Time to hop on board the P&N bandwagon

In a market as heavily regulated as the specialty pesticide industry, it's hard to blame companies for feeling a bit like Marge Schott at an NAACP event in Portland.

With all sorts of environmental groups seeking to limit and sometimes eliminate the use of certain chemicals, manufacturers have naturally taken on something of a siege mentality. With regard to the growing number of states which have instituted mandatory posting and notification regulations, the industry stance against such measures has become counter-productive.

The stance of most chemical company executives is grounded in scientific research — namely, that posting and notification is not necessary. The exorbitant cost and considerable time spent gaining approval through the federal Environmental Protection Agency should be proof enough that approved chemicals are not harmful — thereby eliminating the need to post warnings on every boundary line.

Unfortunately, this stance is backfiring. Companies are being taken to task as a form of public protest against the tide of public opinion. Twenty-three states have already adopted posting and notification statutes, and more are sure to follow suit. Efforts to combat this trend (however malodorous and unfair it might be) will come back to haunt the industry down the road. Better to push for a federal, nationwide posting and notification statute which would preempt the state and local regulations.

Why? Well, first of all, it's consistent with the industry stance on pre-emption with regard to banning certain chemicals. RISE (Responsible Industry for a Sound Environment) has fought and won these battles, making it a natural choice to lead a nationwide posting and notification crusade.

Second, the chemical industry is losing money in the coal stove by conforming to the myriad posting and notification statutes across the country. Different signs, different time considerations, different boundary requirements. Wouldn't it be easier, and less expensive, if companies had one standard to which they adhered?

Third and perhaps most important, chemical companies could turn this whole situation into a public relations bonanza. If the industry took the lead in asking for this type of federal "safety" standard, much wind would be taken out of the sails of environmentalists.

Consider the auto industry. Years ago when consumer groups were fighting battles for seatbelts, car makers fought the initiative tooth and nail. When they finally gave in, the seatbelts were cheap and clearly an afterthought. This one episode gave Ralph Nader & Co. ammunition for decades to come.

However, some in the auto industry have learned their lesson. With airbags now a viable feature, car makers are using them to their marketing advantage. In fact, Chrysler in particular has championed the

A few highlights and lowlights from Anaheim, 1993

So much goes unreported from such a major event as the International Golf Course Conference and Show in Anaheim. Gallery E is just a sampling of my personal remembrances.

Best analogy: Golf course designer Jerry Pate Jr., speaking at the U.S. Golf Association session the final day, likened course architects to doctors and superintendents to parents.

"We are the doctors of the game. We are present at the creation, in the design. We attend government hearings, making drawings, working with contractors. Our involvement with a course extends for only a couple of years. You folks are often here for a lifetime," Jones said.

"Like a good parent, you are often there in the middle of the night when the water line breaks. And you certainly are there for all those early-morning feedings."

"I'm sure I can speak for my fellow architects here and around the world: The game would not be the same without your love for golf, the playing conditions, and the experience of the fellowship the game provides for millions and millions of golfers through your great efforts."

Most scary statement: Sen. Howard Metzenbaum, a man who carries clout in Washington, D.C., spoke of pesticide runoff from golf courses as being equivalent to that of agriculture. Please tell me our politicians aren't so ill-informed in making legislation.

Agh-hh!

Best attempt at reconciliation: Past CGSAA President John Segui of Waynesborough C.C. in Berwyn, Pa., gave the invocation at the annual banquet, calling for healing and brotherly love within the Golf Course Superintendents Association of America "despite our differences."

Best booth entertainment: Monsanto's master magician, expertly, with humor, weaved a sales pitch for Dimension and Roundup through his slight of hand and card tricks. Crowds gathered — always. Bright idea, PR folks. Encore.

Latest lines: Again, at the Precision Small Engine/Flymo booth. Two years running.

Most traumatic design statement: PGA Tour pro and golf course designer Jerry Pate said a famous architect told him that when he designs a course that expects to host a televised event, he always looks back from the green toward the tee to make sure golf cart paths and other aspects of his design won't be seen by viewers. "It startled me," Pate said. "I've always played, and looked at, a course from tee to green."

Best comment: Without risk (unless financing is provided by government/taxpayers), and everything to gain. If they can't or won't there's either no market or they themselves know what field will be next, in his name of the "public interest."

If government doesn't own and operate bowling alleys, restaurants, barber shops, automobile dealers or manufacturers, etc., why does it insist on doing so with golf courses? Once the door is opened to bureaucratic manipulation of taxpayer funds, who knows what field will be next, in the name of the "public interest."

That has been the history of every socialist country in the world.

In a nutshell, government never has, never can and never will run commercial enterprises efficiently and effectively. They will ALWAYS be used to buy votes through special interests of some sort and at the expense of others. Therefore, I have no respect for anyone who seeks to obtain taxpayer funding for public construction, managers, professionals or whatever.

