

Superintendents Should Consider Options When Treating Aquatic Weeds

By Stratford H. Kay

The presence of some vegetation is desirable in and around golf course ponds for aesthetics and to provide fish habitat, but excessive plant growth is unsightly and may interfere with the intended use of these ponds.

Weed growth occurs in small ponds primarily because of the presence of clear, shallow water, which permits sunlight to penetrate to the pond bottom, where there are abundant nutrients (particularly nitrogen and phosphorus) that promote weed growth. Ponds on golf courses and in other sites adjacent to high-maintenance turf are very susceptible to colonization by aquatic weeds because of high nutrient availability from the surrounding watershed.

Proper pond location and design, limiting nutrient runoff into the ponds and avoiding planting potentially invasive aquatic plants are the most effective ways to keep aquatic weeds out of ponds.

Aquatic weed management

Two basic types of information are needed to make intelligent aquatic weed management decisions. First, you must know the present and future uses of the pond because these will affect your management options. This is particularly important if you will need to use aquatic herbicides, as all aquatic herbicides except copper-based products have one or more water-use restrictions.

Second, you need to identify the weeds so that you can select management techniques appropriate for your specific problems. Live samples are preferred for identification of most aquatic weeds. Collect your weeds (including roots, rhizomes, vegetative shoots, flowers and seed pods), wash off mud and other debris, wrap them in damp (not wet) paper towels, put them into a zipper-type plastic bag and keep them cool until you can get them identified. Do not leave your samples in a hot vehicle or a container of water (they will begin rotting within 24 hours to 48 hours) or allow them to dry out.

Be sure that the person making the identifi-

cations is qualified. Your local extension service office, state fisheries agency, a licensed aquatic applicator or personnel from your state agricultural university usually can assist with identifications and recommend appropriate management techniques.

Several techniques can be used alone or in combination to manage weeds in ponds. Manual techniques are inefficient and labor intensive and usually are not effective for long-term control.

Mechanical techniques remove unwanted vegetation quickly, but are expensive and do not usually provide long-term control.

Cultural techniques modify the environment to make conditions less suitable for weed growth and usually provide longer-term control than either manual or mechanical methods. Biological control usually involves the use of sterile grass carp or other organisms to feed on unwanted vegetation and often provides more cost effective long-term control than other methods. Chemical control involves the application of EPA-registered herbicides and algicides. Effective aquatic weed management usually involves an integrated approach combining two or more of the techniques detailed below.

Mechanical control: Essentially any type of dredging, digging, cutting and mowing equipment can be used to remove unwanted vegetation from ponds and lakes. The main advantage to this method is that the weeds are here today and gone tomorrow, without concerns about herbicide residues in the water, possible water-use restrictions or dead and decaying vegetation in the water.

The disadvantages of this method are that it can be expensive (\$10,000 or more per acre if soil removal is involved), and satisfactory control often lasts only a few weeks. Weed fragments also may spread to uninfested areas, and equipment may cause significant damage to the banks of the pond or lake.

Cultural control: Pond dyes are useful in the management of filamentous algae and other submersed (underwater) plants if there is little water outflow and if the pond has been con-

structed properly. These products are nontoxic and do not kill the plants, but simply absorb sunlight that is needed by algae and other underwater plants for photosynthesis. They are safe for use in irrigation ponds and where ponds are used for fishing and livestock. Several dyes are available, including several pond colorants and one or two dyes that are registered with the EPA specifically for aquatic weed control. Dyes generally are not effective on floating plants (duckweed, waterlilies) and emergent plants (cattails and bulrushes).

Water-level drawdown is effective primarily on submersed weeds and, to some extent, filamentous algae. This technique usually conducted on ponds larger than one acre and is done during the winter months when the combination of drying and exposure to cold weather will kill many aquatic weeds. Drawdown normally is not done during the warm months because it stresses fish populations and allows marginal plants to spread. This is a cheap and effective technique provided that your target weeds are susceptible to drawdown.

