Debate

Good Ol' USGA stands the test of time

The combination of a good economy and golf's increased popularity has resulted in unprecedented growth in golf course construction. All types of courses are being built — ranging from high-end facilities costing $20 million or more to the smallest kids' courses built for less than $100,000. Without question, this is one of the most exciting and challenging eras in golf's history.

With such a variety of courses being built, is it reasonable to expect one green construction method to be the most appropriate choice for every facility? It may surprise some to hear that the USGA Green Section does not consider its Guidelines for a Method of Green Construction the best choice in every case. There are courses where other construction methods can meet the modest agronomic demands placed on those particular greens.

For example, there's a great need for short courses across the country to give legions of young people who are learning the game a chance to play. The cost of building these courses can be reduced tremendously by using agronomic common sense. Greens that are mowed at three-sixteenths of an inch receive plenty of light and air movement, are designed with excellent surface drainage and are planted with turfgrass that thrives in the local climate. Hence, they need not be built to the USGA's rigorous guidelines.

On the other hand, those courses that must provide top-quality putting conditions day in and day out should not compromise on construction in any area, and most certainly not on the greens. Unsound green construction results in unreliable growing conditions, and frequently leads to legal disputes and loss of revenue.

Golf course builders, architects, superintendents, owners and ultimately golfers all depend on construction methods that work. They also need these methods to be well-documented so that they can be closely followed. The USGA and California methods of greens construction meet both of these needs, and therefore are the most commonly chosen. Both methods are offered to the game free of charge and are based on proven scientific principles. Both methods have their advantages and disadvantages. But which method is best?

There's no doubt the USGA method is superior. It has successfully stood the test of time, having been the most commonly chosen method for almost 40 years in all types of climates,
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There's no magic to the California construction method. It's basic plant and soil science.

Continued from page 30

of green failure are more likely to be a poor quality irrigation source, a badly adapted turfgrass cultivar or an improper maintenance practice. My approach is to identify problem sources before beginning and selecting a root zone method that will combat the specific stresses.

I analyze the microclimate of the green for any obvious problems such as shade, air movement or humidity, among others. Then I evaluate the green for a number of possible hole locations, number of shots causing ball pits, traffic patterns and any other foreseeable problem. For some green sites, a USGA green might be best, while others are better served by a California or a modified method. The optimum solution is to try to find a construction method and root-zone architecture that represents the best middle ground for the situation.

Next, I analyze the irrigation water quality, for it will influence selection of turfgrasses and sand for the root zone. The sand should be tested in a lab — and don't hesitate to send a jug of your own water with the sand.

Most labs will test your sand with distilled water, which won't do you any good (unless you're irrigating with pure distilled water). So make sure you send a real-life sample, or you're wasting everyone's time.

After the analysis, it's time to decide on a root-zone architecture that will allow the superintendent to maintain the best balance of chemical, physical and biological factors to maximize turfgrass growth during stress. For some green sites, that "best" root zone is either USGA, California, topsoil or a combination of methods.

A self-fulfilling prophecy is defined as a situation where someone believes so strongly in an idea that it comes to pass because of the believer's subconscious actions. Many superintendents won't have success with California greens if they don't believe in them. I often see superintendents who struggle with California greens because they have biases. Their misconceptions are that California greens don't hold water and nutrients, that pure sand causes root abrasion, and that California greens are vulnerable to isolated dry spot. My advice is to keep an open mind and don't be saddled with prejudices.

Research by Ed McCoy of The Ohio State University, and loosely interpreted by me, bears out what we observe in the field on greens. Some observations are that California greens require less watering than USGA greens. USGA greens drain more quickly to field capacity, but California greens will drain more thoroughly over a long period of time. Flat tile also drains faster than round tile, and root-zone gases can be exchanged in minutes by applying a vacuum to replace water with air or increase pressure to push up soil gases. Consequently, when we ask our clients to consider the alternatives for green construction, one method is a modified California green.

There is no magic to the California construction method; it's plain old plant and soil science. That California greens are easier to build and cost less are secondary factors to the fact that they perform better than other methods in many situations.

