

TURFGRASS TRENDS

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Mowing Practices Reduce Runoff From Turf

By Greg Bell and Justin Moss

We have known for some time that grasses forming a contiguous ground cover are very effective for reducing runoff and sediment losses from agricultural areas. Managed turfgrasses tend to prevent runoff extremely well because they form a dense cover near ground level due to regular mowing. In fact, researchers at the University of Maryland found that tall fescue sod was more effective for reducing runoff than manmade materials designed specifically for that purpose (Krenitsky et al, 1998).

Although turf makes an excellent surface for runoff reduction, it does not prevent runoff entirely. A small portion of the nutrients and pesticides applied to turf for general maintenance are occasionally lost in runoff and end up in streams, lakes and other surface water. These chemical losses help to contaminate drinking water and to form the "dead zones" that occur in the Chesapeake Bay, Mississippi Delta and many other water features throughout the world. By using sound chemical application practices and an effective runoff management plan, most of these runoff losses from turf can be prevented.

Management practices as simple as mowing height can improve our environment and reduce our losses of nutrients and pesticides. Practicing environmentally sound management can improve our environment and help prevent criticism of our industry.


As turfgrass managers, we have a responsibility to our environment as well as to our clients and colleagues. We should be aware of management techniques that help

reduce runoff and environmental contamination.

A good runoff prevention program is a combination of common-sense practices, management experience and attention to research results. For instance, applications of fertilizers or pesticides to saturated soil, frozen soil or non-target surfaces such as concrete or plastic are likely to increase chemical runoff duration.

Continued on page 44

FIGURE 1



Runoff slows and puddles as it flows across turf mowed at 0.5 inches and encounters a buffer mowed at 2 inches on a 5 percent slope.

IN THIS ISSUE

- **Pest Control**
Cultivar selection, cutting heights affect management of black cutworms 48

OUR SPONSORS

Bayer Environmental Science

www.BayerProCentral.com
888-842-8020

Floratine

www.floratine.com
901-853-2898

JOHN DEERE

www.JohnDeere.com
309-765-8000

PTI
PURSELL TECHNOLOGIES INC.

www.polygon.com
800-422-4248


Runoff from bermuda-grass bordered by a single-height buffer compared with runoff from a multiple-height buffer during 70 minutes of precipitation.



QUICK TIP

Superintendents must adapt their moisture management practices for varying rainfall. In addition, there are soil physics and hydrology, not to mention irrigation water chemistry. Floratine representatives can help diagnose and suggest effective water management approaches for your circumstances. We understand that one product or a single approach won't solve all challenges and that prescription without diagnosis is malpractice.

FIGURE 2



Continued from page 43
ing subsequent rainfall events.

Maintaining dense turf inhibits runoff, but maintaining dense turf through a program of over-fertilization or unnecessary pesticide applications not only wastes money but encourages chemical losses to run off. Soil tests and growing conditions determine when fertilizer is required. Environmental conditions or symptoms determine when pesticide applications are necessary. Application timing is critical to environmentally sound management.

Good planning can result in application windows that allow us to apply chemicals when weather conditions are most suitable for chemical activity and runoff losses are least likely to occur. Post-application weather forecasts should not be overlooked. A major rainstorm following a chemical application is quite likely to result in chemical losses to runoff.

The use of slow-release nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers and aerification should be part of the runoff prevention program. Slow-

release nitrogen and phosphorus sources only provide a small amount of soluble nutrient at any given time, reducing the potential for nutrient runoff.

Aerification helps to increase the surface infiltration rate and slows soil saturation that results in runoff. It could be argued, however, that aerification results in a greater leaching potential by moving chemicals through the soil more quickly. However, the soil is a great filter and can provide some resistance to nutrient or pesticide losses.

One of the most effective management practices for reducing runoff is mowing. As long as the mowing height remains in the range of species adaptability, turf tends to increase in density as the mowing height is lowered.

A dense turf provides a complex system of shoots and stems that slow runoff and allow more time for surface infiltration. High mowing heights can also deter runoff when prop-

Continued on page 46



QUICK TIP

DO NOT use excessive nitrogen during the summer on cool-season turfgrasses that have gone into dormancy. But keep in mind that some nitrogen may be necessary to prevent nitrogen deficiency and promote turfgrass recovery from play. The key is to apply only enough nitrogen for reasonable turfgrass quality during the spring and summer. Fall fertilization is the critical time to focus on adequate fertilization. It is during these "cooler" growing conditions that cool-season turfgrasses exhibit their greatest growth, not to mention fix carbohydrates.