Biological control: Biological control provides an inexpensive, long-term method of control for certain types of aquatic weeds without the concerns about herbicide residues. Its major drawback is that it usually is slow. The primary biological control agent for aquatic weeds in the United States is the Chinese grass carp or white amur.

Grass carp generally are the most effective and least-costly method for management of most submersed plants in small ponds. They may live for 10 years or longer and achieve weights of 50 pounds or more. Grass carp feed entirely on vegetation and do not compete with other fish in the pond for food.

Stocking recommendations vary somewhat from state to state, but usually fall in the range of 10 fish to 15 fish per acre for small ponds. Fish should be at least 10 inches in length to decrease predation losses by bass and wading birds. Grass carp rarely are effective on floating or emergent weeds or on a few species of tough-stemmed submersed plants in the watermilfoil group. Some states do not permit the use of grass carp. Those which do usually require a permit for their purchase and also require that the fish be certified as being the sterile, triploid form.

Check with your state fisheries or natural resource agencies regarding the use of grass carp

since regulations on their use vary from state to state.

Several other biocontrol agents have been used and may be available for control of aquatic weeds. Other fishes include the blue tilapia and the redbelly tilapia. These are stocked at rates of about 250 to 350 per acre and may require a permit. Both are tropical and will not overwinter in areas where water temperatures go below 60 degrees.

Several insects also have been used with varying degrees of success. The alligatorweed flea beetle has been effective on alligatorweed along the Gulf Coast and the southern Atlantic coasts. State and federal agencies may obtain flea beetles for release from the Corps of Engineers in Jack-

Most 2,4-D formulations are not labeled for aquatic use.

sonville, Fla. More recently, several insects have become available for purple loosestrife control in the northern tier of the United States and southern Canada (contact Cornell University for more information) and for giant salvinia in the southern tier of the United States (contact the Corps of Engineers for more information).

Chemical control: Chemical control is the most commonly used technique for management of aquatic weeds and algae in small ponds in the United States. The advantages of using algicides and aquatic herbicides are that they are easy to apply and that they generally give fairly quick results.

The primary disadvantages are the presence of residues in the water (occasionally also in the soil), the lack of selectivity of some products, and the presence of dead and decaying plants in the water. The use of these products does not pose any significant threat to humans, animals or general environmental health when applied according to label instructions.

Several EPA-registered algicides and herbicides (Table 1) are available for control of aquatic weeds, and many are available in several formulations. Aquatic products include copper; diquat dibromide; endothall; 2,4-D; glyphosate; triclopyr amine; and fluridone. Each product, except copper formulations, has one or more water-use restrictions following application.

You must realize that all aquatic weed-con-

Continued on page 74



QUICK TIP

The Andersons' 36 years of experience in manufacturing granular carriers, along with our formulation expertise, give you The Andersons Advantage in granular fungicides. The Andersons offers the widest array of granular fungicides on the market.

Continued from page 73
 control techniques have some impact on the environment. Most unwanted impacts usually are minor and of short duration. They can be avoided by choosing the most appropriate products and by careful timing of applications. You should not select chemical control as your first choice if other equally effective and more environmentally acceptable options are feasible.

If you are going to use chemical control, be sure that your choice of product or products are

appropriate for the weeds present (Table 2) and the intended uses of the pond. Check the label to find out how to use the product, and be sure that it will control your weed problem. It may be necessary to use more than one product when several different weeds are present.

Copper formulations primarily are algicides, although several are labeled for aquatic flowering plants. Glyphosate is used for emergent vegetation and for a few species of floating-leaved plants like waterlilies. Glyphosate has no water-

TABLE 2

Relative effectiveness of different management options on selected aquatic weed species.^{1,2}