Michael J. Hurdzan is a past president of the American Society of Golf Course Architects and a principal and founding partner at Columbus, Ohio-based Hurdzan/Fry Golf Course Design.
How to Insure Successful Grow-In

1. Optimize the Growing Medium with SAND-AID and OptiMil

SAND-AID™ helps solve many of the shortcomings of high sand content rootzones, such as low nutrient and moisture holding capacity and low stress tolerance. SAND-AID:

- Increases nutrient holding capacity and improves fertilizer performance
- Increases moisture holding capacity
- Increases organic matter weight by 50-60% with no adverse effects on physical parameters, such as infiltration and percolation
- Increases carbon release rate through biostimulation
- Increases stress tolerance to senescence and wilt due to high temperatures and drought
- Higher CEC than any other organic amendment and fastest decomposition rate, so it won’t layer

Add SAND-AID to enhance the performance of sand-based root zones. Nothing is more agronomically effective, economical or cost efficient.

OptiMil (3-1-4) is a unique combination of SAND-AID, Milorganite turf fertilizer and sunflower hull ash agglomerated into homogenous particles. OptiMil is designed for either construction or topdressing.

2. Maximize Establishment with GroWin

GroWin™ is a scientifically proven, natural organic rootzone biostimulant. Independent university tests have established that GroWin produces additive responses over and above what fertilizers and soil amendments produce. It will help you establish your turf better, faster, and more cost-effectively.

- Faster establishment - 300% increase in the first 28 days
- Ready for play sooner
- Less N needed to produce high-quality turf

GroWin will help your turf achieve a greater percentage of its genetic potential.

3. Produce Rapid, Robust, Leaf and Root Growth

In research conducted at the University of Rhode Island, the University of Arkansas and Chenal Country Club, GroWin produced more rapid, robust leaf growth and root growth, while improving stress tolerance.

The USGA method is the most extensively researched style of construction with scientific review ongoing to ensure it remains sound in the face of a rapidly changing industry. It uses the gravel layer not only to move excess water to the drain lines, but also to provide more uniform moisture-retention levels throughout the green.

The parameters for sand and gravel selection are generous enough to allow a range of materials to be used, but still specific enough to ensure that agronomic parameters such as porosity and saturated conductivity are met. Research conducted on greens and root zones that meet USGA guidelines has indicated that, with proper management, nutrient and pesticide leaching can be kept to a minimum. The drainage and moisture-retention characteristics of USGA greens result in consistent playing quality in all types of weather.

My belief that the USGA method is the best method of green construction available does not mean that I feel the California method is agronomically unsound. It has performed well in certain parts of the country, particularly in the area in which it was developed. The lack of the gravel layer and use of straight sand allow for simpler, less-expensive construction.

Research limited on California green
Unfortunately, research on the California method has been limited since the method was introduced. Although the method has received recent attention, it has not been widely used in different climates, making it more difficult to evaluate its strengths and weaknesses over a range of conditions.

While leaving the gravel layer out does reduce cost, Ed McCoy’s research at The Ohio State University indicates the benefits of this layer for equalizing moisture levels and more complete drainage.

For all these reasons, I believe those who desire the best putting surfaces possible would...
Introducing The Andersons® brings you better formulations of proven pre-emergent weed control and timed-release fertilizer performance - ProPendi™. ProPendi's smaller, more uniform particle size means easy application, unmatched coverage and flexible use rates. Also, superior formulation provides better pendimethalin adherence to the particles, less dust and better delivery of herbicide to the turf. The result? Better pre-emergent control of the toughest, most common grassy weeds, plus excellent green-up with minimal surge. Go ahead. Compare it to any other popular brand. You'll see that there's no comparison at all. Contact your distributor today. Or call toll-free 1-800-543-0006.

Here's a no-brainer for you: Which one will give you better, more effective weed control coverage?

Introducing ProPendi™

The Andersons® brings you better formulations of proven pre-emergent weed control and timed-release fertilizer performance - ProPendi™. ProPendi's smaller, more uniform particle size means easy application, unmatched coverage and flexible use rates. Also, superior formulation provides better pendimethalin adherence to the particles, less dust and better delivery of herbicide to the turf. The result? Better pre-emergent control of the toughest, most common grassy weeds, plus excellent green-up with minimal surge. Go ahead. Compare it to any other popular brand. You'll see that there's no comparison at all. Contact your distributor today. Or call toll-free 1-800-543-0006.

