7.1 AB	5.5 CD	6.2 B	6.4 CD	7.0 BC
ANDPR97-56	G	6.8 AB	5.7 BCD	5.9 B	7.0 BC	7.1 BC
ANDPR97-63 on Fert	G	6.9 AB	5.9 ABCD	6.2 B	6.8 C	7.2 BC
ANDPR97-64 on Fert	G	6.8 AB	7.0 AB	6.3 B	7.7 A	7.8 A
ANDPR97-75	G	6.6 B	5.7 BCD	5.5 BC	6.9 BC	7.5 AB
ANDPR97-76 on Fert	G	7.3 A	7.3 A	6.3 B	7.5 AB	7.8 A

<sup>1</sup> Quality Ratings were taken using a 1-9 scale where 1=dead, 9=excellent, and 5=acceptable.

<sup>2</sup> Color rated using a 1-9 scale where 9=dark green.

Treatment means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different. Means were separated using Fisher's Protected LSD<sub>(0.05)</sub>.