TARGET WEED	GRASS		DIQUAT			TRICLOPYR		
	CARP	COPPER	DIBROMIDE	ENDOTHALL	GLYPHOSATE	FLURIDONE ³	AMINE	2,4-D
ALGAE								
Filamentous algae – most species	NR	G	E	E ³	NR	NR	NR	NR
Pithophora, Spirogyra, Lyngbya	NR	G	E	E ³	NR	NR	NR	NR
Macroalgae – Chara, Nitella	E	G	E	E ³	NR	NR	NR	NR
FREE-FLOATING PLANTS								
Duckweed	NR	NR	G	P	NR	E	NR	NR
Watermeal	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	G	NR	NR
Mosquito fern (Azolla)	NR	NR	G	NR	NR	E	NR	F
Waterhyacinth	NR	NR	G	NR	F-G	NR	E	E
SUBMERSED (UNDERWATER) PLANTS								
American elodea	E	F	E	E	NR	E	NR	NR
Bladderwort	G	NR	G	P	NR	E	F-G	G
Brazilian elodea (Egeria)	G	G	E	P	NR	E	NR	NR
Brittle naiad (Najas minor)	E	NR	E	E	NR	E	NR	NR
Coontail	G	NR	E	E	NR	E	G	G
Eurasian watermilfoil	P	NR	E	E	NR	E	E	E
Hydrilla	E	F	E	G	NR	E	NR	NR
Parrotfeather	P	P-F	G	G	NR	E	G	E
Pondweeds (Potamogeton)	E	NR	E	E	NR	E	NR	NR
Southern naiad	E	NR	F	P	NR	G	NR	NR
Proliferating spikerush	E	NR	NR	NR	NR	E	NR	NR
Variable-leaf milfoil	P	NR	E	G	NR	E	G	E
EMERGENT AND FLOATING-LEAF PLANTS								
Alligatorweed	NR	NR	NR	NR	G	P	G	P
American lotus	NR	NR	NR	NR	E ⁴	P	G	G
Bulrushes	NR	NR	F	NR	E	NR	NR	G
Cattails	NR	NR	F	NR	E	P-F	NR	P-F
Creeping waterprimrose	NR	NR	NR	NR	G	P	G	E
Grasses – most species	NR	NR	F	NR	E	NR	NR	NR
Pickeralweed	NR	NR	P	NR	G	F	G	G
Rushes (<i>Juncus</i>)	NR	NR	NR	NR	F-G	NR	NR	P
Smartweeds	NR	NR	F	NR	G	F	P	G
Spatterdock	NR	NR	F	NR	E ⁴	G	G	G
Waterlilies (<i>Nymphaea</i>)	NR	NR	P	NR	E ⁴	G	G	G
Water pennywort	NR	NR	G	NR	F-G	F	G	G
Wateshield	NR	NR	F	F	F ⁴	G	F-G	E

¹ All herbicides listed except copper products have one or more water use restrictions following application. These restrictions vary widely with product and formulation. Consult the label for details.

² Relative effectiveness: NR=not recommended; E=excellent; G=good; F=fair; P=poor

³ Note: only the amine salt (Hydrothol 191) has algicidal properties; the di-potassium salt (Aquathol) will NOT control algae.

⁴ Care must be taken to prevent splashing and waves to keep the product from washing off the surfaces of floating leaves.

⁵ Fluridone requires a long contact time. It should not be applied in areas of significant and continuous water flow. Split applications and/or use of slow release formulations will extend the contact time in areas of slow to moderate water movement.

use restriction, except in drinking water supplies. Endothall is used almost exclusively for submersed weeds, except the amine salt of endothall, which also can be used as an algicide.

Formulations of 2,4-D are used for broadleaf weeds and are effective on the water milfoil group, water lilies and water hyacinth. Most 2,4-D formulations are not labeled for aquatic use. Be sure to check the label and see that the formulation you plan to use is labeled for ponds.

Diquat dibromide is used for submersed weeds, duckweeds (except watermeal), water hyacinth and other free-floating weeds. Triclopyr amine is a broadleaf herbicide which provides good to excellent control of water milfoils, water lilies, water hyacinth and most other broadleaf aquatic weeds that are sensitive to 2,4-D. After use of all of the above products, plant kill occurs quickly and may cause oxygen depletion from the decomposing vegetation in the water. Fluridone is a slow-acting herbicide used primarily for control of submersed weeds, such as hydrilla and Eurasian water milfoil. It is highly effective on duckweeds and is the only product currently available that will control watermeal effectively.

