Super Science

Pre-emergent herbicides for golf turf

By Zac Reicher, Ph.D.

Little has changed in pre-emergent herbicides (PREs) for use on cool-season turfgrasses over the last 20 years. The primary products for golf turf are still dithiopyr (Dimension, Dithiopyr), prodiamine (Barricade and others) and pendimethalin (Pendulum, PRE-M and others). Oxadiazon (Ronstar, Oxadiazon) is often used specifically for goosegrass control, while bensulide (Betasan, Bensumec) is still a standard for annual bluegrass control in greens-height creeping bentgrass.

Though formulations are always improving, some relatively recent changes include introduction of post-patent PREs as well as some pre-packaged mixes of active ingredients. Though the availability of PREs and application technology has changed very little in the last 20 years, effective and efficient use of PREs is still critical for golf courses across the country.

CRABGRASS CONTROL

Most PREs are targeted for crabgrass, and the weather the last three summers has certainly tested efficacy of PREs for crabgrass control. Preemergent herbicides are most effective on dense, competitive turf stands that limit crabgrass. Tough summers not only thin cool-season turf, but warm soils and increased sunlight penetration into thin turf increases microbial and UV degradation of PREs.

Furthermore, staff and golfers can easily see the difference between 100 percent and 90 percent crabgrass control, so there is little room for marginal control. By their nature, PREs provide variable crabgrass control from year to year and among locations. The product and rate that worked really well last year may perform poorly this year, or vice versa. Or the product and rate that worked really well for the course down the street did not perform well for you.

The bottom line is that the PREs available today are all very effective, but efficacy can be affected by a multitude of factors, many of which we cannot predict or understand. Following are a few suggestions to maximize PRE efficacy on crabgrass and goosegrass.

Crabgrass cover in response to changing the active ingredient between the initial and sequential application of pre-emergent herbicides, averaged over four locations in Indiana and Nebraska. This research clearly shows that the active ingredient can be changed between applications with no negative effect on crabgrass control, contrary to previous recommendations. All PREs were applied at one-half the high use rate, and “fob” indicates followed by.

Application timing: Many studies, including ours at Purdue University and the University of Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL), show that timing of PRE application can be in late fall (October-November in the north central states) or very early spring (February-March in the north central states) and still achieve season-long crabgrass control comparable to the traditional timing of mid-spring.

The benefit of late fall or very early spring applications is, they can be made well before spring’s mad dash of cleanup, constant mowing, and course opening. Though the data show
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Weed control in dormant or spring seedings is critical to limit crabgrass pressure in spring and summer. Most PREs cannot be used prior to seeding, and their use is delayed afterward.

**Sequential applications:** After working with PREs for 25 years, I am convinced that it is best to maximize crabgrass and goosegrass control with sequential applications. Depending on your location, two applications at one-half plus one-half the high use rate will usually suffice and will almost always improve crabgrass and goosegrass control over a single application at the high use rate. The second application is usually made 4-6 weeks after the first expected crabgrass germination, but the first application can be made at the traditional timing, late fall or very early spring.

Up until the last few years, we recommended using the same active ingredient for the initial and sequential applications. However, our recent research shows that when using one-half the high use rate for the initial and sequential application, it does not matter which active ingredient is used for the initial or sequential applications (Figure 1; we tested only dithiopyr, pendimethalin and prodiamine). This increases flexibility in product selection, allows use of remaining product from previous years/applications and could increase post-emergent herbicide (POST) control of breakthrough crabgrass if dithiopyr is used for the sequential application(s).

**Watering-in:** Though the label on all PREs (especially the sprayable formulations) clearly state that they should be watered-in within 24 to 48 hours after application, this recommendation is often ignored.

However, watering-in PREs immediately after application with 0.1 to 0.2 inches of water resulted in up to 50 percent improved crabgrass control in one of our studies on fairway-height Kentucky bluegrass in 2011 (Figure 2). Watering-in the PREs moves the active ingredient to the soil, where it can be effective and reduces loss through volatilization and UV degradation.

**USE IN NEW SEEDINGS**

Hot, dry summers like 2012’s, followed by a dry fall, mandate either dormant seeding this winter or seeding next spring. Weed control in dormant or spring seedings is critical to limit crabgrass pressure in spring and summer. Most PREs cannot be used prior to seeding, and their use is delayed following seeding to limit damage to seedlings.

Dithiopyr has the most flexible label and can be applied after the second mowing of the seedlings. Tenacity (mesotrione) is especially useful over new seedlings of many cool-season turfgrass species (other than creeping bentgrass) because it provides excellent PRE control of crabgrass, annual bluegrass and many broadleaf weeds when applied immediately prior to seeding on bare soils.

