

Why golf isn't price sensitive

Before you read any further, check out our new feature — "Manager on the Street" — which can be found on page 42. Go ahead; I'll wait.

Well, several managers indicated they felt that, 20 years down the road, the golf industry would most resemble the hotel industry. This is interesting because these sentiments echoed several I heard during my research for the customer service story that starts on page 1. What's even more interesting? Everyone uses the Westin, Hyatt, Doubletree example.

However, the hotel business has learned that money can be made and needs served by developing modest chains like Motel 6, Hampton Inn and Super 8. Here's hoping golf developers and managers will see the same opportunities and build some truly affordable golf courses, where service is still king but golfers don't necessarily need to plunk down 100 bucks for a round.

Problem is, of course, developers are often forced to develop the big-budget courses and charge the giganto green fees because their debts services dictate it. Though we've dissected this issue time and again in *Golf Course News*, it took a story in *Forbes* (August '96) to focus the golf industry's attention on this serious matter.

As Neil Miller, associate general counsel at National Golf Properties, explained to *Forbes*: "It costs at least \$6 million to build a modest golf course. Operating margins are typically 30 percent; so to earn even 15 percent on the \$6 million investment, the course would need to gross \$3 million. Assuming an optimistic 50,000 rounds are played each year, the course would have to charge \$60 a round to make its nut."

Now, we can quibble with things like the \$6 million figure, but that assessment is basically right on the money. It further illustrates two points: First, the reason a majority of new developments falls into the "upscale daily-fee" category is necessity; they must charge \$90 to make back their investment. And second, the National Golf Properties/American Golf Corp. strategy of buying what are essentially "used" courses for approximately one-third the construction price makes a whole lot of sense.

I'm not as worried as *Forbes* about the glut of new golf course developments. After a few years of willy-nilly development in already overpopulated golf markets, entrepreneurs are beginning to understand the biggest test a drawing-board project must pass is geographical. Why else would Wisconsin and Minnesota lead the nation in new openings?

Further, Baby Boomers are just now turning 50 and rounds should steadily rise over the next decade. If they don't and development continues apace, THEN we have a problem.

...

Also on the media front: Alert reader John Strawn, a Portland, Ore.-based member of the architectural firm Arthur Hills & Associates, recently faxed me the latest in a long line of anti-golf polemics gleaned from our nation's editorial pages. While Strawn capably rebutted this particular column, the situation got me thinking — a dangerous notion, as you know.

To me, what's really interesting about these anti-golf tirades —

Continued on page 12



Hal Phillips, editor

Better prep helps developers put up hall-of-fame numbers

Remember your Mom hammering at you: "Do your home work!" ... "Have you done your homework?" ... "Where are you going without doing your homework?"

Henry Ford once said: "Before everything else, getting ready is the secret of success." And we all know where he ended up.

Yes, preparation is a key to success and, in the golf industry, to successful developments. We reported in August that a golf project in Maine got all its approvals in seven weeks. Seven weeks! Sounds something like Lou Gehrig's 2,130 consecutive games played — a record that would never be broken, right? Wrong. Just as Gehrig's record fell to Cal Ripken, so did The Meadows' record fall. And quickly... In July, Belgrade Lakes (Maine) Golf Club, Inc. (BLGC) was granted approval, in five weeks, to build an 18-hole golf course; it would have been three weeks if a second traffic study were not ordered.

Without advance preparation and compromise, permitting might have taken two years, said BLGC President Kyle Evans. The 240-acre property contains 35 acres of wetlands and construction is only impacting 5,000 square feet: "virtually puddles," he said.

"We had to make a number of changes in routing, but it was worth it," Evans said. He credited the environmental consulting firm Maine Land Development.

Likewise, Maine Department of Environmental Protection regional project director Chuck Kellogg said today's developers are far better prepared than in the past. The result is, they save time and money — sometimes a lot of both.

"No question, their investigation and pre-construction work, and the quality of consultants have improved tremendously," Kellogg said. "Ten years ago, people were trying to do a lot themselves. But now they're finding out it doesn't cost them any more to hire a good consultant. A consultant puts the project together in half, or one-third the time, and a few months makes a big difference in the cost."

Meanwhile, consultants are working more closely with the DEP, he said.

"We try our best not to require unnecessary things, to be up front and honest, and interpret the law in a fair manner," Kellogg added. "In the past, people would dig their feet in and argue."

Kellogg's Southern Maine counterpart, Linda Kokemuller, concurred, saying, "Everybody is better prepared."