Magnification for both products is equal.
Views from the Field

We asked readers if they favor USGA or California greens and why:

■ “We have always had excellent success with our USGA-constructed greens. I have very little knowledge and even less experience with any of the other methods. Frankly, I've never had much interest in the California method. With our success with the USGA method, I would say, 'If it ain't broken, don't fix it.'”

Bob Farren, CGCS
Pinehurst Resort and CC

■ “If the soil is suitable, we favor native soil/sand greens where possible. Failing that, we lean toward USGA greens because they’re considered ‘optimum,’ and we could have legal troubles someday if we advise differently and the greens fail. I've built a bunch of USGA greens by the book, and they still work fine. But I don't really understand the benefits of the perched water table.”

Tom Deak, Architect

■ “Let's assume correctly sized sand was used in the first place, which is critical. Since they are created with very limited nutrient holding capacity, California greens have proven to be difficult for the majority of superintendents to grow in. Few can fertilize enough to do the grow-in without major setbacks. But once grown in, California greens are essentially equal to correctly constructed USGA greens in performance and at a significantly lower total cost of establishment (sand almost always costs less than organic matter). Few have read, and fewer understood, John Madison’s work that led to the specification for California greens. Madison is nearly forgotten today, but he was the first academic to write about greens construction and maintenance as an environmental system. That's where the California green concept came from, and it's 100 percent viable when done correctly.”

Mike Heacock, former vice president and director of maintenance
American Golf Corp.

■ “I have never had any experience with California greens. We built a USGA-spec green in 1994 and have had good success with it. I don't believe I would try a California green because my experience with a straight 100-percent sand-base construction has not worked for me in the past. Even the 85/15 mixture that is in our 1994 USGA green is not nearly as forgiving as our 1921 push-up soil greens, which have been topdressed with straight 100 percent sand since 1976.”

Wayne Otto, CGCS
Ozaukee CC
Mequon, Wis.

■ “I recommend the USGA method of putting green construction. This method has withstood the test of time. If all the parameters are met during construction (including quality-control methods), putting greens can be built anywhere in the world under any conditions.”

John Hamilton
Agronomist
Southern Turf Nurseries

■ “I prefer USGA because that's where the science is, and [the greens] have a 40-year history. Very few people know what a true California green is because they never take time to study the real specifications from the California booklet. Most greens built are modified California greens with no basis for scientific backup.”

Gary Grigg
President
Greenscape

The Trend Toward Inorganics

Significant progress has been made in the past 10 years in the search for the next generation of putting greens. During this time, the golf industry has begun to re-evaluate decades-old construction methods in an effort to produce greens that are easier and less expensive to manage and that will also last longer. While USGA- and California-style greens remain the standards, putting greens built using inorganic soil amendments have caught the attention of more than a few superintendents, architects and builders. More than 1,000 golf greens have been built in the past decade using these materials.

There are several classes of inorganic amendments (clay-based porous ceramics, kiln-fired and nonkiln-fired diatomaceous earths and zeolites). Although these classes of inorganic amendments have different physical characteristics and chemical properties, they do have two things in common: Since they do not contain carbon compounds, they are more stable than organic amendments and do not decompose. They also contain varying amounts of internal porosity. For that reason, they are often referred to as internally porous inorganic amendments (IPIAs).

Superintendents are wise to be skeptical of products that don’t have significant research to support them. In general, the products that have been most extensively researched and proven are in the category known as clay-based porous ceramics. These products provide benefits that at one time were considered to be mutually exclusive — significantly increasing water and nutrient retention, while at the same time increasing drainage during saturated conditions.

Proven IPIAs can be used the same way sand is in common cultural practices. Either alone or mixed with properly sized sand, they can be used for topdressing during the growing season or following aerification, as well as drill and fill machines or dry inject units.

For new construction, the best practice is to substitute IPIAs for organic amendments or use IPIAs in combination with them.