However, Tenacity is not an effective PRE on turfed soils. Our research indicates aggressive use of herbicides after seeding may cause some short-term injury to the desired turf but is more than compensated in decreased weed pressure over the long term.

**ANNUAL BLUEGRASS CONTROL**

POST and growth regulators for annual bluegrass control have improved with new strategies and products, but annual bluegrass is best controlled with a multi-pronged approach. This is at least partly due to variability in annual bluegrass biotypes, where one biotype may be susceptible to a specific
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**FIGURE 2**

Effect of watering-in vs. not watering-in the pre-emergent herbicide on crabgrass control in low-mowed Kentucky bluegrass. Applications were watered-in immediately with 0.25 inches of water or left un-irrigated for three days. Watering-in pre-emergent herbicides will help improve control and consistency in control of crabgrass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active Ingredient</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>% Crabgrass Control July 15, 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimension 2EW 0.25 lb ai/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimension 2EW 0.5 lb ai/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prodiamine 4FL 0.38 lb ai/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prodiamine 4FL 0.75 lb ai/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendimethalin 3.3 1.5 lb ai/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendimethalin 3.3 3 lb ai/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LSD=15, Check=15% cover
herbicide or growth regulator while the neighboring biotype is unaffected. As a winter annual, most annual bluegrass seed will germinate in fall. Thus, PREs applied for annual bluegrass control should be applied in late summer.

Previous research at Cornell University and our current research on creeping bentgrass, Kentucky bluegrass and/or perennial ryegrass fairways clearly show that including a late summer application of a PRE in combination with a program of POST herbicides improves annual bluegrass control over the POST program alone. If the PRE is not included, the tremendous bank of annual bluegrass seed in the soil will germinate all fall and quickly reoccupy any of the openings left by annual bluegrass controlled by the POST applications.

Using multiple modes of action in an annual bluegrass control program also can minimize the chances of developing a population resistant to a single mode of action approach.

During summers like 2011 or 2012, turf stands of desired turf and/or annual bluegrass thin and die. The immediate response is to interseed the desired turf, but annual bluegrass germinates at the same time and starts to out-compete the desired turf again (Figure 3).

Options for using PREs in overseeding are limited to applications after the seedlings have matured. Initial research results at UNL investigating the use of PREs applied prior to seeding to control the annual bluegrass between the overseeder slits and preliminary results are surprisingly positive. Our other research, partly funded by the USGA, is evaluating POSTs applied shortly after emergence of the desired turf to help minimize annual bluegrass. Simply overseeding into thin turf without aggressive annual bluegrass control will continue the cycle of annual bluegrass infesting the stand.

**YELLOW NUTSEDGE CONTROL**

Yellow nutsedge has long been controlled with POST applications, but PRE control has been documented from both Echelon (prodiamine plus sulfentrazone) and Tenacity. Applications of Echelon to established turf need to be at the typical PRE application timing for crabgrass of mid-spring and are most effective with sequential applications.

Tenacity can control yellow nutsedge PRE in a new seeding on bare soils. Though neither of these products provide 100 percent control of yellow nutsedge every time, their typical 70 to 90 percent control is far better than we ever expected from previous PRE applications.

Though few major changes have occurred in pre-emergent herbicides over the last 20 years, researchers continue to advance our understanding of these products, resulting in improved weed control and expanded uses in golf turf.

Zac Reicher, Ph.D., is a professor in the Department of Agronomy and Horticulture at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. He can be reached at zreicher2@unl.edu.
“Determining what some of the information we find on the Web means is challenging because of the sheer volume of it all.”

KARL DANNEBERGER, PH.D., Contributing Editor

Navigating the information overload

With the arrival of the New Year, we always think about things we can change. Here at Golfdom that change is evident with the new look of the magazine. And on a personal note, my profile picture has been updated, which hopefully reflects increasing wisdom and not so much my age.

Golfdom’s change not only in the magazine but also in other functions reflects a more fundamental change that has occurred during the last 20 years on how we gather and analyze information. The free flow of information, primarily electronic information, has changed how we gather and evaluate it.

The electronic changes have been beneficial. For example, blog postings by superintendents and others provide insight into practices and issues we do not find in classical text books.

The posting of photographs across all social media outlets show us golf courses and how they are managed, both locally and globally. Even in 100 years we would not be able to observe on our own all that others have provided to us visually online.