"A lot of golf courses have a lot of wetlands on them," she said. "We do a lot of pre-app work with them now. They didn't do that seven or eight years ago. We meet before they even apply. So, when the application comes in the door it's more apt to have met our requirements."

So, all you would-be developers, hit the books.

...

Officials at the Belgrade Lakes Golf Club intend to push walking at the course and bring back the caddie program. British architect Clive Clark (see interview, page 31), said that at his course in England, Sunningdale, caddies are often used.

"It's great fun," he said. "It's nicer to walk. It's almost easier to concentrate on your game walking. When you're hopping out of

Continued on page 12



Mark Leslie, managing editor

Letters

LENDERS CAST WARY EYE

To the editor:

Contrary to popular belief, bank presidents who make loans for golf course development are not completely enamored with golf — the business. Or so it would seem from the findings of a recent survey completed by our firm.

We surveyed several golf course lenders and found that golf courses are being charged higher interest rates than other real-estate projects. Interest rates for golf course development averaged 250 to 500 basis points above the LIBOR rate, or up to 3 percent above the prime rate.

In other cases, lenders assess a rate at 250 to 500 basis points above corresponding treasury bills. And for institutional quality properties (malls, prime of-

ice buildings, apartments, etc.) this rate may be as low as 125 to 200 basis points above corresponding treasuries.

The biggest reason for this rate increase is that banks view golf courses as a management-intensive business (as opposed to a pure real-estate investment). While charging higher interest for golf course developments, most lenders will also place developers under a high level of scrutiny and require previous golf course operating experience.

Despite the recent report that 1995 was a record-breaking year for golf course construction and the fifth year in a row of increased course construction and the large expansion in golf course development, the interest rates have not come down. Why is this? Many lenders feel that the fail-

ures of the past will be repeated. The elevated level of sophistication in the golf course industry has not yet been recognized fully by the lending community. Shrewd investors are taking advantage of this by offering equity and debt funding at increased rates, often with participation.

Only time will tell if this means that the financial community is beginning to take a more friendly look at golf and if these investors have already recognized this and are taking advantage of a favorable market for the time being. Conversely, it could mean that these expensive means of financing will continue to make golf deals more difficult to do and less profitable for the developers.

I believe that we in the golf industry need to keep elevating our level of sophistication in or-

der to enjoy the benefits of other more traditional real estate and business opportunities. Interest rates are simply a reflection of perceived risk. It is our job to show the financial community that we have the ability to accurately and objectively identify the good deals from the bad and that good golf deals should be judged individually and not based on the failures of others in the past.

Furthermore, we need to be able to tell the financial community when and why a particular golf deal is a bad one. When the financial community is confident in our abilities to do this, then and only then will golf courses be treated as other types of investments.

Laurence A. Hirsh, president
Golf Property Analysts
Harrisburg, Pa.

GOLF COURSE NEWS

THE NEWSPAPER FOR THE GOLF COURSE INDUSTRY

Publisher
Charles E. von Brecht

Editorial Director
Brook Taliaferro

Editor
Hal Phillips

Managing Editor
Mark A. Leslie

Associate Editor
Peter Blais

Editorial Assistant
J. Barry Mothes

Contributing Editors
Terry Buchen, CGCS, MG
Vern Putney

Editorial Advisory Board
Raymond Davies, CGCS
Merced Golf & Country Club
Kevin Downing, CGCS
Willoughby Golf Club
Tim Hiers, CGCS
Collier's Reserve
Dr. Michael Hurdzan
Hurdzan Design Group
Mary P. Knaggs, CGCS
Hazelton National Golf Club
James McLoughlin
The McLoughlin Group
Kevin Ross, CGCS
Country Club of the Rockies
Brent Wadsworth
Wadsworth Construction

Production Manager
Joline V. Gilman

Circulation Manager
Brenda Boothby

Editorial Office
Golf Course News
Box 997, 38 Lafayette Street
Yarmouth, ME 04096
207-846-0600; Fax: 207-846-0657
hphillip@biddeford.com

Advertising Office
National Sales:
Charles E. von Brecht
Box 997, 38 Lafayette Street
Yarmouth, ME 04096
207-846-0600; Fax: 207-846-0657

Western Sales:
Robert Sanner
Western Territory Manager
2141 Vermont
Lawrence, KS 66046
913-842-3969; fax: 913-842-4304

Marketplace Sales:
Diana Costello-Lee
207-846-0600; fax: 207-846-0657

Public Golf Forum Sales
Michael Lafaso
207-846-0600; fax: 207-846-0657

Subscription Information
Golf Course News
P.O. Box 3047
Langhorne, PA 19047
215-788-7112

United Publications, Inc.
Publishers of specialized business and consumer magazines.
Chairman
Theodore E. Gordon
President
J.G. Taliaferro, Jr.