Although the use of inorganic amendments in putting greens is still in its infancy, the trend is growing.
The parameters for sand and gravel selection are generous enough to allow a range of materials to be utilized, but still specific enough to ensure that agronomic parameters such as porosity and saturated conductivity are met.
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be wise to choose the USGA method. Although I recognize that few courses have unlimited construction budgets, equally few courses should settle for anything less than the best when it comes to greens.

The combination of past and continuing research, 40 years of success throughout several countries and proven agronomic strengths justifies the additional cost for those who expect the best.

Regardless of which method is chosen, it’s critical to follow the respective guidelines. Modified California and USGA greens are true unknowns. The modifications typically involve the use of materials that fail to meet either method’s guidelines. As a result, they frequently result in root zones far less favorable to top-quality turf.

It is unreasonable to expect one method to best fit every situation. My hope is that, as research efforts continue, the California method and other methods of construction will be more viable options.

This same effort will result in the USGA method becoming more affordable to all types of courses. This is entirely consistent with the USGA’s goal to serve the game of golf and the Green Section’s goal to do this through sound agronomic recommendations.

Jim Moore is USGA’s director of construction education.

FlightControl® is the only product that effectively protects your course seven days a week, 24 hours a day. FlightControl works like a “Biological Fence”, herding geese off the areas where you don’t want them. FlightControl is odorless, weather-proof, and does the job without harming humans, vegetation or wildlife.

Get rid of the geese, get FlightControl!

Call us: 800-468-6345 or visit: www.flightcontrol.com
Environmental Biocontrol, Inc., a division of DCV, Inc.

Carey Mitchelson
Golf Course Superintendent
Twin Lake Golf Club
Oakland Township, Michigan

CALL FOR A FREE VIDEO!

FIRST PRODUCTS INC.
1-800-363-8780

www.golfdom.com Golfdom 37
Inside The Fringe

Top 10 Golfers’ Gripes

We asked Joe Boe, superintendent of Coral Oaks GC in Cape Coral, Fla., to list his top 10 reasons why golfers gripe about greens. Take it away, Joe:

10. Aerify again? Didn’t you just do that last month?
My response: “No, no — that was two months ago. Remember, we had to put off the last aerification because of the big (insert name here) tournament last month?”

9. Your greens are too slow.
This usually comes from members of your MGA or LGA (men’s or lady’s griping associations) who are on the traveling team. They have played at (insert name here) country club and the greens were very fast. Also, this statement usually comes from the same people who praised “our greens” only three months before when they were stimping at nine.

8. Your greens are too fast.
See No. 9 and reverse the scenario.

7. The par 3s are completely ruined with all of those ball marks.
This gripe is usually followed with, “What are you going to do about this?” What you’d like to do is set up a hidden video camera and film play on the par 3s and then show the tape at the next membership meeting. What you must do is instruct your staff to repair as many ball marks as possible while staying ahead of morning play.

Continued on page 41

Trying to Make Peace with Your Poa Annua

By Dave Wilber

Anyone who has ever grown or tried to kill annual bluegrass knows that Poa annua can speak. It leans across the table and vows to be yours forever. Then there are the days when it declares absolute hatred for you and promises to fill your earthly existence with daily hassles should you be stupid enough to stick around.

No superintendents will admit to chatting to their greens when they are alone with them. But to ask what the problem is or to compliment performance is part of the dialogue a superintendent can have with Poa in the quiet moments when no one is looking. Only the mouthless Poa is brave enough to talk back if a superintendent is really listening.

If Poa could be grown everywhere, we’d never want to putt on anything else.

intendant can have with Poa in the quiet moments when no one is looking. Only the mouthless Poa is brave enough to talk back if a superintendent is really listening.

Imagine talking to a golfer and trying to explain that after a conversation with several of your pet Poa plants that you’ve decided not to water for one more day. Such a statement could buy you a trip to a place where the walls are padded and the doors can only be opened from the outside.

The natural selection process that produces Poa provides the fulcrum of the balance between your love-and-hate relationship with the grass because there’s Poa that certainly is the best putting surface you can imagine. It may only be a few square feet, but it demands respect and the thought that if it could be grown everywhere, we’d never want to putt on anything else.