Personally, I do not know how many times I have asked superintendents for permission to use their photographs in class. A lot. With this exponential rise in information — some would call it an overload — it’s hard to determine what is reliable, what is junk and how best to use it all. Two pictures, presumably taken during Hurricane Sandy, have been making their way into social media circles. One of a shark swimming through New York City; the other of soldiers guarding the tomb of the Unknown Soldier at Arlington National Cemetery.

The shark picture (below) was Photoshopped, and the cemetery picture was taken during a different storm. The source of the information is still important and needs to be recognized. Even though we often use Google, the TGIP databank supported by the USGA and Michigan State University is the most reliable source of turfgrass information.

Determining what some of the information we find on the Web means is challenging because of the sheer volume of it all. Newsweek stopped publishing its weekly hard copy in October, due in a large part to Web dominance. Prior to the Electronic Age, we looked forward to receiving Newsweek and Time every week, when the stories weren’t regurgitated tirelessly. In the Electronic Age, you can find an opinion on any topic every millisecond.

The difficulty in evaluating the information is not the collection but the comprehension, analysis and synthesis of what we have gathered. In other words, we do not apply enough rigor to our information.

Benjamin Bloom, a psychologist at the University of Chicago, laid out the basic framework for learning and the importance of comprehension, analysis, synthesis and application. As his theories apply to golf course management, the gathering of information is the basic step.

For example, determining the diseases on your putting green is considered gathering basic information. A higher level of knowledge could be attained, however, through gathering information from a broad range of sources — then using it to develop a disease management program.

As you begin to gather information that will help you manage your turf in 2013, take the time to really think about the information you have. Use it to devise a sound plan. Then figure out how to implement it.

Karl Danneberger, Ph.D., Golfdom’s science editor and a professor from The Ohio State University, can be reached at danneberger.1@osu.edu.
Iron layering in two-tiered putting greens

By Glen R. Obear, M.S. Candidate, University of Wisconsin-Madison

When was the last time you sampled the full profile of your sand-based putting greens? Many people rarely, if ever, sample the profile all the way down to the pea gravel layer. It’s inconvenient, out of sight, and therefore out of mind. However, USGA-funded research from the University of Wisconsin-Madison suggests we might be missing the full picture when we fail to sample to the depth of the pea gravel.

Iron-oxide layering has been observed at the sand-gravel interface of two-tiered putting greens of many golf courses across the United States. This layer, which only forms in greens with a pea gravel layer, is detrimental to water infiltration and leads to anaerobic soil conditions and decline of turfgrass quality.

**FIRST OBSERVATIONS**

In the summer of 2008, I had the opportunity to work as an intern at a golf course on the Big Island of Hawaii. During this experience, the superintendent of the course exposed me to a very interesting and troubling soil layering problem.

We first noticed thinning turf on the putting greens, especially in low areas where water collected. We found black layer in the top 6 inches of the profile (Fig. 1), but this didn’t make much sense. The course was only five years old, core aerification was done twice each year, and greens were topdressed weekly. We decided to dig deeper, thinking that maybe something was blocking water infiltration deeper in the profile.

At the sand/gravel interface of the first putting green we sampled, there was a thin layer of what looked like oxidized iron (i.e., rust) that was cementing sand and pea gravel together (Fig. 2). This cemented layer was almost impenetrable to water, which created anaerobic conditions in the root zone. As we continued investigating, we found this layer in every green we sampled, and anaerobic soil conditions were most prevalent in the low areas of the greens.

That summer, we experimented with physical removal of the layer. We used a sod cutter to cut two passes on the lowest edge of the green, where water was collecting. We dug down
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to the pea gravel layer, removed the oxidized iron layer with a shovel and replaced the root zone with fresh sand. In the short-term, we were successful in improving water infiltration in these low areas. However, our fix was only temporary; the factors that caused the layer to form in the first place were still active, and the layer will likely form in these areas again over time.

One day, we were sampling and thinking about how the layer might be forming. We had many questions: “Where is this iron coming from? What factors are causing the iron to oxidize and precipitate at the sand-gravel interface? How do we remove this layer once it has already formed? How can we prevent it from forming in the future?” Finally, the superintendent suggested: “Go to graduate school, study this for your master’s degree.” That is exactly what I did.

UNIVERSITY RESEARCH
A few years later, I started graduate school at UW-Madison. The superintendent from Hawaii sent me some samples of this layer, and I confirmed that it was oxidized iron through physical and chemical analyses. I thought it was a rare, unique problem when I first witnessed it in Hawaii. But now that I’ve studied it more and more, I have seen this iron layer in Texas, Missouri, Virginia, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, California, North Carolina and even Vietnam. The layer seems to occur all over the United States and doesn’t seem to be restricted to any specific climate zones.