Weeds treated with fluridone take several weeks to several months to die and do not cause oxygen depletion. It is effective on many plants but is nontoxic to humans, fish and other animals. Water-use restrictions for these products vary with formulation, site of application and application rate.

Correct timing of herbicide treatments is important to maximize effectiveness and prevent fish kills. Generally, you should apply herbicides early in the growing season after the water temperature is at least 65 degrees Fahrenheit.

The plants are growing actively at this time and will absorb the herbicide more quickly. Since fewer weeds are present, you will need less herbicide. Fish kills caused by oxygen depletion also are less likely to occur because the water is cooler and there is less plant material to decay. Perennial plants that form rhizomes should be treated after they flower, so that the herbicide will move down and kill the root and rhizome system.

Most aquatic herbicide applications can be done easily with a hand sprayer, either from the pond bank or from a small boat.

Consult the labels for information on the method of application, application rates and specific restrictions for each product. In most states, aquatic herbicide and algicide applications must be done by a licensed aquatic applicator. A permit also may be required. Contact your state pesticide regulatory agency and/or natural resources agencies before purchasing and applying algaecides and aquatic herbicides, as regulations may vary widely from state to state.

Kay is an aquatic resources management specialist with Aquatic Research and Consulting in Willow Springs, N.C.

TURFGRASS TRENDS

SECTION STAFF

Managing Editor

Curt Harler
440-238-4556; 440-238-4116 (fax)
curt@curtharler.com

Golfdom Staff Contact

Frank H. Andorka Jr.
440-891-2708; 440-891-2675 (fax)
fandorka@advanstar.com

Online Editor

Lynne Brakeman
440-826-2869; 440-891-2675 (fax)
lbrakeman@advanstar.com

Chief Science Editor

Dr. Karl Danneberger
614-292-8491; 614-292-3505 (fax)
danneberger.1@osu.edu

Production Manager

Jill Hood
218-723-9129; 218-723-9223 (fax)
jhood@advanstar.com

Art Director

Lisa Lehman
440-891-2785; 440-891-2675 (fax)
llehman@advanstar.com

Publisher

Patrick Jones
440-891-2786; 440-891-2675 (fax)
pjones@advanstar.com

Group Publisher

Tony D'Avino
440-891-2640; 440-891-2675 (fax)
tdavino@advanstar.com

Corporate & Editorial Office

7500 Old Oak Blvd.
Cleveland, OH 44130-3369

FIELD ADVISORS

Rob Anthony
Southern Methodist University

J. Douglas Barberry
Turf Producers International
Agronomist

F. Dan Dinelli
North Shore CC

Merrill J. Frank
Columbia CC

Michael Heacock
Pacific Golf Management K. K.

Paul B. Latshaw
Muirfield Village CC

Kevin Morris
National Turfgrass Evaluation
Program

Sean Remington
Green Valley CC

Ken Schwark
Roddy Ranch GC

Matt Shaffer
Merion GC

Wayne Horman
The Scotts Co.

Eric Kalasz
Bayer Environmental Sciences

David Irmén
The Andersons

Chris Byrd
Milliken

Van Cline
The Toro Co.

EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD

Dr. A.J. Powell
University of Kentucky

Dr. Eliot C. Roberts
Rosehall Associates

Dr. Garald Horst
University of Nebraska

Dr. Eric Nelson
Cornell University

Dr. Richard Hull
University of Rhode Island

Dr. Vic Gibeault
University of California

Dr. Pat Vittum
University of Massachusetts

Dr. Rick Brandenburg
NC State University

CONTACT US:

Editorial: 440-238-4556

Web site: www.turfgrasstrends.com

» For a more comprehensive article and tables, please visit www.turfgrasstrends.com.

REFERENCES

Kay, S. H. 1997. "Weed management in small ponds," AG-437, North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, Raleigh, N.C., 4p.

Kay, S. H. 1997. "Weed Control in Irrigation Water Supplies," AG-438, North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, Raleigh, N.C., 8p.

Kay, S. H. and J. A. Rice. 1991. "Using grass carp for aquatic weed management," AG-456, North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, Raleigh, N.C., 4p.