New breeding programs and the possible advent of creeping bluegrass may make that possible. But don’t hold your breath because the best Poa plants are the ones in the Reptans sub-type and seed heads aren’t an option and may be tough to get into production.

As for the hate side of things, don’t forget the last few years of guaranteed Poa killers, sold under all kinds of auspices to get you to do something — anything — to rid yourself of the stuff. In the name of battle, some have suited up and gone to “The Annual Bluegrass Wars.”

Regardless of its proven ability to naturally select, the battle is on. For some, Poa must die. If it does not, the vow is to return another day with a bigger cannon to shoot. Now we hear of people waiting patiently for bentgrass that’s resistant to non-selective herbicides, which would be a big gun to bring to battle.

Still, some are called to protect the Poa from cruelty. They dote, they sing to it, feed it special food, groom it with special tools, give it light, extra water, shade, blankets for warmth and...
simply let it be known that no Poa will be sacrificed on their watches.

In modern times, we have educated the golfer more about Poa annua than we should have. Recently, a green committee chairman was pontificating to his superintendent and I about how bad Poa is and how the club should get rid of it at any cost. I couldn't resist to ask him to show me his favorite few square feet of the green we were standing on. I also asked him to show me his least favorite square feet. He picked Poa annua patches in both cases — and he didn't even hear the grass talking to him.

Wilber is an independent agronomic advisor and a Golfdom columnist.

**One Man's Graceful Green Complex Is Another Man's...**

Golf course architect Desmond Muirhead has been called the Salvador Dali of design. The 77-year-old is known to use greens, bunkers and water to create outlandish holes that people either admire or abhor. (Below, right) One of Muirhead's most well-known designs is No. 7 at Stone Harbor GC, known as Clashing Rocks.

"The symbol came from my subconscious, where it had probably been hanging around for a great many years," Muirhead explains. "According to Jungian psychology, it is a mandala, a sanskrit word meaning perfect circle which is the most common archetype drawn in psychoanalysis. The central form is female and the jagged forms are male."

The No. 6 hole at Muirhead's Shinyo, Japan, course (below, left) — where the bunker in front of the green is in the shape of a Japanese fan — is also a popular design. So is the 10th, or Dragon Hole (bottom), at Oak Village near Tokyo.

PHOTOS COURTESY OF DESMOND MUIRHEAD
**Bits and Pieces ... and Problems**

**KNOW THE SOURCES OF FINE SOIL PARTICLES THAT IMPEDE DRAINAGE ON GREENS**

You know that greens live and die by their drainage characteristics. Simply put, poorly drained greens are lousy putting surfaces, and well-drained greens are good putting surfaces.

But even the best-draining greens can fall victim to fine soil particles from silt or clay introduced via irrigation or topdressing. Poor drainage leads to disease, poor root growth and greens that can’t support a lot of play. All of this adds up to lost business and possibly your job.

So to keep your greens looking good and putting well, you may want to know a few things about the origin of fine soil particles. Here are a few things to consider:

• Your irrigation system could be a source of fine soil particles. Many golf courses have holding lakes for irrigation water, which is pumped through the irrigation system to the course. If the pump intake picks up clear water, there's no problem. But if the intake is located near the bottom, it may suck up fine soil particles and carry them to the greens.

• Dirty topdressing is a source of fine soil particles. If the sand used for topdressing is not thoroughly washed, it may be a problem. When a green that has been topdressed with dirty sand is watered, the fine particles wash off the sand and eventually slow down drainage.

• Organic matter from topdressing is also a source of fine soil particles.

• The uncontrollable natural fallout of particulate matter from the atmosphere also may add fine particles to the green.

The solution: Keep your inputs — water and amendments — clean and your putting surfaces will stay green.

— William Knoop

**VW&R Will Be There with The Supplies and Expertise You Need—No Matter How Difficult Your Growing Conditions!**

- Chemicals and fertilizers across the Sunbelt—Soon to be nationwide.

- Building a nationwide team of turf professionals who understand your needs.

- VW&R is the most efficient distribution company in North America.

- Over 65 U.S. locations.