For my master’s research, I am trying to find out exactly what causes this layer to form, how to prevent it and what to do if you already have it. The iron could be coming from fertilizer; high rates of iron fertilizer have become popular for Poa annua management programs, and many superintendents apply iron to improve turfgrass color. The iron could also be coming from irrigation water; many golf courses use groundwater that contains dissolved iron, and the amount of iron added through typical irrigation operations is comparable to typical iron fertility rates. Finally, iron could be coming from the dissolution of minerals in the sand used for root zone construction. Most likely, all of these sources

When we take soil samples, we usually pull several plugs from the top 3-6 inches of the profile. From this, we get a wealth of information.
contribute to the formation of the iron layer to some degree.

Soluble iron moves downward through the profile until it reaches the pea gravel layer, where the water is perched. When reduced iron is exposed to this oxygen-rich pea gravel layer over an extended period of time, the iron oxidizes and precipitates along the interface (Fig. 3). Over time, this iron layer becomes more cemented and water infiltration is severely reduced.

Currently I’m collecting soil samples from a number of courses with the iron layer across the U.S. I am also collecting irrigation water samples and fertility records to see if these factors have a relationship with iron layer formation.

THE IMPORTANCE OF FULL-PROFILE SAMPLING

When we take soil samples, we usually pull several plugs from the top 3 to 6 inches of the profile. From this, we get a wealth of information that can guide the application of fertilizer and soil amendments. We typically focus on the upper portion of the profile because this is where we find roots, thatch and potential organic layers. While this type of soil sampling can be useful, it may not be enough for two-tiered putting greens. When we only sample the top half of the profile, we are only getting half of the picture.

The lower half of the profile can have as many interesting features as the top 3 to 6 inches, and these features can drastically impact the performance of the putting green. If we hadn’t sampled the full profile in Hawaii, we never would have found the iron layer. Our conclusion would have been to increase aerationification and topdressing frequency, and we would have been unaware of what was really causing the problem.

Why don’t we sample the bottom half of the profile? Probably because it is inconvenient. Many t-probes aren’t long enough to reach the pea gravel layer, and those that are long enough tend to be difficult to push down to that depth. The soil profile samplers that give you a cross-sectional view (Mascaro, Turf Tec, etc.) can offer a better view of the profile than the t-probe, but even these don’t usually sample the full profile down to the pea gravel layer.

Dr. Norm Hummel offers a simple, effective and inexpensive method for collecting full-profile soil samples using PVC pipe (http://www.turfdoctor.com). With a handheld oscillating saw ($40-$100), the PVC pipe can easily be cut open for viewing of the full-profile (Fig. 4).

SEEKING SAMPLES

Are you experiencing this layering on your golf course? Know somebody else who is? We are currently looking for more samples, and we would be thrilled to include your site in our study. Please contact me at obear@wisc.edu for more information.

Glen Obear is a master’s degree candidate at the University of Wisconsin-Madison studying with Doug Soldat, Ph.D. Obear can be reached at obear@wisc.edu.
Temporary winter covers for bermudagrass greens

Mike Goatley, Ph.D., is a professor of turfgrass science at Virginia Tech University and devotes part of his research effort to developing management programs for ultradwarf bermudagrass greens in the transition zone, including winter covers for bermudagrass turf. Mike can be reached at goatley@vt.edu.

Q What is the temperature threshold that triggers temporary covering of bermudagrass greens?

A turf-friendly, conservative approach is to cover bermudagrass greens when the weather forecast predicts lows of 25 degrees F or lower for two or more consecutive nights, along with a prediction of daily high temperatures of 50 degrees F or less during the same time period.

The key to deciding whether or not to cover is soil temperature. In early winter, when soil temperatures are still well above freezing, covering is often not necessary unless extreme cold temperatures are in the forecast. The covers should remain in place as long as the daily low temperature is 25 degrees F or lower and the daily high temperature is less than 50 degrees F.

All 18 greens do not have to be covered. Some superintendents only cover greens in shade, those that face north or are weak for some other reason. Experience will help determine which greens need to be covered and under what conditions.

Q What have been the results of covering bermudagrass greens using the threshold described above?

The conservative approach has worked well for superintendents. To my knowledge, there has not been a massive loss of ultradwarf bermudagrass greens under covers. Ultradwarf bermudagrass should not be planted in the transition zone or in the upper portion of the warm-season turfgrass zone unless it can be covered during cold periods in winter.

