FOCUSING ON ENVIRONMENT

USGA Will Spend $5.4 Million On Research Over Next Three Years

The United States Golf Association will spend $5.4 million on research over the next three years, more than double its previous rate, and has directed $3 million of the total toward evaluating the impact of golf courses on the environment.

In announcing the association's decision to study how fertilizers and pesticides affect the environment, C. Grant Spaeth, the USGA's president, said, "Right now the game is threatened by the lack of knowledge about the environmental impact of fertilizers and pesticides used to maintain golf courses. I can think of nothing more urgent to golf than to answer this environmental question, and to propose responsible solutions."

The work will be done by land-grant universities throughout the United States, assuring that studies are relevant to a variety of conditions, such as soils and climate. Additionally, the USGA committee that oversaw turfgrass research has been renamed the Turfgrass and Environmental Research Committee, and has been expanded to include recognized authorities from environmental agencies and organizations.

Spaeth said the USGA will enter into the program with no preconceived position. "We must maintain a position as the honest and independent broker."

These studies will examine questions such as whether fertilizers and pesticides contaminate ground water, and if they do, the duration of their impact.

Studies will also be geared toward the development of alternative and non-chemical methods of pest control, and the influence of golf courses on people and wildlife.

The project will be the responsibility of the Green Section Committee, headed by Ray Anderson, of Chicago. Jim Snow is National Director of the Green Section and Chairman of the Research Committee, and Dr. Mike Kenna is Director of Green Section Research, Dr. Knuth is Director of Green Section Administration. The Golf Course Superintendents' Association of America plans to cooperate with the USGA on this enterprise.

MEMBERSHIP REPORT

NEW MEMBERS—SEPTEMBER 19, 1990

Dan Gabler Class F Strate Grain Company
Harvey Nornes F SHR Golf
Bruce Speiers F RW Golf Cars

RECLASSIFICATION

Mike Davies BII to A Lutsen
Mitchell Fossey BII to B Eisenhower
Dennis Morgenweck B to A Mora
David Deem BII to B Hazeltine
Bradley Harne C to F Nyberg Ace Hardware
Andy Lindquist A to E Anoka Technical
Fred Taylor BII to B Mankato
Scott Pruszniske BII to B Hyland Greens
Scott Sievert B to A Vallebrook
Michael Hoffman BII to B Dwan
George Weir BII to B Winthrop
Greg Spencer BII to A Brookview
Warren Nehring BII to A Koronis Hills

Pest Update

By MARK E. ASCERNO
Department of Entomology

1. The drought of 1988 resulted in high populations of the two lined chestnut borer, Agrilus bilineatus. Oaks, especially those located on sandy soil, are being lost.
2. Elm leaf beetle (ELB), Xanthogaleruca luteola, populations which were high in 1988, have remained high in 1989. Previously, ELB had been a spotty problem associated primarily with Siberian elm. In 1989, ELB was widespread in Minneapolis and St. Paul on American, Siberian, and hybrid elms. Indoor invasions of overwintering of the beetles are resulting in a record number of complaints. It remains to be seen whether ELB will return to its previously spotty distribution or if it will take a place as a consistent urban pest.
3. Boxelder bugs, Boisea trivitata, have returned to normal after a year of tremendously high populations.
5. Unknowns: Introduced pine sawfly, Neodiprion sertifer (Feoffroy) on mugo pine in St. Paul, Minnesota; a wooly aphid on green ash; a bud and twig boring Pyralid in birch.

Prevention of Resistance To DMI Fungicides In Turf Pathogens

The North American Fungicide Resistance Action Committee DMI Working Group is a cooperative effort among producers of these highly active, demethylation-inhibiting [DMI] fungicides to prevent the development of resistant pathogens.

Selection for resistant individuals can, over time, lead to loss of field efficacy.

The following recommendations should help to reduce selection pressure on the fungal population and, therefore, preserve the excellent activity of these fungicides against diseases of turfgrasses.

- **Lower risk of resistance development** can be achieved when these materials are applied preventively or early in the disease epidemic.
- **Use proper equipment** to apply the recommended gallonage to ensure thorough coverage.
- **Do not use DMI’s alone season-long.** Use a tank mix or alternate sprays with a non-DMI fungicide. Alternation with other DMI fungicides will not help prevent resistance development.
- **Consult your local Extension Service** if you are unsure about appropriate alternation or mixing partners.

Your adherence to this anti-resistance strategy benefits all users of these fungicides.

DMI Fungicides Currently Labelled For Use On Turfgrasses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRADE NAME</th>
<th>PRODUCER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banner</td>
<td>Ciba-Geigy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayleton</td>
<td>Mobay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubigan</td>
<td>Elanco</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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