government has kept tabs on the incidence of such accidents through the Consumer Product Safety Commission.

Recently, in Washington, D.C., GOLF BUSINESS talked to John Liskey, a CPSC official who works in the agency’s voluntary standards department as they relate to sports equipment.

We have noticed accidents occurring in the golf car area through our system of monitoring emergency rooms in hospitals around the country for accidents that may occur through the use of unsafe products,” Liskey said.

Through an information gathering unit called NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), the CPSC can calculate and project the incidence of accidents and why they happen through backup field reporting. Golf car accidents are not extremely numerous, but according to NEISS data, high enough to be included in the commission’s top 100 causes of accidents.

Liskey has asked the golf car industry to develop a voluntary safety standard, but the industry itself seems so segmentated, not much has been accomplished since the now somewhat defunct American Golf Car Manufacturers group attempted to put something together back in 1970.

According to E-Z-Go’s George Inman, who chaired a AGCM subcommittee to get standards written six years ago, there were those in the industry that dragged their feet on getting standards written and published by ANSI (American National Standards Institute), a clearly voluntary.

Inman told GOLF BUSINESS his Z-130 subcommittee had worked diligently on the project, but was thwarted by indifference in the association. Twice the standards were presented and major manufacturers balked at the program.

In 1973, the AGCM went out of active business, and the function of gathering statistical information on that part of the golf business was picked up by the National Golf Foundation.

Written safety standards went pretty much unnoticed until the CPSC was established in 1972 and started their statistical investigation in a variety of areas where consumer products were concerned.

Liskey and those at the commission admit golf cars are not the biggest danger to the American public, but since there was no industry-wide safety standard for the vehicles, the CPSC was interested in seeing one established. So, the commission got in touch with Inman and asked that the old draft proposed by his subcommittee be sent in for study. That was in late June and when GOLF BUSINESS talked to Liskey a month later, he admitted the draft hadn’t been looked into yet.

How many accidents have occurred? According to estimates for the last six months of 1975, the government projected 36,000 on their NEISS data. Still, in relation to the more than 400,000 golf cars on courses today, is that a lot?

Records in the CPSC indicate there was very little followup data on the golf car accidents reported through the NEISS system. Again, golf car accidents are not a high priority as far as the agency is concerned, though, and were reported by CPSC field people.

Most of the in-depth reports were two to three years old, but accidents were reported at a Memphis daily fee course with a Cushman car, at a White Plains, N.Y., private club with an E-Z-Go and at another eastern club with a Johns-Manville Club Car. Extent of the injuries was limited to contusions and broken bones in the upper trunk of the body.

Deaths have occurred in recent years, according to the CPSC. A 65-year-old man was killed when his car hit a tree and he was crushed and a 16-year-old girl was involved in an accident where she received cranial damage that eventually led to her death.

Manufacturers will say most accidents are the result of driver error and in most cases the car’s mishandling is the cause of the accident. E-Z-Go’s Inman added studies his company had done showed the possible addition of roll bars to cars looked to be more of a hazard than it was worth.

The liability suit is there, though. E-Z-Go, AMF Harley-Davidson, and others have been to court to fight such product liability charges, and on most occasions they have been followed into court by the dealer who sold or leased the car to the course and course management itself.

According to National Club Association legal counsel Tom Ondec, people suing in such cases will cite all involved. “The owner of a course or the members of a private club can be sued if their course can be proven unsafe to run such vehicles on. For example, if a grade of a hill is too dangerous for cars to negotiate and an accident occurs, the club is liable,” Ondec commented.

Ondec also added that under the law, management of a club should know all danger spots on the course for such vehicles and route drivers away from them. The management of the course has a duty to make sure all cars are safe.

Whether or not there is a trend to such suits in the industry is not clear at the moment. Certainly, such accidents would prove cars unsafe, at this point manufacturers would not have much recourse without a published set of standards to adhere to in the industry.

Liskey and the CPSC state they do not want to set standards for the golf car industry, but unless the industry formulates some, it could come to that.

As far as clubs and courses around the nation are concerned, advice from legal counsels on the liability assumed on renting golf cars might be a necessary question to bring to club attorneys and insurance companies that write such policies.

Government attention into the matter may prod action on all fronts. Liskey and those at the CPSC admit, though, that they are more interested in seeing industries take care of such safety standards on their own.

EPA changes stance on mercuric bans

The Environmental Protection Agency has lifted a ban on mercuric compounds used in some paints and moved back the effective date of those used in golf course treatment.

Earlier this year, the EPA banned phenyl mercuric compounds used in paints and turf treatments as fungicides and bactericides. A recent reversal lifted the ban on mercurics used in water-base paints because of pressure from the paint industry and mercuric producers.

Bans on phenyl mercurics used in turf and golf course treatment and in other types of paints are still on, but EPA’s chief Russell E. Train postponed their effective date from June 30 to November 30.

Train conceded “nonmercurial substitutes ... are not sufficiently adequate and effective to warrant canceling mercurial registrations.”

FEA writes guide, steams up company

Much energy is being expended in an argument over whether or not a certain steam cooker conserves energy, and both the credibility of a government publication and reputation of a new product are at stake.

Late in 1975, the Federal Energy Administration finished its handbook Guide to Energy Conservation in Food Service which contains suggestions on energy efficiency applied to club foodservice. A year earlier the Cleveland Range Co., a unit of Alco Standard Corp., had started producing the Cleveland Convection Steamer, an unpressurized steam cooker. The meeting of the two was less than amicable. A truce