And aren't so-called public/private partnerships a Wonderful thing? Let's see now, the taxpayers take part of the risk, the golf course builder takes part of the
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GOLF COURSE NEWS
Turfgrass selections changing for overseeding

Dr. Coleman Ward says bent, poa trivialis challenging rye as grass of choice

By Peter Blair
Anaheim, Calif.

Bentgrass and poa trivialis are fast overtaking perennial ryegrass as the seeds of choice for overseeding golf courses, according to Auburn University researcher Dr. Coleman Ward.

Ten years ago, 90 percent of overseedings were done with perennial rye, Ward said. Today, blends containing bentgrass or poa trivialis make up 80 percent of overseeding stock at the better courses, he added.

Ward has studied overseeding techniques for 20 years. The Alabama professor discussed other recent innovations in overseeding during January’s Golf Course Superintendents Association of America Annual Conference and show in Anaheim, Calif.

They included the use of lower and higher mowing heights, fungicide-treated seed, lower seeding rates and strip-seeding within 10 feet of rough areas rather than entire fairways.

But most intriguing, Ward said, were the use of cool-season grasses, like bentgrass and poa trivialis in Southern climates. Superintendents are forsaking perennial rye in favor of the other two because of the superior putting surfaces they provide an increasingly demanding clientele.

Perennial ryegrass has long been the favorite of course managers, and for good reason, Ward said. It grows in quickly, possesses great wear and frost tolerance, has high seed yields, and stripes beautifully, Ward said.

“But like anything, it has drawbacks,” the university researcher said.

Perennial rye’s inability to survive sustained low cutting heights is its major flaw.
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Perennial ryegrass’ inability to survive sustained low cutting heights is its major flaw.
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Dr. Coleman Ward

The minimum cutting height is 3/16 inch for any [long] period of time. Below 5/32 inch it suffers severe physiological distress. The resulting slow putting speeds are its major disadvantage,” he said.

On the other hand, bentgrass and poa trivialis can be cut consistently at 1/8 inch, yielding the 11 and 12 Stimpmeter speeds golfers prefer.

Bentgrass is ideal because it survives into late spring and early summer, Ward said. Unfortunately that can put it in competition with Bermudagrass as the warm-season grass emerges from dormancy.

Compared to perennial rye, bentgrass is less tolerant to foot traffic, has slow seed growth and is more susceptible to poa annua.

“But it [bentgrass] is unexcelled as a putting surface,” Ward said.

Poa trivialis follows closely on the heels of bentgrass in putting quality, Ward explained. In addition to tolerating lower cutting heights than perennial rye, it requires less seed bed preparation, tolerates low pH levels and stands up well to shade and excessive moisture.

On the down side, its small seed size, seeding rates are much lower for bentgrass and poa trivialis than perennial rye, Ward said.

Bentgrass is applied at 3 to 5 pounds per 1,000 square feet, poa trivialis at 10 pounds and perennial rye at 30 pounds, Ward said.

Overseeding dates are tied to the first frost and vary widely from region to region, he added. For example, the mean date for first frost in Tennessee is Oct. 1. In Southern Florida it is Dec. 1. Once every 50 years first frost comes 30 days before the mean first frost date. The first frost also comes 30 days after the mean date once every half-century. That leaves a 60-day window, meaning no ideal date exists.

“The best date to overseed is after poa annua germinates,” Ward advised. “You want to be able to control any poa annua infestation.”

Phillips comment

Continued from page 12

cause and made airbags a major selling point.

Now that’s inspired, resourceful, pro-active public relations!

The chemical industry can’t fight the posting/notification war and earn an outright victory. And fighting the battles only hurts the industry’s public image. A pro-active approach to posting and notification is the best policy—even if it flies in the face of the scientific data.

With All The Abuse Your Turf Takes, Who Needs Root Pruning?

You know the story. The guys who swing an iron the way a lumberjack wields an ax are the same guys who yell the loudest when weeds give them a bad lie. So, with all the abuse your turf takes, the last thing you need is root-pruning from your herbicide.

That’s why you need CHIPCO® RONSTAR® G herbicide. University root pull studies show that CHIPCO® RONSTAR® G works without pruning turf roots. That means healthier roots and stronger, more durable turf. Best of all, just one pre-emergence application provides season-long control of 25 tough broadleaf and grassy weeds—including goosegrass, crabgrass and Poa annua.

You’ll also appreciate the fact that CHIPCO® RONSTAR® G is labeled for use on a wide variety of ornamentals, and is now available in a new low-dust formulation that makes application even more convenient. CHIPCO® RONSTAR® G herbicide. It can’t improve the quality of play on your course, just the quality of weed control.

New Low-Dust Formulation

CHIPCO® RONSTAR® G

Brand Herbicide

Rhône-Poulenc Ag Company, 1 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709. For additional product information, please call 1-800-334-9745. As with any crop protection chemical, always read and follow instructions on the label. CHIPCO and RONSTAR are registered trademarks of Rhône-Poulenc. ©1991 Rhône-Poulenc Ag Company.
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