Copyright © 1996 by United Publications, Inc. All rights reserved and reproduction, in whole or in part, without written permission from the publisher is expressly prohibited

Phillips comment

Continued from page 10

whether issued from Sierra Club members or thoughtless columnists who regurgitate the same fatuous arguments and trot out the same passel of tired, old golf-oriented catch phrases—is their frequent degeneration into schoolyard-level name calling. It seems every anti-golf harangue (and one surfaces nearly every week) eventually falls back on pegging golf as a game played by fat guys in bad clothes. This ironclad argumentative device is akin to dismissing legitimate environmental concerns because they might be issued by pony-tailed '60s relics in tie-dyes and Birkenstocks.

The latest example comes from a guy named Doug Adrianson, an editor at the *Los Angeles Times*, whose column was syndicated in *The Portland Oregonian* (and probably other Sunday papers across the country) on July 28.

The headline is a perfect example of what I'm talking about. It read, "The devil is a duffer in Dockers—Golf is an evil mix of pollution and bigotry and ought to be whacked out of bounds."

Here we have the obligatory dig at golfing apparel comple-

mented by yet another hackneyed golf metaphor. Typical.

In his opening paragraph, a determinedly hyperbolic Adrianson calls golf "a tool of Satan," before returning to things more puerile in paragraph two by posing the question: "What gets me so teed off about a bunch of weirdly dressed guys with tassels on their shoes and dimples in their balls?"

Hey, Doug: I have a few questions for *you*. First, are you capable of original thought? Do you have any idea how many times, for example, folks have used the incredibly lame and obvious

metaphor "teed off" in print? Further, are you so deluded as to think you're the first to deride golfers for their admittedly questionable fashion sense? As a journalist, you should be more sensitive to fashion stereotypes relating to vocation/avocation—newspaper guys don't shop at Barney's, I'm sure you'd agree.

In short, Doug, I'm disappointed that you failed to dream up a new and different way to demean golf and golfers. And if you think about it, Doug, how strong can your argument possibly be when its most strident language is reserved for stan-

dards of dress?

•••

Old friend Pat Jones, a veteran member of the management staff at the Golf Course Superintendents Association of America, has joined Selz/Seabolt Communications of Chicago as senior account supervisor.

Selz, of course, is the firm which handles association management duties for the American Society of Golf Course Architects (ASGCA). Indeed, Paul Fullmer, president of Selz/Seabolt, also serves as the ASGCA's executive director.

Jones said he will combine

work on existing agency accounts with development of programs for companies in the golf/turf industries.

"We have some really innovative ideas on ways that green industry companies can establish and maintain a 'green' image," Jones explained. "With our capabilities here in Chicago and our offices in Washington, D.C., we can bring a broad public-affairs approach to environmental challenges. We can also help companies develop an effective strategic marketing plan for breaking into the industry, or expanding their current business."

Leslie comment

Continued from page 10

your cart to your ball and hitting it, you're often not quite ready.

"And the cart doesn't give you much advice, either."

Clark paid high compliments to modern superintendents. Speaking of changes to the game brought on by advances in equipment, he said: "The big difference is the greenkeeping. It has improved tremendously. Watch these 30-year-old shows and see how slow the greens are. The ball rolls beautifully today. The greens are almost perfect. That even changed the way people putt. The older pros are hitting the ball almost with their right hand. There were not too many Ben Crenshaws and Phil Mickelsons 40 years ago. That kind of stroke is hard to make work on a slow green."

•••

"I've never seen bentgrass grow in as fast as it did there," said Dan Proctor about Sand Hills Golf Club in Mullen, Neb.

The golf course builder and shaper (see story, page 31) said working on Sand Hills with architects Ben Crenshaw and Bill Coore "wasn't like a job. It was almost like a holiday in which you get to build golf holes. It's probably the greatest setting for golf holes in America."

His partner, Dave Axland, added: "We really felt we were privileged to be part of something special. We had no input on the design, just construction of the bunkers. It's one giant USGA green. It's fantastic."

HERE. THERE. EVERYWHERE.

HOW'S THAT FOR APPLICATION GUIDELINES?