Continued from page 44

erly used. The United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service recommends grassed vegetation buffers for preventing runoff from agricultural lands (USDS-NRCS, 2001). In turf, a vegetation buffer is simply an area of higher-mowed turf that is used to slow runoff and help prevent nutrient and pesticide losses.

Turfgrass scientists in Oklahoma have studied the runoff-reducing effects of vegetation buffers for many years. According to Cole et al (1997), vegetation buffers are effective for reducing nutrient and pesticide losses from turf. Their findings indicated that a strip of bermudagrass from 4 feet to 16 feet wide mowed at 1.5 inches down the slope from an area of bermudagrass mowed at 0.5 inches resulted in less runoff and lower chemical losses than bermudagrass that was not bordered by a buffer. The width of the buffer did not seem to make a substantial difference in the amount of runoff that occurred.

Baird et al (2000) tested different buffer heights and determined that the effectiveness of the buffers used in the Cole study could be improved if the buffer mowing height was increased from 1.5 inches to 3 inches. These results led to the Moss et al (2005) project.

Although turf density can be expected to increase with lower mowing height and have a negative effect on runoff, the work of Baird indicated that when a buffer strategy is employed, the shoot height of the buffer vegetation has a greater effect on runoff than turf density. Furthermore, Cole demonstrated that buffer width had little effect on runoff. These results led the Moss group to reason that the effectiveness of a turf-type vegetation buffer is primarily a result of the initial low-cut to high-cut obstacle encoun-

tered by runoff as it flows from one height of turf to another (Fig. 1).

Consequently, the group hypothesized that a series of buffer strips mowed at increasingly higher heights, from 1.0 inches to 1.5 inches to 2.0 inches, might further inhibit runoff by presenting multiple low-cut to high-cut obstacles. After two years of testing irrigation and natural rainfall runoff, the researchers found that the multiple-height buffer strategy was indeed more effective than a single-height buffer (Fig 2).

Vegetation buffers help to reduce runoff and chemical losses. This buffer runoff reduction strategy is already used at most golf courses. Areas of high-cut turf called primary rough are usually used to define golf course fairways and present a challenge to golfers who miss the fairway. These areas of rough act as buffers for the lower-mown fairways.

Many golf courses incorporate an intermediate height of cut between the fairway and the primary rough that inadvertently presents a second obstacle to runoff.

Given the existing scenario, a third height of cut consistent with the Moss strategy would be relatively easy to employ. Buffer strategies, either single height or multiple height, can be used anywhere turf exists near water features. A simple mowing height adjustment for turf bordering a water feature is enough to reduce the amount of runoff that enters the feature.

Dr. Greg Bell is an associate professor of turfgrass science at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Okla. His research focus includes management practices that reduce runoff from turf and the use of spectral sensing for practical turf management. Dr. Justin Moss is an assistant professor and director of the University of Wyoming Sheridan Research Station.

REFERENCES

- Baird JH, Basta NT, Huhnke RL, Johnson GV et al. "Best management practices to reduce pesticide and nutrient runoff from turf." In Clark JM and Kenna MP (ed.) Fate and management of turfgrass chemicals. American Chemical Society. 2000. Washington.
- Cole JT, Baird JH, Basta NT, Huhnke RL, Storm DE, Johnson GV et al. "Influence of buffers on pesticide and nutrient runoff from bermudagrass turf." *J Environ Qual.* 1997;26:1589-1598.
- Krenitsky EC, Carroll MJ, Hill RL, Krouse JM. "Runoff and sediment losses from natural and man-made erosion control materials." *Crop Sci.* 1998;38:1042-1046.
- Moss JQ, Bell GE, Kizer MA, Payton ME, Zhang H, Martin DL. "Reducing nutrient runoff from golf course fairways using grass buffers of multiple heights." *Crop Sci.* 2005;46:72-80.
- Buffer strips: common sense conservation. [Online]. USDA-NRCS. 2001. Available at <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/feature/buffers/> (verified Nov.30, 2005).