“Soil temperature is the key to deciding whether or not to cover.”

MIKE GOATLEY, PH.D.

That said, there has not been a large loss of bermudagrass turf due to winterkill in the South in many years. If we experience a period of extremely cold weather, winterkill on covered greens is still possible. Keep in mind that winterkill is a complex physiological process that encompasses more than just cold temperatures. Shade, aspect, traffic and other things also influence winterkill.

Q When should the covers be removed?

Several factors need to be considered when removing covers. One is play. In some cases there is a need to remove the covers to accommodate golfers and generate revenue. The other is weather. Covers left on for extended periods during warm weather can trigger unwanted dormancy break of the bermudagrass. Prompt removal of the covers on a sunny, warm day is essential so the bermudagrass does not lose its cold tolerance.

Q What type of covers do you recommend?

Most covers are effective for temporary covering, so select covers that are easy to install and easy to remove. The easier the covers are to install and remove, the more likely they will be used. Superintendents report that covers with handles stitched into the covers make covering greens go faster. Also, labeling each cover with the number of the green it covers and marking the covers with the proper orientation makes the covering process go faster. Securing the covers to hold against the wind is the biggest challenge. Fellow superintendents are a good resource for advice on this.

Q How long does it take to cover and uncover greens?

Mike consulted longtime superintendent friend Jim Kwasiński, CGCS, of Tupelo (Miss.) Country Club for this information. Kwasiński reports that at TCC it takes six people (three on each side of the cover) approximately two to two-and-a-half hours to cover or uncover 18 greens. If the wind is blowing, the process always takes a little longer.

Clark Throssell, Ph.D., loves to talk turf. Contact him at clarkthrossell@bresnan.net.
GENERIC HERBICIDES ARE FINE IF YOU RUN A GENERIC BUSINESS.

Dimension® specialty herbicide isn't the No. 1 brand just because it offers outstanding preemergence and early postemergence for crabgrass control. Or because it's a wall-to-wall solution for weed control in turf and landscape. It's No. 1 because it's a proven product from a proven company. Unlike generic manufacturers, Dow AgroSciences provides innovative products, expertise and field support that helps retain and grow business. It's what sets Dimension apart from the competition. And when you use it, it can do the same for you. To learn more, visit www.DowProvesIt.com.

12010 Specialty Product Consultants. Trademark of The Dow Chemical Company (“Dow”) or an affiliated company of Dow. State restrictions on the sale and use of Dimension specialty herbicide products apply. Consult the label before purchase or use for full details. Always read and follow label directions.
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Mark Jordan

NATURAL RESOURCE LEADER // Westfield Country Club, Westfield Center, Ohio

How about a Golfdom golf shirt against a Westfield shirt? We only lost to Duke, and it was a good game... yeah, I’ll do a golf shirt.

Have you taken a look at any of your old high school yearbooks lately? There’s a photo — which I will not allow you to publish — of me playing basketball and we had the tube socks and the shortest shorts in the world. My kids make fun of me for that one.

You’re running for the GCSAA board. Why? My favorite president is JFK. I was born on the day he was assassinated. He had the famous quote, “Ask not what your country can do for you, but what you can do for your country.” Insert “GCSAA” for “country,” that’s sort of my M.O. for running. I’m very passionate for our profession.

What was the best day of your life? Which one? The day I got married. The days my kids were all born. When I got the call from John Spodnik and he said he wanted me to be the assistant on the South course. To move back home was great, and my wife worked for Westfield at the time, so it gave her the opportunity to move into the home office.

Anything else I need to know? You’ll need to know my shirt size; I’m a large.

We just got done with 18. What are you drinking? If I’ve had a really good round, or a really bad round, I’ll probably have a Chivas on the rocks. Anything in between, a fresh brewed iced tea.

What’s something you like about January? High school and Big 10 basketball has kicked off. I’m a Buckeyes fan, I went to Ohio State.

They’ve got a big game coming up against my Jayhawks. Want to make it more interesting?

How did you get the job title of “Natural Resource Leader?” After my stint as GM (three years), I had the opportunity to come back to the golf course. At the same time, the company (Westfield Group Insurance) was going through changes in job title nomenclature. I developed the title of Natural Resource Leader, because I wanted to leave room for growth for both myself and my assistants. Long story short, I oversee the operations of a 36-hole facility and love every minute of it.

When I was a GM, my boss taught me a valuable lesson my first year. He said, ‘If you want to manage me, just know that I don’t like surprises.’ Expectations are pretty high. Communication is key.”