1991 ENTOMOLOGY RESEARCH REPORT

D. R. Smitley
Department of Entomology
Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

TURF INSECTS 1991

The most devastating injury this year was the widespread damage to home lawns caused by Japanese beetle and European chafer grubs in the southern three tiers of counties in the lower peninsula. Some entire neighborhoods turned brown in late September. These two species continued to expand their range in Michigan, accounting for the unexpected infestations in some areas. Michigan is on the leading edge of the westward range expansion for both species (Figure 1). Japanese beetle adults also seemed to be more abundant than usual in some areas this year. This led to more grubs and greater damage than usual in September. Heavy emergence of adult beetles in 1991 may be related to frequent precipitation in May and June, allowing the larvae to successfully pupate and emerge as adults; or it may be a result of the general increase and spread of these beetles in Michigan. In several cases, lawn care professionals claimed that various insecticides were not effective for grub control. In most cases, these reports could be explained by one of the following:

1. Expectations of insecticide control were too high. Lawn managers were expecting to find zero grubs after insecticide application when 50 to 80% control is more likely.
2. No irrigation was applied after insecticide treatment.
3. Applicator did not wait long enough to evaluate activity of slow acting formulations such as Oftanol 5G (wait three weeks to evaluate).

In some cases, insecticide failures could not be explained. Because of the widespread distribution of Japanese beetle and European chafer in southern Michigan, lawn managers are encouraged to check lawns for grub activity in late August. Home lawns with more than 7 grubs per square foot should be irrigated frequently or treated with an insecticide. Lawns with more than 15 grubs per square foot are likely to show some damage by October, unless an insecticide is applied.

Chinch bugs and bluegrass billbugs continued to damage a small portion of home lawns in almost every county in the state. Although only one in twenty lawns may be heavily infested, the damage may be severe enough for a customer to drop their current lawn care service. Preventive insecticide applications are not recommended because they may cause thatch build-up and future insect problems. Home lawns should be observed in July for potential chinch bug and billbug problems

Sod webworm, cutworm, greenbug, and ataenius were rarely reported as a problem on home lawns.

The most widespread insect problem on golf courses across the state was the turfgrass ataenius. About one in five courses had scattered ataenius damage to fairways, and one in ten had larger patches of dead turf, with some of them being more than 20 feet long. For an unknown reason, ataenius problems seem to be more frequent in the last three years than they were previously. Grub

injury is always more widespread in dry years when turf is water stressed. However, weather conditions alone do not seem to adequately explain an increase in ataenius problems. One factor may be the lack of natural enemies of ataenius on golf courses. Natural enemies seem to be effective on home lawns where ataenius is rarely a problem. Perhaps some management practice is suppressing an important pathogen or predator of ataenius grubs.

Ants continue to be a problem on many golf courses, particularly ones with sandy soil. Soil insecticides such as Dursban and Triumph suppress ant mounding for 2 to 4 weeks after each application. Superintendents do not like the burden of monthly insecticide applications to tees and greens because of the cost of labor and pesticide, and the hazards associated with insecticide handling. In some places, the ant mounding on fairways is also a problem. This presents an even greater problem because frequent insecticide applications are almost cost prohibitive on fairways.

Some golf courses in southern Michigan that never had grub problems before developed a problem this year. Most of the damage was to roughs and not fairways, probably because of daily irrigation and insecticide use on fairways. In many cases, the skunk damage in September was worse than the grub damage. Superintendents found various ways to remove skunks, including a trap and release method.

Black cutworms were occasionally a problem on tees and greens. Insecticides were sometimes used to prevent them from making pencil-sized tunnels in the green, and clipping grass blades around their tunnels, leaving golf ball-sized yellow marks.

Billbug, chinch bug, June beetle grubs, and greenbugs were rarely found to be a problem on golf courses this year. Some nuisance insects became abundant toward the end of the year. Yellow jacket wasps and the even larger cicada killer wasps were abundant in some places. Generally, a soil insecticide sprayed over the ground where they are nesting, followed by irrigation, is effective in eliminating them from areas where they may be a nuisance.

1991 ENTOMOLOGY RESEARCH

Most home lawns and golf course fairways do not need insecticide treatment, and may actually be hurt by unnecessary applications. Insecticides eliminate natural enemies, suppress decomposers, and cause a build-up of thatch. The best approach is integrated pest management (IPM). In IPM, low populations of pest insects are tolerated, and other management strategies such as daily irrigation and the planting of resistant cultivars are used as much as possible. A key component of IPM is the use of a threshold value for insect pests. When the pest species is below threshold level, no insecticides are used. When it exceeds the threshold, an insecticide may be applied to prevent turf damage. Better information is needed to determine accurate thresholds for grubs in turf.

In 1990, we initiated a project designed to define thresholds for grub injury to turf and how these thresholds are affected by irrigation practices. Part of the confusion for turf managers is that when the soil is moist, root-pruning injury may not be expressed as a visible symptom. The blades may remain green and apparently healthy even when the root system has been seriously injured by grubs. Then, under dry weather conditions and water stress, the grass suddenly wilts and dies.

In initial tests, rooting boxes worked well as a method of evaluating root damage caused by grubs. In 1990, a negative correlation was found between the number of grubs per square foot and root strength. However, no correlation was found between percent brown or dead turf and the number of grubs per square foot. This was expected for irrigated turf and supports the initial hypothesis that some kind of root strength parameter is needed to evaluate grub injury.

In 1991, we refined the rooting box method to obtain better information on grub feeding damage to turf. Zero, 10, 20 or 30 grubs were placed inside each rooting box after the turf was well established and the roots had grown through the wire mesh into the soil below the boxes. The boxes were pulled up six weeks later and the force required to lift them was measured.

A strong relationship was found between the number of grubs per square foot and the force necessary to lift the rooting boxes (Figure 2). The data from this test indicates that 15 - 18 grubs per square foot will remove about 50% of the turf root system within six weeks. This agrees with some recent thresholds for grubs estimated to be 15 - 20 grubs per square foot. This seems to be a good

Figure 1. Japanese beetle and European chafer distribution in the United States in 1987 (from Turfgrass Insects of the United States and Canada, H. Tashiro).

Japanese Beetle

European Chafer